

18.786. (Spring 2014) Problem set # 4 (due Tue Mar 11)

* This problem set is lengthier but mainly due to explanation of notation and definitions.

1. (Extending coefficients) Let $L' \supset L \supset \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be finite extensions. Write \mathcal{O}' (resp. \mathcal{O}) for the ring of integers of L' (resp. L) and \mathbb{F}' (resp. \mathbb{F}) for its residue field. Let Γ be a profinite group satisfying $\text{Hyp}(\Gamma)$ (i.e. the condition in the first paragraph of [Gee, 3.1]), $\bar{\rho} : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ a continuous representation. Denote by $\bar{\rho}' := \bar{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}' : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{F}')$ the extension of coefficients from $\bar{\rho}$ (in other words, the composition $\bar{\rho}$ with $GL_n(\mathbb{F}) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{F}')$). Prove that there is a canonical isomorphism (in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}'}$)

$$R_{\bar{\rho}'}^{\square} \simeq R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}',$$

where $R_{\bar{\rho}'}^{\square}$ (resp. $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}$) is the universal lifting ring for $\bar{\rho}'$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}'}$ (resp. $\bar{\rho}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$).¹

2. (Compare with [Gee, Exercise 3.11]) Let \mathbb{F} be a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_l , and $\bar{\rho} : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{V})$ a continuous representation, where Γ is a profinite group satisfying $\text{Hyp}(\Gamma)$. Assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible (so that the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ exists; its unique maximal ideal is denoted $\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}}$). Construct natural maps between the following sets and show that they are bijections.

- (a) $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}} / (\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}}^2, \lambda), \mathbb{F})$
- (b) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}, \mathbb{F}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$
- (c) $H^1(\Gamma, \text{ad}\bar{\rho})$
- (d) $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]}^1(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho})$

Here H^1 and Ext^1 denote *continuous* cohomology and extension classes, resp. For H^1 this means that 1-cocycles are required to be continuous maps.² We recall the definition of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]}^1(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho})$ here: it's the equivalence classes of extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\rho} \rightarrow \xi \rightarrow \bar{\rho} \rightarrow 0,$$

where ξ is a continuous $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ -module and the maps are $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ -module morphisms.³ The two extensions ξ and ξ' are equivalent if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\rho} & \longrightarrow & \xi & \longrightarrow & \bar{\rho} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\rho} & \longrightarrow & \xi' & \longrightarrow & \bar{\rho} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

such that the vertical maps are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ -modules.

3. In the proof of [Gee, Lem 3.13] we encounter the following situation. Let \mathfrak{m} denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}[[x]] = \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$, and $J := \ker \phi$ as in the lemma. Then $\mathfrak{m}J \subset J$, and $\rho = \rho_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}[[x]]/J)$.⁴ For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ choose any lift $\widetilde{\rho(\gamma)}$ of $\rho(\gamma)$ via the surjection

$$GL_n(\mathcal{O}[[x]]/\mathfrak{m}J) \twoheadrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}[[x]]/J).$$

Now let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(J/\mathfrak{m}J, \mathbb{F})$. We already know from class that

$$c_f(\gamma, \delta) := f \left(\widetilde{\rho(\gamma\delta)} \widetilde{\rho(\delta)}^{-1} \widetilde{\rho(\gamma)}^{-1} - \mathbf{1}_n \right) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}), \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$$

is a continuous 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\Gamma, \text{ad}\bar{\rho})$. Write J_f for the kernel of the composite map $J \rightarrow J/\mathfrak{m}J \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{F}$. Show that

¹The same argument will show that $R_{\bar{\rho}'}^{\text{univ}} \simeq R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ when $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible (equivalently when $\bar{\rho}'$ is absolutely irreducible). Of course you need not write this up. A variant of this isomorphism also exists when the determinant is fixed in the lifting/deformation problem, cf. [Gee, 3.18].

²The notion of *continuous* 2-cocycles and *continuous* H^2 -cohomology classes is defined in the same way below.

³As usual the underlying \mathbb{F} -vector spaces are equipped with discrete topology.

⁴My Γ is Gee's G .

- (a) c_f gives a well-defined element $[c_f] \in H^2(\Gamma, \text{ad}\rho)$, i.e. it is independent of the choices of $\widetilde{\rho(\gamma)}$ above.
- (b) $f \mapsto [c_f]$ is \mathbb{F} -linear.
- (c) $[c_f] \in H^2(\Gamma, \text{ad}\rho)$ is trivial if and only if there exist choices of $\widetilde{\rho(\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}[[x]]/J_f)$ induced by $\gamma \mapsto \widetilde{\rho(\gamma)}$ is a homomorphism.

Feel free to look up [Ser-LF], [AW], Serre's "Galois cohomology" book, etc for the definition of 2-cocycles, H^2 , etc.

References

- [Gee] Toby Gee, *Modularity lifting theorems – Notes for Arizona winter school*, draft, <http://www2.imperial.ac.uk/~tsg>.