

# 18.700 - LINEAR ALGEBRA, DAY 6 BASES AND DIMENSION

SAM SCHIAVONE

## CONTENTS

I. Pre-class Planning	1
I.1. Goals for lesson	1
I.2. Methods of assessment	1
I.3. Materials to bring	1
II. Lesson Plan	2
II.1. Last time	2
II.2. Worksheet	2
II.3. Results on span and linear independence	2
II.4. 2B Bases	3
II.5. 2C Dimension	5

## I. PRE-CLASS PLANNING

### I.1. Goals for lesson.

- (1) Students will learn the definitions of basis and dimension.
- (2) Students will learn the uniqueness of representing a vector with respect to a basis.
- (3) Students will learn criteria for checking if a list of vectors is a basis.

### I.2. Methods of assessment.

- (1) Student responses to questions posed during lecture
- (2) Student responses to worksheet

### I.3. Materials to bring. (1) Laptop + adapter (2) Worksheets

(0:00)

## II. LESSON PLAN

### II.1. Last time.

- Gave a criterion for checking with  $V_1 + V_2$  is a direct sum.
- Gave definition of spanning list and linearly independent list of vectors.
- Defined polynomials.
- Proved the Linear Dependence Lemma: if  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly dependent, then we can express one of the vectors as a linear combination of the previous ones.

### II.2. Worksheet.

### II.3. Results on span and linear independence.

**Theorem.** ( $LI \leq \text{span}$ ) Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space. Then the length of every linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$  is  $\leq$  the length of every spanning list of vectors.

*Proof.* Suppose  $L := (u_1, \dots, u_m)$  is a linearly independent list in  $V$  and  $S := (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is a list spanning  $V$ . Goal:  $m \leq n$ . We show this by recursively replacing the  $w_i$  by the  $u_j$ , one by one.

Base case: Since  $S$  spans  $V$ , then

$$u_1, w_1, \dots, w_n$$

must be linearly dependent. By the Linear Dependence Lemma, then one of the above vectors in the list can be written as a linear combination of the previous vectors in the list. Since  $L$  is linearly independent, then  $u_1 \neq 0$ , so it's not  $u_1$ . Thus it must be the case that there exists  $r$  such that

$$\text{span}(u_1, w_1, \dots, \widehat{w_r}, \dots, w_n) = \text{span}(u_1, w_1, \dots, w_r, \dots, w_n) = V.$$

Remove  $w_r$  from  $S$ , so now  $S$  is

$$u_1, w_1, \dots, \widehat{w_r}, \dots, w_n,$$

and note that it still has length  $n$  and still spans  $V$ .

Recursive step: Let  $k \in \{2, \dots, m\}$  and suppose we have already replaced  $k - 1$  of the  $w_i$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$ , producing a list  $S$  of length  $n$  that still spans  $V$ . Then the list  $S$  looks like  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$  followed by any remaining  $w_i$  (possibly none). Adjoin  $u_k$  to  $S$  just after  $u_{k-1}$ ; since the list already spanned  $V$ , so now it is linearly dependent. By the Linear Dependence Lemma, then one of the vectors in  $S$  can be written as a linear combination of the vectors preceding it. Again, since  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is linearly independent, this vector cannot be one of the  $u_j$ . Thus there must be at least one remaining  $w_i$  still in the list. So one of the remaining  $w_i$ , say  $w_r$ , can be written as a linear combination of the previous vectors. We remove  $w_r$ , which doesn't change the span, and redefine  $S$  to be this modified list.

After step  $m$ , we have adjoined all the  $u_j$  to  $S$ , a list of length  $n$ . Note that  $S$  still spans  $V$ , and now looks like  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  and then any remaining  $w_i$  (possibly none). At each step we adjoined a  $u_j$ , and the Linear Dependence Lemma implied the existence of a  $w_i$  to remove. Thus  $m \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** Every subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space is finite-dimensional.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space and  $U$  a subspace of  $V$ . Goal: Find a (finite) spanning list for  $U$ . We do this recursively.

Base case: If  $U = \{0\}$ , then  $0$  generates  $U$  and we're done. Otherwise,  $U \neq \{0\}$ , so we can choose a nonzero vector  $u_1 \in U$ .

Recursive step: Suppose we have already chosen  $k - 1$  nonzero vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$ . If  $U = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$ , we're done. Otherwise,  $U \neq \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$ , so we can choose  $u_k \notin \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$ . As you'll show on the next pset, this implies that  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k$  is linearly independent.

Thus at each step  $k$ , we produce a linearly independent list of length  $k$  in  $U$ . But by the previous theorem, no linearly independent list can be longer than a spanning list of  $V$ . Thus the process eventually terminates, at which point we have a finite spanning list for  $U$ .  $\square$

II.4. **2B Bases.** We now combine the properties of linearly independent and spanning lists.

**Definition 1.** A list  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  is a *basis* of  $V$  iff every  $v \in V$  can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

with  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Theorem.** A list  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  is a basis of  $V$  iff it is linearly independent and spans  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Assume  $B$  is a basis. Since every  $v \in V$  can be written as a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , then  $B$  spans  $V$ . [Ask students how to check linear independence.] Suppose

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since

$$0v_1 + \dots + 0v_n = 0$$

then by uniqueness, we must have  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Now assume  $B$  is linearly independent and spans  $V$ . Given  $v \in V$ , since  $B$  spans  $V$ , then

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ . We aim to show this expression is unique. Given  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n,$$

then by subtracting, we have

$$0 = v - v = (a_1 - b_1)v_1 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)v_n.$$

Since  $B$  is linearly independent, then  $a_1 - b_1 = \dots = a_n - b_n = 0$ , i.e.,  $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_n = b_n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Every spanning list  $S$  of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  contains a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $S := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  spans  $V$ . We give an algorithm to remove vectors from  $S$  until we obtain a basis. Start with  $B := S$ .

If  $v_1 = 0$ , then delete  $v_1$  from  $B$ ; otherwise, leave  $B$  unchanged.

For  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , if  $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ , then delete  $v_k$  from  $B$ . Otherwise, leave  $B$  unchanged.

After step  $n$ , we claim that  $B$  is a basis of  $V$ . Since the original list spanned  $V$  and we only removed vectors that were contained in the span of the previous vectors, then  $B$  spans  $V$ . Moreover, by construction of the algorithm, no vector in  $B$  is in the span of the previous ones. Thus  $B$  is linearly independent by the Linear Dependence Lemma. Thus  $B$  is a basis.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Every finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  has a basis.*

*Proof.* By definition,  $V$  has a finite spanning list  $S$ . By the previous result, we can find a basis contained in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem (Extension Theorem).** *Every linearly independent list  $L$  of vectors in a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  can be extended to a basis of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $L := (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ . Let  $S := (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a list of vectors spanning  $V$ . Then their concatenation

$$u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$$

spans  $V$ . Apply the algorithm from the proof of the previous theorem to obtain a basis  $B$  of  $V$ . Since  $L$  is linearly independent, then none of  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  get deleted during the algorithm. Thus  $B$  contains  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  and thus extends  $L$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Then there is a subspace  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U \oplus W$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then so is  $U$  by a previous result. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be a basis of  $U$ . By the previous result, then it can be extended to a basis

$$B = (u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

of  $V$ . Let  $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . We claim that  $V = U \oplus W$ . By our direct sum criterion, it suffices to show that  $U + W = V$  and  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ .

Given  $v \in V$ , since  $B$  is a basis of  $V$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = \underbrace{a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m}_u + \underbrace{b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n}_w.$$

Since  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces, then they are closed under linear combinations, so  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ , and hence  $v = u + w \in U + W$ .

Now suppose  $v \in U \cap W$ . Since  $v \in U$ , then

$$v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ , and since  $v \in W$ , then

$$v = b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n$$

for some  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$ . Subtracting, we have

$$0 = v - v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m - b_1 w_1 - \dots - b_n w_n.$$

But  $B$  is a basis, hence linearly independent, so  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$ . Thus  $v = 0$ .  $\square$

## II.5. 2C Dimension.

**Theorem.** Any two bases of a finite-dimensional vector space have the same length.

*Proof.* Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are bases of  $V$ . Since  $B_1$  is linearly independent and  $B_2$  spans  $V$ , then by the LI  $\leq$  span theorem,  $\text{length}(B_1) \leq \text{length}(B_2)$ . Reversing the roles of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  yields the opposite inequality, so  $\text{length}(B_1) = \text{length}(B_2)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.** The *dimension* of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  is the length of any basis of  $V$ . Denoted  $\dim(V)$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ , then  $\dim(U) \leq \dim(V)$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. (Similar to previous proof.)  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** Suppose that  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Then every linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$  of length  $\dim(V)$  is a basis.

*Proof.* Let  $n := \dim(V)$  and suppose  $L := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  are linearly independent. By the Extension Theorem, then  $L$  can be extended to a basis of  $V$ . But by the previous result, every basis of  $V$  has length  $n$ , so this must be the trivial extension, where no vectors are adjoined. Thus  $L$  was a basis of  $V$  to begin with.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Consider the list  $(4, 2), (-1, 7)$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^2$ . [Ask students why linearly independent.] Since  $\dim(\mathbb{F}^2) = 2$  (consider the standard basis), then this list is a basis.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose that  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  such that  $\dim(U) = \dim(V)$ . Then  $U = V$ .

*Proof.* Exercise.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional. Then every spanning list  $S$  of  $V$  of length  $\dim(V)$  is a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* By a previous result,  $S$  can be reduced to a basis. However, every basis has length  $\dim(V)$ , so this reduction must be the trivial one, i.e., no vectors are removed from  $S$ . Thus  $S$  was a basis to begin with.  $\square$

Given subspaces  $V_1, V_2$ , one can show that  $\dim(V_1 \oplus V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2)$ . What if the sum is not direct?

**Proposition 5.** Let  $V$  be finite-dimensional and  $V_1, V_2$  be subspaces. Then

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2).$$

*Proof.* Let  $B := (v_1, \dots, v_m)$  be a basis for  $V_1 \cap V_2$ , so  $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) = m$ . Since  $B$  is linearly independent, it can be extended to a basis

$$B_1 := (v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$$

of  $V_1$ , so  $\dim(V_1) = m + \ell$ . Similarly, it can be extended to a basis

$$B_2 := (v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

of  $V_2$ , so  $\dim(V_2) = m + n$ . We claim that

$$C := (v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_\ell, w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

is a basis for  $V_1 + V_2$ . Note that if this holds, then

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = m + \ell + n = (m + \ell) + (m + n) - m = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2),$$

which is what we want to show.

Observe that  $C$  is contained in  $V_1 + V_2$  [ask students how to see  $u_1 \in V_1 + V_2$ ]. Moreover,  $\text{SSpan}(C)$  contains both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , hence contains  $V_1 + V_2$ . Thus it remains to show that  $C$  is linearly independent. Suppose

$$a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_\ell u_\ell + c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n = 0 \quad (*)$$

for some scalars  $a_i, b_j, c_k \in \mathbb{F}$ . Subtracting, then

$$c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n = -(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_\ell u_\ell) \in V_1.$$

By definition  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in V_2$ , so  $c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . Since  $B$  is a basis of  $V_1 \cap V_2$ , then

$$c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n = d_1v_1 + \cdots + d_mv_m$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{F}$ . Subtracting, then

$$c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n - d_1v_1 - \cdots - d_mv_m = 0.$$

But  $B_2$  is basis, hence linearly independent, hence  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0 = d_1 = \cdots = d_m$ . Then (\*) becomes

$$a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_\ell u_\ell = 0.$$

But  $B_1$  is basis, hence linearly independent, so  $a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0 = b_1 = \cdots = b_\ell$ . Thus  $C$  is linearly independent, hence is a basis.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** For a finite set  $S$ , let  $\#S$  denote its cardinality, i.e., the number of elements in  $S$ . If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are finite sets, then

$$\#(S_1 \cup S_2) = \#S_1 + \#S_2 - \#(S_1 \cap S_2).$$

[Draw Venn diagram.]

Here are some analogies between finite sets and finite dimensional vector spaces.

<b>sets</b>	<b>vector spaces</b>
$S$ is a finite set	$V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space
$\#S$	$\dim V$
for subsets $S_1, S_2$ of $S$ , the union $S_1 \cup S_2$ is the smallest subset of $S$ containing $S_1$ and $S_2$	for subspaces $V_1, V_2$ of $V$ , the sum $V_1 + V_2$ is the smallest subspace of $V$ containing $V_1$ and $V_2$
$\#(S_1 \cup S_2)$ $= \#S_1 + \#S_2 - \#(S_1 \cap S_2)$	$\dim(V_1 + V_2)$ $= \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$
$\#(S_1 \cup S_2) = \#S_1 + \#S_2$ $\iff S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$	$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim V_1 + \dim V_2$ $\iff V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$
$S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$ is a disjoint union $\iff$ $\#(S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m) = \#S_1 + \dots + \#S_m$	$V_1 + \dots + V_m$ is a direct sum $\iff$ $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_m)$ $= \dim V_1 + \dots + \dim V_m$