# 18.700 - LINEAR ALGEBRA, DAY 5 SPAN AND LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

SAM SCHIAVONE

#### CONTENTS

1
1
1
1
2
2
2
3
5
5

### I. PRE-CLASS PLANNING

### I.1. Goals for lesson.

- (1) Students will learn the definition of span and spanning list.
- (2) Students will learn the definition of linear independence.
- (3) Students will learn the definition of a polynomial.
- (4) Students will learn the Linear Independence Lemma and the Replacement Theorem.

### I.2. Methods of assessment.

- (1) Student responses to questions posed during lecture
- (2) Student responses to worksheet

## I.3. Materials to bring. (1) Laptop + adapter (2) Worksheets

#### II. LESSON PLAN

### Announcements: • Second pset due this evening. • Pset Partners

# II.1. Last time.

- Defined subspaces and gave a criterion to check if a subset is a subspace.
- Applied the subspace criterion to examples.
- Defined sum and direct sum of vector spaces.
- Started to give criterion for checking if a sum is direct.

## (0:05) II.2. Direct sum wrap up.

**Definition 1.** Let  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  be subspaces of *V*.

• Their *sum* is

$$V_1 + \cdots + V_m := \{v_1 + \cdots + v_m : v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_m \in V_m\}.$$

• The sum is a *direct*, denoted  $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ , if each  $v \in V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  [ask students] can be written *uniquely* as

$$v = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$$

with  $v_i \in V_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

**Lemma 1.** With notation as above,  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a subspace of V.

**Lemma 2.**  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a direct sum iff the only way to write

$$0=v_1+\cdots+v_m$$

with  $v_i \in V_i$  for all *i* is by taking  $v_i = 0$  for all *i*.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Follows from the definition of direct sum, taking v = 0.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Given  $v \in V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ , suppose

$$v = v_1 + \dots + v_m$$
$$v = u_1 + \dots + u_m$$

where  $u_i, v_i \in V_i$  for all i = 1, ..., m. Then

$$0 = v - v = (v_1 + \dots + v_m) - (u_1 + \dots + u_m) = \dots = (v_1 - u_1) + \dots + (v_m - u_m).$$

But we also have  $0 = 0 + \cdots + 0$  and since this expression for 0 is unique, we must have  $v_i - u_i = 0$ , i.e.,  $v_i = u_i$  for all *i*. Thus the expression for *v* is unique.

**Proposition 1.**  $V_1 + V_2$  *is a direct sum iff*  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ .

Proof. Exercise.

[Ask students what  $U_1 \cap U_2$  was in first example, where

$$U_1 := \{ (s, s, t, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}$$
$$U_2 := \{ (s, s, s, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}.$$

]

(0:00)

II.3. **2A. Span and Linear Independence.** Throughout, assume that V is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space.

**Remark 1.** We will usually write lists of vectors without parentheses, e.g.,  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m$ .

**Definition 2.** A *linear combination* of a list  $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$  is an expression of the form

$$a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m$$

where  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ .

# Example 1.

- Let  $u_1 := (1, -1, 3)$  and  $u_2 := (2, -1, 4)$ . Then  $3u_1 - 2u_2 = 3(1, -1, 3) - 2(2, -1, 4) = (3, -3, 9) + (-4, 2, -8) = (-1, -1, 1)$
- so (-1, -1, 1) is a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .
- Is w := (1, -2, -1) a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ ? [Ask students what this means in symbols.] I.e., do there exist  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 = w$ ?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -1 \\ 3 & 4 & | & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & | & -4 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Definition 3.** The *span* of a list of vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$ , denoted  $\text{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ , is the set of all their linear combinations. I.e.,

$$\operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_m) := \{a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m : a_1,\ldots,a_m \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

The span of the empty list () is defined to be  $\{0\}$ .

Example 2. With notation as in the previous example,

 $(-1, -1, 1) \in \operatorname{span}(u_1, u_2)$  and  $(1, -2, -1) \notin \operatorname{span}(u_1, u_2)$ .

**Lemma 3.** With notation as above, span $(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$  is the smallest subspace of V containing  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ .

Proof. Exercise.

**Definition 4.** If span $(v_1, \ldots, v_m) = V$ , then we say that  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  span or generate V.

**Definition 5.** A vector space is *finite-dimensional* if it is spanned by some (finite) list of vectors. Otherwise, it is *infinite-dimensional*.

II.3.1. Polynomials.

**Definition 6.** A function  $p : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$  is a *polynomial (function)* with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  if there exist  $a_0, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_m z^m$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ . We denote the set of all polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  or  $\mathbb{F}[z]$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  *is an*  $\mathbb{F}$ *-vector space.* 

Proof. Exercise.

**Definition 7.** 

• A polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  has *degree d* if there exist scalars  $a_0, \ldots, a_d \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $a_d \neq 0$  such that

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_m z^m$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ .

- The degree of *p* is denoted deg(*p*).
- By convention,  $deg(0) = -\infty$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$  be the set of all polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ .

#### Lemma 5.

- $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$  is finite-dimensional.
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  is infinite-dimensional.

Proof.

- [Ask students.]  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F}) = \operatorname{span}(1, z, \dots, z^m).$
- Given any finite list p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>,..., p<sub>k</sub> of polynomials, let M be the maximum of their degrees. Then every polynomial in span(p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>k</sub>) has degree at most M. But then z<sup>M+1</sup> ∉ span(p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>k</sub>), so the list does not span P(F). This shows that no finite list spans P(F), so it is infinite-dimensional.

**Remark 2.**  $\mathbb{F}^{\infty}$  is also infinite-dimensional. Can you find a proof of this similar to that for  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ ?

II.3.2. *Linear independence*. Q: Can we characterize *minimal* spanning sets?

<u>Q</u>: Given  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  and  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ , when is there a *unique* list of scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m ?$$

(Similar to the definition of direct sum.)

If there is another such list of scalars  $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ , then

$$a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m=v=b_1v_1+\cdots+b_mv_m.$$

Subtracting, then

$$0 = (a_1 - b_1)v_1 + \cdots + (a_m - b_m)v_m$$

**Definition 8.** A list  $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$  is *linearly dependent* if there exist scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ , not all 0, such that

$$a_1v_1+\cdots a_mv_m=0.$$

A list is *linearly independent* if is not linearly dependent, i.e., if the only choice of scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$a_1v_1+\cdots a_mv_m=0$$

is  $a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0$ .

By convention, the empty list () is linearly independent.

# Remark 3.

- A list v of length one in a vector space is linearly dependent iff [ask students] v = 0.
- A list *v*, *w* of length two is linearly dependent iff *v* and *w* are scalar multiples of each other.

**Lemma 6.** (*Linear Dependence Lemma*) Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$  is a linearly dependent list. Then there exists  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  such that

$$v_k \in \operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_{k-1})$$

Furthermore, removing  $v_k$  leaves the span unchanged, i.e,

$$\operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,\widehat{v}_k,\ldots,v_m)=\operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_m).$$

*Proof.* Since  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  is linearly dependent, then [ask students] there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ , not all 0, such that

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0. \tag{1}$$

Let *k* be the largest element of  $\{1, ..., m\}$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ . Subtracting to the other side and dividing by  $a_k$ , we have

$$v_k = -\frac{a_1}{a_k} v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} v_{k-1}.$$
 (2)

The last statement is left as an exercise. The idea is, in any linear combination of  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ , one can replace  $v_k$  by the RHS of (2).

#### II.4. Worksheet.

#### II.5. Results on span and linear independence.

**Theorem.** (*Replacement Theorem,*  $LI \leq span$ ) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. Then the length of every linearly independent list of vectors in V is  $\leq$  the length of every spanning list of vectors.

*Proof.* Suppose  $B := (u_1, ..., u_m)$  is a linearly independent list in V and  $C := (w_1, ..., w_n)$  is a list spanning V. <u>Goal</u>:  $m \le n$ . We show this by recursively replacing the  $w_i$  by the  $u_i$ , one by one.

Base case: Since *C* spans *V*, then

$$u_1, w_1, \ldots, w_n$$

must be linearly dependent. By the Linear Dependence Lemma, then one of the above vectors in the list can be written as a linear combination of the previous vectors in the list. Since *B* is linearly independent, then  $u_1 \neq 0$ , so it's not  $u_1$ . Thus it must be the case that there exists *r* such that

$$\operatorname{span}(u_1, w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_r}, \ldots, w_n) = \operatorname{span}(u_1, w_1, \ldots, w_r, \ldots, w_n) = V$$

Redefine *C* to be

$$u_1, w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_r}, \ldots, w_n$$

and note that it still has length *n*.

Recursive step: Let  $k \in \{2, ..., m\}$  and suppose we have already replaced k - 1 of the  $w_i$  with  $u_1, ..., u_{k-1}$ . Then the list *C* looks like  $u_1, ..., u_{k-1}$  followed by the remaining  $w_i$ . Adjoin  $u_k$  to *C* just after  $u_{k-1}$ . By the Linear Dependence Lemma, then one of the vectors in *C* can be written as a linear combination of the vectors preceding it. Again, since  $u_1, ..., u_k$  is linearly independent, this vector cannot be one of the  $u_i$ . Thus it must be one of the  $w_j$ , say  $w_r$ . We remove  $w_r$ , which doesn't change the span, and redefine *C* to be this modified list.

After step *m*, we have adjoined all the  $u_j$  to *C*, a list of length *n*. Note that *C* still spans *V*, and now looks like  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  and then the remaining  $w_i$ . At each step we adjoined a  $u_j$ , and the Linear Dependence Lemma implied the exitence of a  $w_i$  to remove. Thus  $m \le n$ .

#### **Proposition 2.** Every subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space is finite-dimensional.

*Proof.* Let *V* be a finite-dimensional vector space and *U* a subspace of *V*. <u>Goal</u>: Find a (finite) spanning list for *U*. We do this recursively.

<u>Base case</u>: If  $U = \{0\}$ , then 0 generates *U* and we're done. Otherwise,  $U \neq \{0\}$ , so we can choose a nonzero vector  $u_1 \in U$ .

Recursive step: Suppose we have already chosen k - 1 nonzero vectors  $u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ . If  $\overline{U} = \operatorname{span}(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$ , we're done. Otherwise,  $U \neq \operatorname{span}(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$ , so we can choose  $u_k \notin \operatorname{span}(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$ . As you'll show on the next pset, this implies that  $u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}, u_k$  is linearly independent.

Thus at each step k, we produce a linearly independent list of length k in U. But by the Replacement Theorem, no linearly independent list can be longer than a spanning list of V. Thus the process eventually terminates, at which point we have a finite spanning list for U.