## **18.700 - LINEAR ALGEBRA, DAY 4 SUBSPACES**

### SAM SCHIAVONE

### **CONTENTS**



### I. PRE-CLASS PLANNING

## I.1. **Goals for lesson.**

- (1) Students will start or continue learning how to write proofs.
- (2) Students will learn the subspace criterion.
- (3) Students will apply the subspace criterion to examples.
- (4) Students will learn the definitions of the sum of a finite collection of subspaces.
- (5) Students will learn the definition of a direct sum of a finite collection of subspaces.
- (6) Students will learn how to check if a sum of subspaces is direct.

# I.2. **Methods of assessment.**

- (1) Student responses to questions posed during lecture
- (2) Student responses to worksheet

# I.3. **Materials to bring.** (1) Laptop + adapter (2) Worksheets

# II. LESSON <sup>P</sup>LAN **(0:00)**

Announcements: • Second pset due Wednesday. • Mention Velleman's *How To Prove It*.

II.1. **Last time.**

- Recalled the algebraic properties of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- Abstracted these properties and gave the definition of a vector space.
- Proved some elementary properties of vector spaces.
- Considered some examples of vector spaces, such as  $\mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{F}^\infty$ , and  $\mathbb{F}^S$ .

# II.2. **Worksheet.(0:05)**

II.3. **Subspaces.** Given a vector space *V*, it is often useful to consider smaller vector spaces that are contained inside *V*. We call these subspaces. [Draw picture of a line **(0:15)** through the origin inside  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .]

**Definition 1.** A subset  $U \subseteq V$  is called a *(vector) subspace* if *U* is also a vector space when considered with the same addition, scalar multiplication, and additive identity as *V*.

# **Remark 1.**

- Also sometimes known as *linear subspaces*.
- {0} and *V* itself are always subspaces of a vector space *V*.

To check if a subset  $U \subseteq V$  is a subspace, it's not necessary to check all the vector space axioms. We get some for free from knowing already that *V* is a vector space.

**Proposition 1** (Subspace criterion). A subset  $U \subseteq V$  is a subspace of V iff U satisfies the *following.*

- $(i)$  0 ∈ *U*.
- *(ii) U* is closed under addition, i.e., if  $u, v \in U$ , then  $u + v \in U$ .
- *(iii) U* is closed under scalar multiplication, i.e., if  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $u \in U$ , then au  $\in U$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $U \subseteq V$ .

(⇒): Suppose *U* is a subspace. Then *U* must satisfy the 3 conditions above by the definition of a vector space.

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : Now suppose that *U* satisfies the 3 conditions above. The conditions (ii) and (iii) ensure that the restrictions of addition and scalar multiplication give well-defined binary operations

$$
+: U \times U \to U \qquad \mathbb{F} \times U \to U.
$$

Since *V* is a vector space, then its addition is associative and commutative, so the same is true for *U* ((1) and (4)). Similarly, the distrubitive laws (7) and scalar multiplicativity (5) hold for *U*.

By (i),  $0 \in U$  (2). Given  $u \in U$ , then

$$
(-1)u = -u \in U
$$

by (iii), so *U* has additive inverses (3).  $\Box$ 

**(0:30)** Let's apply this criterion to some examples.

# **Example 1.** • Let  $V := \mathbb{F}^2$  and

$$
U := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{F}^2 \mid 3x_1 - x_2 = 0\}
$$

[Check 3 conditions. Ask students what the zero vector is, how to start proofs of  $(ii)$  and  $(iii)$ .]

• Let

$$
V:=\{f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}\mid f\text{ is continuous}\},
$$

which is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}.$ 

• Let

$$
V := \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable} \},
$$

which is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

## **(0:40)** II.3.1. *Sums of subspaces.*

It's sometimes useful to combine two subspaces  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  of *V*. However, the union  $V_1 \cup V_2$ is rarely itself a subspace. [Draw picture of example using **R** 2 and coordinate axes as subspaces.] We instead can define their sum.

**Definition 2.** Given subspaces  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  of *V*, their *sum* is

$$
V_1+\cdots+V_m:=\{v_1+\cdots+v_m:v_1\in V_1,\ldots,v_m\in V_m\},\,
$$

i.e., the set of all possible sums of elements of  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ .

**Lemma 1.** *With notation as above,*  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  *is a subspace of V*.

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**(0:45)**

**Example 2.** Let  $V := \mathbb{F}^4$ ,

$$
U_1 := \{ (s, s, t, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}
$$
  

$$
U_2 := \{ (s, s, s, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}.
$$

Q: Can we described  $U_1 + U_2$ ?

Let's try computing systems of equations that describe *U*<sub>1</sub> and *U*<sub>2</sub>. Given elements of  $(a, a, b, b) ∈ U_1$  and  $(c, c, c, d) ∈ U_2$ , then

$$
(a, a, b, b) + (c, c, c, d) = (a + c, a + c, b + c, b + d).
$$

Since the first two coordinates are equal, we see that  $U_1 + U_2 \subseteq W$ , where

$$
W := \{ (x, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F} \}.
$$

We claim this is actually an equality. Given  $(x, x, y, z) \in W$ , we want to write it as a sum of an element of *U*<sup>1</sup> and an element of *U*2. Goal:

$$
(x, x, y, z) = (s, s, t, t) + (u, u, u, v)
$$

for some  $s, t, u, v \in \mathbb{F}$ . Idea: Use this equation to derive some necessary conditions, then show that they are also sufficient. (Working backwards.) [Mention  $P \implies Q$  vs.  $Q \implies$ *P*.]

Scratchwork: From the vector equation, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\ns & +u & = & x \\
t & +u & = & y \\
t & +v & = & z\n\end{array}
$$

which has augmented matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & | & x \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & | & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & | & z \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Row reducing, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & x - y + z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & | & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & | & y - z \end{pmatrix},
$$

which corresponds to

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\ns & +v & = & x-y+z \\
t & +v & = & z \\
u & -v & = & y-z.\n\end{array}
$$

[Ask students how many solutions system has.] Since we only need one solution, we can set the free variable *v* to 0. And finally, we need to check that our answer actually works.

 $Claim: W \subseteq U_1 + U_2.$ 

*Proof.* Given  $(x, x, y, z) \in W$ , then

$$
(x, x, y, z) = (x - y + z, x - y + z, z, z) + (y - z, y - z, y - z, 0) \in U_1 + U_2.
$$

**Remark 2.** Later we'll see an easy way to prove this containment using the notion of spanning sets.

## **(1:00)**

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose*  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$  *are subspaces of V. Then*  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  *is the smallest [ask students](with respect to containment) subspace of V containing V*1, . . . , *Vm. I.e., if W is another such subspace, then*

$$
V_1+\cdots+V_m\subseteq W.
$$

*Proof.* Suppose *W* is another subspace containing  $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ . Goal:  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m \subseteq W$ . Given  $v \in V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ , then

$$
v=v_1+\cdots+v_m
$$

for some  $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_m \in V_m$ . Since  $V_i \subseteq W$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ , then  $v_i \in W$  for all *i*. Since *W* is a subspace, then it is closed under addition, so

$$
v_1+\cdots+v_m\in W.
$$

Thus  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m \subset W$ .

**(1:05)**

II.3.2. *Direct sums.* In the example, an element of the sum  $U_1 + U_2$  could be written as a sum of elements in  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  in infinitely many ways.

Q: Under what conditions is there only one way of writing an element of  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ as such a sum?

Throughout this section, suppose *V*1, . . . , *V<sup>m</sup>* are subspaces of *V*.

**Definition 3.** The sum  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  is a *direct sum* if each element of  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  can be written *uniquely* as

$$
v_1+\cdots+v_m
$$

with  $v_i \in V_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . In this case, we write

$$
V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_m
$$

to indicate that the sum is direct.

## **Example 3.**

• Let  $V := \mathbb{F}^3$  and consider the subspaces

$$
V_1 := \{ (x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x, y \in \mathbb{F} \}
$$
  

$$
V_2 := \{ (0, 0, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : z \in \mathbb{F} \}.
$$

Exercise: Show  $\mathbb{F}^3 = V_1 \oplus V_2$ .

• In the example from the last section, we saw that  $U_1 + U_2$  is *not* a direct sum.

**Lemma 3.**  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$  *is a direct sum iff the only way to write* 

 $0 = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$ 

*with*  $v_i \in V_i$  for all *i* is by setting  $v_i = 0$  for all *i*.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Follow from the definition of direct sum, taking  $v = 0$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Given  $v \in$  $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ , suppose

$$
v = v_1 + \dots + v_m
$$
  

$$
v = u_1 + \dots + u_m
$$

where  $u_i, v_i \in V_i$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . Then

$$
0 = v - v = (v_1 + \cdots + v_m) - (u_1 + \cdots + u_m) = \cdots = (v_1 - u_1) + \cdots + (v_m - u_m).
$$

But we also have  $0 = 0 + \cdots + 0$ , so since this expression is unique, we must have  $v_i$  −  $u_i = 0$ , i.e.,  $v_i = u_i$  for all *i*. Thus the expression for *v* is unique. □

**Proposition 2.**  $V_1 + V_2$  *is a direct sum iff*  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}.$ 

*Proof.* Exercise. □

[Ask students what  $U_1 \cap U_2$  was in first example, where

$$
U_1 := \{ (s, s, t, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}
$$
  

$$
U_2 := \{ (s, s, s, t) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : s, t \in \mathbb{F} \}.
$$

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

**(1:20)**