

**18.700 - LINEAR ALGEBRA, DAY 14
INNER PRODUCTS AND NORMS,
ORTHONORMAL BASES AND GRAM-SCHMIDT**

SAM SCHIAVONE

CONTENTS

I. Pre-class Planning	1
I.1. Goals for lesson	1
I.2. Methods of assessment	1
I.3. Materials to bring	1
II. Lesson Plan	2
II.1. Last time	2
II.2. Worksheet	2
II.3. 6A: Inner products and norms	4
II.4. Orthonormal bases and Gram-Schmidt	7

I. PRE-CLASS PLANNING

I.1. Goals for lesson.

(1) Students will compute powers of a linear operator using diagonalization.

I.2. Methods of assessment.

- (1) Student responses to questions posed during lecture
- (2) Student responses to worksheet

I.3. Materials to bring. (1) Laptop + adapter (2) Worksheets

II.1. Last time.

- Defined eigenspaces.
- Proved criteria for diagonalizability.

II.2. Worksheet.

Theorem 1. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then T is diagonalizable iff $\text{minpoly}(T)$ splits into degree 1 factors and has no repeated roots, i.e., iff there exist distinct $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\text{minpoly}(T) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Assume T is diagonalizable. Then there is a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T . Then for each j , there exists λ_k such that $(T - \lambda_k I)v_j = 0$. Thus

$$(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)v_j = 0$$

for each j , so $\text{minpoly}(T) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$.

(\Leftarrow): Assume the $\text{minpoly}(T) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$ where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}$ are distinct. By strong induction on m .

Base case: $m = 1$. Then $T - \lambda_1 I = 0$, so $T = \lambda_1 I$, which is diagonalizable.

Inductive step: Assume $m \geq 2$ and the result holds for all $k < m$. By a previous result, $U := \text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$ is T -invariant, so we can restrict T to this subspace. Given $u \in \text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$, then [ask students] $u = (T - \lambda_m I)(v)$ for some $v \in V$. Then

$$(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_{m-1} I)u = (T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_{m-1} I)(T - \lambda_m I)(v) = 0, \quad (\ddagger)$$

so this polynomial kills $T|_U$. Thus $(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_{m-1})$ is a polynomial multiple of $\text{minpoly}(T|_U)$. By the inductive hypothesis, then $\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$ has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of $T|_U$, and hence of T .

Claim: $\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I) + \ker(T - \lambda_m I)$ is direct. Given $u \in \text{img}(T - \lambda_m I) \cap \ker(T - \lambda_m I)$, then $(T - \lambda_m I)(u) = 0 \iff T(u) = \lambda_m u$. Since $u \in \text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$, then

$$0 = (T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_{m-1} I)u = (\lambda_m - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1})u$$

by (\ddagger). Since the λ_i are distinct, this implies that $u = 0$. Thus $\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I) \cap \ker(T - \lambda_m I) = \{0\}$.

Since the sum is direct, then

$$\dim(\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I) \oplus \ker(T - \lambda_m I)) = \dim(\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)) + \dim(\ker(T - \lambda_m I)) = \dim(V)$$

by Rank-Nullity. Thus $V = \text{img}(T - \lambda_m I) \oplus \ker(T - \lambda_m I)$.

We already saw that $\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$ has a basis of eigenvectors of T . Since $\ker(T - \lambda_m I)$ is exactly the λ_m -eigenspace of T , taking a basis of $\ker(T - \lambda_m I)$ and concatenating it with the basis of $\text{img}(T - \lambda_m I)$ yields a basis of V of eigenvectors of T . Thus T is diagonalizable. \square

Corollary 2. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is diagonalizable and U is a T -invariant subspace of V . Then $T|_U$ is diagonalizable.

Proof. Since T is diagonalizable, then $\text{minpoly}(T)$ has no repeated roots. By a previous result, $\text{minpoly}(T)$ is a polynomial multiple of $\text{minpoly}(T|_U)$, so $\text{minpoly}(T|_U)$ also has no repeated roots. \square

II.2.1. *Gershgorin disc theorem.* [Cut if necessary.]

Definition 3. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\mathcal{B} := (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a basis of V . Let $A = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}$. A *Gershgorin disc* of T with respect to \mathcal{B} is a set of the form

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{F} : |z - A_{j,j}| \leq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n |A_{j,k}| \right\}$$

where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 4.

- T has n Gershgorin discs, one for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the j^{th} disc is a closed interval centered at $A_{j,j}$, with radius the sum of the absolute values of all the entries in the j^{th} row.
- For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the j^{th} disc is a closed disc centered at $A_{j,j}$. [Draw picture.]

Theorem 5 (Gershgorin Disc Theorem). *With notation as above, each eigenvalue of T is contained in some Gershgorin disc of T with respect to \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of T with corresponding eigenvector w . Then we can uniquely write

$$w = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$$

for some $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $A = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}$. Applying T to both sides, then

$$\lambda c_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda c_n v_n = \lambda w = T(w) = T\left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k v_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k T(v_k). \quad (6)$$

Now

$$[T(v_k)]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}[v_k]_{\mathcal{B}} = A e_k = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ A_{n,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$T(v_k) = A_{1,k} v_1 + \dots + A_{n,k} v_n = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{j,k} v_j.$$

Substituting this into (6), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n c_k T(v_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \sum_{j=1}^n A_{j,k} v_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n A_{j,k} c_k \right) v_j.$$

Equating the coefficients of v_j from the above and (6), then

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{j,k} c_k \quad (\dagger)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Now let $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that

$$|c_m| = \max\{|c_1|, \dots, |c_n|\}.$$

Taking $j = m$ in (†) and subtracting $A_{m,m}c_m$ from both sides, we have [start in middle]

$$(\lambda - A_{m,m})c_m = \lambda c_m - A_{m,m}c_m = \left(\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n A_{m,k}c_k \right) - A_{m,m}c_m = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n A_{m,k}c_k.$$

Then

$$|\lambda - A_{m,m}| = \left| \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n A_{m,k} \frac{c_k}{c_m} \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n |A_{m,k}| \left| \frac{c_k}{c_m} \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n |A_{m,k}|$$

by the triangle inequality. Thus λ is in the m^{th} Gershgorin disc. \square

II.3. 6A: Inner products and norms. We defined abstract vector spaces by considering the algebraic properties of addition and scalar multiplication of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , and then abstracting these properties. But vectors in \mathbb{R}^n also have geometric properties, namely length and angle. We now abstract these geometric properties and define inner product spaces.

Throughout this section, let \mathbb{F} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and let V and W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.

- Inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 7. Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, their *dot product* or *inner product*, $x \cdot y$, is defined by

$$x \cdot y := x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n,$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

We define the *norm* or *length* of x to be

$$\|x\| := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}.$$

Note that

$$\|x\|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = \langle x, x \rangle.$$

- Inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda = a + bi$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then
 - $|\lambda| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. [Draw picture.]
 - The *conjugate* of λ is

$$\bar{\lambda} := a - bi.$$

Note that $\lambda \bar{\lambda} = |\lambda|^2$.

Definition 8. Given $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, their *inner product*, $z \cdot w$, is defined by

$$z \cdot w := z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n,$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$.

We define the *norm* or *length* of x to be

$$\|z\| := \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2}.$$

Note that

$$\|z\|^2 = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = \langle z, z \rangle.$$

Definition 9. An inner product on V is a function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V &\rightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ (u, v) &\mapsto \langle u, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with the following properties. For all $u, v, w \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, we have...

- (1) Positivity. $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$.
- (2) Definiteness. $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ iff $v = 0$.
- (3) Additivity in first component. $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$.
- (4) Homogeneity in first component. $\langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \lambda \langle u, v \rangle$.
- (5) Conjugate symmetry. $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$.

Remark 10.

- Over \mathbb{R} , the last condition becomes symmetry: $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$.
- Other authors choose to require additivity and homogeneity in the second component.

Definition 11. An inner product space is a vector space equipped with an inner product.

Example 12.

- Usual Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{F}^n :

$$\langle (w_1, \dots, w_n), (z_1, \dots, z_n) \rangle = w_1 \bar{z}_1 + \dots + w_n \bar{z}_n.$$

- Weighted Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{F}^n : given positive real numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\langle (w_1, \dots, w_n), (z_1, \dots, z_n) \rangle = c_1 w_1 \bar{z}_1 + \dots + c_n w_n \bar{z}_n.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V : since the c_i are positive, we get positivity.

- Let V be the vector space of continuous functions $[-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Given $f, g \in V$, define

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x) dx.$$

Exercise: check that this defines an inner product on V . (Positivity and definiteness are the only conditions that are tricky to check.)

For the rest of the lecture, let V and W be inner product spaces over \mathbb{F} .

Proposition 13. Suppose $u, v, w \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

- (i) $\langle 0, v \rangle = 0$ and $\langle v, 0 \rangle = 0$.
- (ii) The function $v \mapsto \langle \cdot, v \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ x &\mapsto \langle x, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is linear.

- (iii) $\langle u, v + w \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, w \rangle$.
- (iv) $\langle u, \lambda v \rangle = \lambda \langle u, v \rangle$.

Proof sketch. For part (iii):

$$\langle u, v + w \rangle = \overline{\langle v + w, u \rangle} = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle + \langle w, u \rangle} = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle} + \overline{\langle w, u \rangle} = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, w \rangle$$

The other parts: exercise. □

Definition 14. Given $v \in V$, the *norm* of v is

$$\|v\| := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}.$$

Proposition 15. Given $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

- (i) $\|v\| = 0$ iff $v = 0$; and
- (ii) $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \|v\|$.

Proof. Exercise. □

Definition 16. Vectors $v, w \in V$ are *orthogonal* if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. This is denoted $u \perp v$.

Remark 17. Since $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ iff $\langle v, u \rangle = 0$, the orthogonality relation is symmetric.

Lemma 18. Given $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \|u\| \|v\| \cos(\theta)$$

where θ is the angle between u and v .

Definition 19. Given $u, v \in V$, we define the *angle between u and v* to be

$$\angle(u, v) := \arccos\left(\frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\| \|v\|}\right).$$

Remark 20. You will show in an exercise that this definition makes sense.

Lemma 21.

- 0 is orthogonal to every $v \in V$.
- 0 is the only vector in V that is orthogonal to itself.

Proof. Exercise. □

Theorem 22 (Pythagorean theorem). If $u, v \in V$ are orthogonal, then

$$\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 = \|u + v\|^2.$$

Proof. Exercise. □

In calculus, you were sometimes faced with the following problem. Suppose L is a line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 and P is a point not lying on the line L . What is the distance from P to L , i.e., what is the point on L closest to P ? [Draw picture.]

The answer uses the ideas of orthogonal projection and orthogonal decomposition. Let u be the vector from the origin to P , and let v be a vector in the direction of L . [Continue picture.] The point on L lying closest to P will be perpendicular to L . In other words, we want to find a vector w perpendicular to L that ends at P .

In order to find the distance, which is the length of w , we proceed as follows. Letting c be an undetermined scalar, we can write

$$u = cv + (u - cv)$$

as a sum of a component parallel to v , and one perpendicular to v . Since $u - cv$ is orthogonal to v , then

$$0 = \langle u - cv, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - c\langle v, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - c\|v\|^2.$$

Solving for c , we find

$$c = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2}.$$

This proof carries over to abstract inner product spaces.

Proposition 23. Suppose $u, v \in V$, with $v \neq 0$, and let $c := \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2}$. Letting $w = u - \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2}v$, then

$$u = cv + w \text{ and } \langle w, v \rangle = 0.$$

Proposition 24 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Given $u, v \in V$, then

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \|v\|.$$

Moreover, we have an equality in the above iff u and v are a scalar multiples of each other.

Proof. Exercise. □

Proposition 25 (Triangle Inequality). Given $u, v \in V$, then

$$\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|.$$

Proposition 26 (Parallelogram identity). Given $u, v \in V$, then

$$\|u + v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 = 2(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)$$

[Draw picture: $u + v$ and $u - v$ are diagonals of parallelogram.]

Proof. Exercise. □

II.4. Orthonormal bases and Gram-Schmidt. Bases of orthogonal vectors, all having length 1, have some very convenient properties. We will see that any basis can be transformed into an orthonormal basis.

Definition 27. A list e_1, \dots, e_m of vectors is *orthonormal* if $\|e_i\| = 1$ for all i , and $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

In other words e_1, \dots, e_n is orthonormal iff

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Example 28.

- The standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n is an orthonormal list.
- The list

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1, 1, 0), \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1, 1, -2)$$

is orthonormal.

Proposition 29. Every orthonormal list is linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $e_1, \dots, e_m \in V$ is an orthonormal list. Suppose

$$a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m = 0$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$0 = \langle 0, e_1 \rangle = \langle a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m, e_1 \rangle = a_1 \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle + \dots + a_m \langle e_m, e_1 \rangle \stackrel{0}{=} a_1$$

so $a_1 = 0$. Similarly applying $\langle \cdot, e_i \rangle$, we find $a_i = 0$ for each i . \square

Definition 30. An *orthonormal basis* of V is an orthonormal list in V that is also a basis of V .

In general, given a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V and a vector $u \in V$, it can be time-consuming to compute the scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ realizing u as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n , i.e., such that

$$u = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n.$$

However, if this basis is orthonormal, it is easy to compute these a_i .

Proposition 31. Suppose e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of V and $u, v \in V$. Then

- (i) $v = \langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle v, e_n \rangle e_n$
- (ii) $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, e_1 \rangle \overline{\langle v, e_1 \rangle} + \dots + \langle u, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle v, e_n \rangle}$

The following procedure describes how to transform a basis into an orthonormal basis.

Theorem 32 (Gram-Schmidt procedure). Suppose v_1, \dots, v_n is a linearly independent list. Let $f_1 := v_1$, and for $k = 2, \dots, m$, define f_k recursively by

$$f_k = v_k - \frac{\langle v_k, f_1 \rangle}{\|f_1\|^2} f_1 - \dots - \frac{\langle v_k, f_{k-1} \rangle}{\|f_{k-1}\|^2} f_{k-1} \quad (*)$$

For each k , let $e_k := \frac{f_k}{\|f_k\|}$. Then e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthonormal list in V such that

$$\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_k)$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. By induction on k . Base case: $k = 1$. Then

$$\|e_1\| = \left\| \frac{f_1}{\|f_1\|} \right\| = \frac{\|f_1\|}{\|f_1\|} = 1.$$

Since e_1 is a nonzero multiple of v_1 , then $\text{span}(e_1) = \text{span}(v_1)$.

Inductive step: Assume $k \geq 2$ and the result holds for $k - 1$, so the list e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} defined by (*) is orthonormal and

$$\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}).$$

Since v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly independent, then

$$v_k \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}) = \text{span}(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1})$$

Thus $f_k \neq 0$, so $\|f_k\| \neq 0$. Then

$$\|e_k\| = \left\| \frac{f_k}{\|f_k\|} \right\| = \frac{\|f_k\|}{\|f_k\|} = 1.$$

Given $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_k, e_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{\|f_k\| \|f_j\|} \langle f_k, f_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\|f_k\| \|f_j\|} \left\langle v_k - \frac{\langle v_k, f_1 \rangle}{\|f_1\|^2} f_1 - \dots - \frac{\langle v_k, f_j \rangle}{\|f_j\|^2} f_j - \dots - \frac{\langle v_k, f_{k-1} \rangle}{\|f_{k-1}\|^2} f_{k-1}, f_j \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} are orthogonal, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\|f_k\| \|f_j\|} \left(\langle v_k, f_j \rangle - \frac{\langle v_k, f_1 \rangle}{\|f_1\|^2} \langle f_1, f_j \rangle - \dots - \frac{\langle v_k, f_j \rangle}{\|f_j\|^2} \langle f_j, f_j \rangle - \dots - \frac{\langle v_k, f_{k-1} \rangle}{\|f_{k-1}\|^2} \langle f_{k-1}, f_j \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\|f_k\| \|f_j\|} \left(\langle v_k, f_j \rangle - \frac{\langle v_k, f_j \rangle}{\|f_j\|^2} \|f_j\|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{\|f_k\| \|f_j\|} (\langle v_k, f_j \rangle - \langle v_k, f_j \rangle) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□