## 18.404 Midterm Review

 $18.404 \ {\rm Course \ Staff}$ 

MIT

# **Regular Languages**

### How do we show a language is regular?

- Use closure properties
- Construct a regex, NFA, or DFA

#### How do we prove that it's not?

• Pumping Lemma (up or down)

# Context Free Languages

## How do we prove that a language is context-free?

- Use closure under union or intersection with regular languages
- Construct PDAs or context-free grammars

## Examples from class

- $\{0^k 1^k\}$
- $\{0^k 1^{2k}\}$
- $\bullet \ \{0^a 1^b | b \le a \le 2b\}$

#### How do we prove that it's not?

- Pumping Lemma (up or down)
- Closure properties then pumping lemma

# T-Recognizable Languages

## How do we show that a language is recognizable?

- Construct a TM that recognizes it
- Construct an enumerator
- Show that it's mapping reducible to  $A_{\rm TM}$  (or other recognizable language)

## How do we show that a language is co-recognizable?

- Prove that the complement is recognizable
- Show that it's mapping reducible to  $\overline{A_{\rm TM}}$

#### How do we show a language is not recognizable?

- Show that it's co-recognizable and undecidable
- Show that  $A_{\rm TM}$  is mapping reducible to its complement.
- Try to obtain a contradiction in other ways (for example using the recursion theorem, or using diagonal argument like with  $A_{\rm TM}$ )

## How do we show that a language is decidable?

- Construct a TM that decides it
- Prove that it's recognizable and co-recognizable

## How do we show that a language is not decidable?

- Reduce  $A_{\rm TM}$  to the problem
- Use the computation history method (especially if the problem is about existence in other computational models)
- Sometimes you can use the recursion theorem to obtain simpler proofs

Let  $L = \{w \text{ containing exactly one } a \text{ or exactly one } b\}$ . Show that L is regular by explicitly giving:

- **1** A regular expression
- 2 An NFA or DFA

Let  $L = \{w \text{ contains only 0's and } |w| = k^2 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ Show that L is not regular. Call a language *major* if it includes some string s with with strictly more 1's than 0's. Is  $L = \{\langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a DFA and } L(M) \text{ is major} \}$  decidable? Is  $L = \{w \# x \text{ such that } w^R \text{ is a substring of } x\}$  context-free?

Suppose A is context free, B is not context free. Which of the following are possible:

B = A ∩ C for C regular?
B = A ∩ C for C context free?
B = A ∪ C for C regular?
B = A ∪ C for C context free?

Recall:  $A_{\rm TM}$  is T-recognizable, but  $\overline{A_{\rm TM}}$  is not.

- **1** True or false?  $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_{\text{m}} A_{\text{TM}}$ .
- ② Let  $J = \{bx : b = 0 \text{ if } x \in A_{\text{TM}} \text{ otherwise } 1\}$ . Prove  $A_{\text{TM}} \leq_{\text{m}} J$  and  $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_{\text{m}} J$ .
- Is  $\overline{E_{\text{LBA}}} \leq_{\text{m}} J$ ? **Hint:** What if you find a mapping reduction to either  $A_{\text{TM}}$  or  $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}}$ ?

Let 
$$SH = \{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ halts on some inputs} \}$$
. Is  $SH$  T-recognizable? What about  $\overline{SH}$ ?

### Hints:

- To show SH is T-recognizable, recall how we showed  $\overline{E_{\text{TM}}}$  is T-recognizable.
- **2** Use a mapping reduction to show  $\overline{SH}$  is T-unrecognizable.

Let  $AH = \{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ halts on all inputs} \}$ . Is AH T-recognizable? What about  $\overline{AH}$ ?

#### Hints:

- **(**) Use a mapping reduction to show  $\overline{AH}$  is T-unrecognizable.

True or false? Whenever A is decidable and B is regular:  $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}} B.$ 

## Double The Tapes Is Double The Fun!

**Definition:** A 2-tape DFA has two inputs, with a read-only, left-to-right head on each. The transition function is a mapping  $Q \times (\Sigma \times \Sigma) \rightarrow Q \times \{\text{Head 1, Head 2}\}$ , to indicate the new state and which head to step right. It accepts if it moves a head off the end of the tape while in an accepting state.

Show that the following language is decidable:

 $ALL_{2-\text{tape DFA}} = \{ \langle M \rangle | \text{ 2-tape DFA } M \text{ accepts every } (x, y) \}.$ 

#### Hints:

• Try to construct a PDA P with alphabet  $\Sigma \cup \{\#\}$ , such that L(P) is empty iff M accepts every (x, y). Then use the  $E_{\text{CFG}}$  decider.

**Definition:** A 2-tape NFA has transition function  $Q \times (\Sigma \times \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \{\text{Head 1}, \text{Head 2}\})$ . It accepts if on some branch the NFA moves a head off the end of the tape while in an accepting state.

Show that the following language is *undecidable*:

 $ALL_{2-\text{tape NFA}} = \{ \langle M \rangle | \text{ 2-tape NFA } M \text{ accepts every } (x, y) \}.$ 

#### Hints:

• Construct a 2-tape NFA that accepts strings that are *not* an accepting computation history.