## 18.435/2.111 Homework # 3 Solutions

1: The density matrix is

$$|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi| = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking Tr  $_A$  gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$

Taking Tr  $_B$  gives

Looking at Tr <sub>A</sub>, one can see that the eigenvectors of 
$$(1, \pm 1)$$
, which shows that the eigenvalues are  $\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ .

An alternative way of calculating is

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{2}|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |11\rangle)(\sqrt{2}\langle00| + \langle01| + \langle11|)$$

Taking Tr $_{A}$  gives

$$\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{2}|0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_B)(\sqrt{2}_B\langle 0| + {}_B\langle 1|) \cdot_A \langle 0|0\rangle_A + \frac{1}{4}(|1\rangle_B\langle 1|) \cdot {}_A\langle 1|1\rangle_A \langle 1|1\rangle_B \langle 1|) \cdot_A \langle 1|1\rangle_A \langle 1|1\rangle_B \langle 1|) \cdot_A \langle 1|1\rangle_A \langle 1|1\rangle_B \langle 1|1\rangle_B$$

(I've left out the terms which vanish because they have  $\langle 0|1\rangle$  and  $\langle 1|0\rangle$  in them.) 2: When we take the partial trace of  $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  we get

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j^* | v_i \rangle \langle v_j | \cdot \langle w_j | w_i \rangle$$

Now, we know that this expression must be equal to

$$\sum_{i} \mu_i \, | \, v_i \rangle \langle v_i \, | \, .$$

However, equating coefficients on  $|v_i\rangle \langle v_j|$ , we see that this means that  $\mu_i = a_i a_i^*$  and  $\langle w_j | w_i \rangle = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , showing that the  $|w_j\rangle$  are an orthonormal basis. (We know they are unit vectors because we constructed them that way: the normalization was absorbed into  $a_i$ .)

3: We use the formula  $\text{CNOT}_{A,B}$   $\text{CNOT}_{B,A}$   $\text{CNOT}_{A,B}$  = SWAP. If we use the fact that a Toffoli gate is a controlled CNOT and a Fredkin gate is a controlled SWAP, we find that

Toffoli<sub>1,2,3</sub> Toffoli<sub>1,3,2</sub> Toffoli<sub>1,2,3</sub> = Fredkin<sub>1,2,3</sub>

where the indexes tell how the qubits fit into the Fredkin gate. (Note that I have defined my Fredkin gate with the qubits in a different order from Nielsen and Chuang, so for Nielsen and Chuang, you would have  $\text{Fredkin}_{3,1,2}$  in the above formula.)

You can replace the outer two Tofoli gates with CNOT's by just checking that they work properly if if qubit 1 is  $|0\rangle$  — if qubit 1 is  $|1\rangle$ , then the behavior is the same as the Toffoli. However, if qubit 1 is  $|0\rangle$ , the middle Toffoli and the Fredkin gate behave as the identity on the last two qubits, and we need to check that  $\text{CNOT}^2 = I$ , which is correct.

NC 4.28 I need to draw a picture for this ... I'll put it up later.

NC 4.31. All of these equations are straightforward to obtain by matrix multiplication. You could save yourself a little work by using

$$C\sigma_y C = i \ C\sigma_x C \cdot C\sigma_z C$$

to obtain 4.33 from 4.32 and 4.34. You could also save yourself a little work by using 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, and 4.38 to obtain 4.35, 4.36, 4.37 and 4.39, respectively, by applying the identities  $H\sigma_x H = \sigma_z$  and  $HC_{1,2}H = HC_{2,1}H$ .

NC 4.34.

Let  $|v_+\rangle$  and  $|v_-\rangle$  be the  $\pm 1$  eigenvectors of U. We can solve this by looking at the application of the circuit to the input step by step.

We start in the state  $|0\rangle |\psi_{in}\rangle$ . When we apply the first Hadamard, we obtain

$$2^{-1/2}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) |\psi_{\rm in}\rangle$$
.

When we apply the gate U, we get

$$2^{-1/2} |0\rangle |\psi_{\mathrm{in}}\rangle + |1\rangle (\alpha |v_{+}\rangle - \beta |v_{-}\rangle)$$

where  $\alpha | v_+ \rangle + \beta | v_- \rangle = | \psi_{in} \rangle$  is the decomposition of  $| \psi_{in} \rangle$  into the eigenvectors of U. Now, this can be rewritten as

$$2^{-1/2}\alpha(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) |v_{+}\rangle + 2^{-1/2}\beta(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) |v_{-}\rangle$$

The next Hadamard turns this into

$$\alpha | 0 \rangle | v_+ \rangle + \beta | 1 \rangle | v_- \rangle,$$

and measuring the first qubit leaves the second qubit in an eigenstate of U.