

Mod- ℓ Galois image of Picard curves

Shiva Chidambaram

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

shivac@mit.edu

Joint work with Pip Goodman

Arithmetic Geometry informed by Computation

Joint Mathematics Meetings 2023

January 5, 2023

Picard curves

Let K be a number field. ($K = \mathbb{Q}$ for most of the talk.)

Definition

A Picard curve over K is a smooth projective curve C over K of genus 3 given by an affine model

$$y^3 = f(x)$$

for a degree 4 polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$.

The map $[\zeta_3] : (x, y) \mapsto (x, \zeta_3 y)$ is an automorphism of C .

Let $J = \text{Jac}(C) = \text{Pic}^0(C)$ denote the Jacobian of C .

Then J is a principally polarised abelian variety of dimension 3 with $\text{End}(J) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$.

Mod- ℓ Galois representations

Let $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$. Let ℓ be a prime.

Let $J[\ell]$ be the ℓ -torsion subgroup of J .

G_K acts on $J[\ell]$, giving the mod- ℓ Galois representation

$$\overline{\rho}_{J,\ell} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}(6, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

such that $\chi_{\text{sim}} \circ \overline{\rho}_{J,\ell}$ is the mod- ℓ cyclotomic character χ_ℓ .

The endomorphism $[\zeta_3]$ preserves Weil pairing, so gives an element in $\text{Sp}(6, \ell)$ with characteristic polynomial $(t^2 + t + 1)^3$.

Let $N(\ell)$ be the normalizer of $[\zeta_3]$ in $\text{GSp}(6, \ell)$. The image of $\overline{\rho}_{J,\ell}$ is contained in $N(\ell)$.

Questions

1. For which ℓ , is the image of $\overline{\rho}_{J,\ell}$ not conjugate to $N(\ell)$?
2. Can we find (conj class of) the image in those cases?

Galois images in genus 1 and 2

- ▶ ℓ -adic Galois images of elliptic curves.
Serre, Mazur, Bilu-Parent-Robledo, Sutherland, Zywinia, Rouse-Zureick-Brown-Sutherland
- ▶ For an abelian surface A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$, Dieulefait gives an algorithm to determine the non-surjective primes ℓ for the mod- ℓ Galois representations $\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell}$.
For each class of maximal subgroup H of $\text{GSp}(4, \cdot)$, the algorithm computes a non-zero integer M . If the image of $\bar{\rho}_{A,\ell}$ is contained in H , then ℓ must divide M .
- ▶ Banwait-Brumer-Kim-Klagsbrun-Mayle-Srinivasan-Vogt have a Sage [implementation](#) of this algorithm.

The maximal images $N(\ell)$

- ▶ $\ell = 1 \pmod 3$: Then $N(\ell) \simeq (\mathrm{GL}(3, \ell) \times \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times) \rtimes \langle \gamma \rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GL}(3, \ell) \times \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times &\rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(6, \ell) \\ (A, \mu) &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mu A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and γ permutes the two isotropic 3-dimensional subspaces.

- ▶ $\ell = 2 \pmod 3$: Then $N(\ell) \simeq \Delta U(3, \ell) \rtimes \langle \mathrm{Frob} \rangle$.
Let V be a 3-dim vector space over \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} with a hermitian form, and an orthonormal basis v_1, v_2, v_3 . Let

$$\Delta U(3, \ell) := \{ T : V \rightarrow V \mid \langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \alpha \langle v, w \rangle \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \}.$$

$$\mathrm{Frob} : V \rightarrow V \text{ is the semilinear map } \sum a_i v_i \mapsto \sum \bar{a}_i v_i.$$

If $\xi \notin \mathbb{F}_\ell$ and ξ^2 is a primitive element in \mathbb{F}_ℓ , then $\mathrm{Tr}(\xi \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a symplectic \mathbb{F}_ℓ -bilinear pairing on V , and we can view $\Delta U(3, \ell) \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}(6, \ell)$ and $\mathrm{Frob} \in \mathrm{GSp}(6, \ell)$.

Main result

Algorithm

Input: a degree 4 polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with no repeated roots.

Output: A finite list of primes containing all the primes ℓ such that $\bar{\rho}_{J,\ell}$ has non-maximal image, i.e., $\text{im } \bar{\rho}_{J,\ell} \subsetneq N(\ell)$.

Ingredients:

- ▶ [Bray-Holt-Roney-Dougal] The Maximal Subgroups of the Low-Dimensional Finite Classical Groups.
- ▶ [Goodman] Superelliptic curves with large Galois images.
- ▶ [Asif-Fite-Pentland] Computing L -polynomials of Picard curves from Cartier Manin matrices.
- ▶ [Bouw-Koutsianas-Sijsling-Wewers] Conductor and discriminant of Picard curves.

Example

Let $C : y^3 = x^4 - x^2 - x + 1$. Conductor of C is $3^8 23^2$.

The mod- ℓ Galois representation of $\text{Jac}(C)$ has maximal image for all primes ℓ outside the set

$$\{2, 3, 5, 7\} \cup \text{Bad Primes}(C) \cup \{\}.$$

$\ell = 1 \pmod 3$. Maximal subgroups of $GL(3, \ell)$.

Let V be a 3-dim vector space over \mathbb{F}_ℓ . Up to conjugacy, the maximal subgroups of $GL(3, \ell)$ not containing $SL(3, \ell)$ are:

1. **Reducible:** Stabilizer of a subspace $U \subsetneq V$ where $\dim U = 1$ or 2 . Both cases yield conjugate subgroups inside $GSp(6, \ell)$.
2. **Imprimitive:** Stabilizer of a decomposition $V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 V_i$. Isomorphic to $GL(1, \ell)^3 \rtimes S_3$.
3. **Field extension subgroup:** A subgroup isomorphic to $GL(1, \ell^3) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^3}|\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.
4. **Symplectic type subgroup:** If $\ell = 4, 7 \pmod 9$, a subgroup with projective image isomorphic to $C_3^2 \rtimes SL(2, 3)$.

$\ell = 2 \pmod 3$. Maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{GU}(3, \ell)$.

Let V be a 3-dim vector space over \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} with a hermitian form. Recall $\mathrm{GU}(3, \ell)$ is the unitary group consisting of all \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} -linear maps preserving the form. Up to conjugacy, the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{GU}(3, \ell)$ not containing $\mathrm{SU}(3, \ell)$ are:

1. **Reducible:**

- ▶ Stabilizer of an isotropic 1-dim subspace $U \subsetneq V$.
- ▶ Stabilizer of a non-degenerate 1-dim subspace $U \subsetneq V$.

In both cases, the semisimplification of V contains a 1-dim non-degenerate constituent.

- ### 2. **Imprimitive:** Stabilizer of an orthogonal decomposition $V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 V_i$. Isomorphic to $\mathrm{GU}(1, \ell)^3 \rtimes S_3$.
- ### 3. **Field extension subgroup:** A subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GU}(1, \ell^3) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^3} | \mathbb{F}_{\ell})$.
- ### 4. **Symplectic type subgroup:** If $\ell = 2, 5 \pmod 9$, a subgroup with projective image isomorphic to $C_3^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, 3)$.

Reducible case: Dihedral representations

Lemma

Suppose we are in the (absolutely) reducible case. Then there exists an odd two dimensional representation τ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying the following.

- ▶ τ restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)}$ is contained in the semisimplification of $\bar{\rho}_{J,\ell} |_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)}$.
- ▶ the projective image of τ is dihedral, such that the quadratic field cut out by the projectivisation of τ is $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$.
- ▶ $\tau|_{I_\ell} = \mathbf{1} + \chi_\ell$ or $\theta_2 + \theta_2^\ell$.

Such representations τ come from cusp forms with CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$, or alternatively from algebraic Hecke characters ψ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$.

Test for reducible case: Algorithm

Let N be the conductor of J . Let S be a set of primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Algorithm

1. Find all algebraic Hecke characters ψ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ of modulus \mathfrak{m} satisfying $\text{Norm}(\mathfrak{m}) \mid (N/3^3)$, and some further absolute bounds on $\text{ord}_p \text{Norm}(\mathfrak{m})$ for each prime p .
2. For each $p \in S$, compute the Euler factor

$$x^2 - (\psi(\mathfrak{p}) + \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}))x + \psi(p)$$

for each ψ in (1). Take the product over all ψ . Call it $F_p(x)$.

3. For each $p \in S$, compute the L -polynomial of J at p . Call it $G_p(x)$. Note that its reduction mod ℓ is the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\rho}_{J,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p)$.
4. Let $M := \gcd_{p \in S} \text{Res}(F_p(x), G_p(x))$.

If $J[\ell]$ is reducible, then ℓ must divide M .

Action of inertia at ℓ

Let λ be a prime of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ lying above ℓ . Let ρ_λ denote the Galois action on $J[\lambda]$.

Proposition(Goodman)

Suppose J has good reduction at ℓ .

- ▶ If $\ell = 1 \pmod 3$, then

$$\det \rho_\lambda |_{I_{\lambda'}} = \begin{cases} \chi_\ell^2 & \text{if } \lambda' = \lambda \\ \chi_\ell & \text{if } \lambda' = \bar{\lambda} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ If $\ell = 2 \pmod 3$, then $\det \rho_\lambda |_{I_\lambda} = \theta_2^{2+\ell}$, where θ_2 is a fundamental character of level 2.

Action of inertia at ℓ

Accordingly, we get using Raynaud's theorem about the constituents in the semisimplification of $\rho_\lambda |_{I_\lambda}$,

Proposition

Let θ_n is a fundamental character of level n .

► If $\ell = 1 \pmod 3$, then

$$\rho_\lambda^{\text{ss}} |_{I_{\overline{\lambda}}} = 2\mathbf{1} + \chi_\ell, \mathbf{1} + \theta_2 + \theta_2^\ell \text{ or } \theta_3 + \theta_3^\ell + \theta_3^{\ell^2}, \text{ and}$$
$$\rho_\lambda^{\text{ss}} |_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell \otimes (\rho_\lambda^{\text{ss}} |_{I_{\overline{\lambda}}})^{-T}$$

► If $\ell = 2 \pmod 3$, then $\rho_\lambda^{\text{ss}} |_{I_\lambda} = 2\theta_2 + \theta_2^\ell$ or $\mathbf{1} + \chi_\ell + \theta_2$.

Test for Imprimitve case

Suppose that $\text{im} \bar{\rho}_{J,\ell}$ is contained in the imprimitive maximal subgroup $H \simeq \text{GL}(1, \ell)^3 \rtimes S_3$, or $\text{GU}(1, \ell)^3 \rtimes S_3$.

We consider the projectivisation of $\bar{\rho}_{J,\ell}$.

- ▶ $P\rho_\lambda^{ss} |_{I_{\lambda'}} = 2\mathbf{1} + \theta_2^{1 \pm \ell}$.
- ▶ The Galois image in the S_3 -quotient is either C_3 or S_3 .
- ▶ In either case, this quotient is only ramified at primes dividing N , and not ramified at ℓ .
- ▶ **Case 1:** There exists a C_3 extension $L/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ unramified away from N , such that whenever Frob_p is non-trivial in $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3))$, we have $\text{Tr} \rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_p) = 0 \pmod{\lambda}$.
- ▶ **Case 2:** There exists a C_2 extension $L/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ unramified away from N , such that whenever Frob_p is non-trivial in $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3))$, we have $ab = c \pmod{\lambda}$ where $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ is the char poly of Frob_p .

Summary

The algorithm has been implemented in Magma at <https://github.com/shiva-chid/Picard>.

It takes as input a degree 4 polynomial $f(x)$, and optionally the conductor of the curve $y^2 = f(x)$, and produces a finite set S of primes containing all non-surjective primes.

Remarks

- ▶ For small primes ℓ , the distribution of the characteristic polynomials almost exactly determines the subgroup of the maximal group (except in the reducible case).
- ▶ Thus, sampling L -polynomials gives a probabilistic method to recover the image exactly in these cases. Reducible case and large ℓ seem hard.

Thank you