

# 18.600: Lecture 15

## Poisson processes

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$  for integer  $k \geq 0$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$  for integer  $k \geq 0$ .
- ▶ The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters  $(n, \lambda/n)$  when  $n$  is very large.

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$  for integer  $k \geq 0$ .
- ▶ The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters  $(n, \lambda/n)$  when  $n$  is very large.
- ▶ Indeed,

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx$$

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$  for integer  $k \geq 0$ .
- ▶ The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters  $(n, \lambda/n)$  when  $n$  is very large.
- ▶ Indeed,

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx$$

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

- ▶ General idea: if you have a large number of unlikely events that are (mostly) independent of each other, and the expected number that occur is  $\lambda$ , then the total number that occur should be (approximately) a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.



## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has expectation  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has expectation  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Special case: if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has expectation  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Special case: if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$ .
- ▶ Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of  $k$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has expectation  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Special case: if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$ .
- ▶ Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of  $k$ .
- ▶ Example: number of royal flushes in a million five-card poker hands is approximately Poisson with parameter  $10^6/649739 \approx 1.54$ .

## Properties from last time...

- ▶ Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable**  $X$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has expectation  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Special case: if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$ .
- ▶ Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of  $k$ .
- ▶ Example: number of royal flushes in a million five-card poker hands is approximately Poisson with parameter  $10^6/649739 \approx 1.54$ .
- ▶ Example: if a country expects 2 plane crashes in a year, then the total number might be approximately Poisson with parameter  $\lambda = 2$ .

## A cautionary tail

- ▶ Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.

# A cautionary tail

- ▶ Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- ▶ Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.

## A cautionary tail

- ▶ Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- ▶ Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ▶ That is, roughly a  $1/e$  fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a  $1/e$  fraction has 1, a  $1/(2e)$  fraction has 2, a  $1/(6e)$  fraction has 3, and a  $1/(24e)$  fraction has 4.



## A cautionary tail

- ▶ Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- ▶ Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ▶ That is, roughly a  $1/e$  fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a  $1/e$  fraction has 1, a  $1/(2e)$  fraction has 2, a  $1/(6e)$  fraction has 3, and a  $1/(24e)$  fraction has 4.
- ▶ Joe concludes that the probability of seeing 10 foreclosures during a given month is only  $1/(10!e)$ . Probability to see 10 or more (an extreme *tail event* that would destroy the bank) is  $\sum_{k=10}^{\infty} 1/(k!e)$ , less than one in million.

## A cautionary tail

- ▶ Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- ▶ Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ▶ That is, roughly a  $1/e$  fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a  $1/e$  fraction has 1, a  $1/(2e)$  fraction has 2, a  $1/(6e)$  fraction has 3, and a  $1/(24e)$  fraction has 4.
- ▶ Joe concludes that the probability of seeing 10 foreclosures during a given month is only  $1/(10!e)$ . Probability to see 10 or more (an extreme *tail event* that would destroy the bank) is  $\sum_{k=10}^{\infty} 1/(k!e)$ , less than one in million.
- ▶ Investors are impressed. Joe receives large bonus.

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

## How should we define the *Poisson process*?

- ▶ Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise *times* at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.

## How should we define the *Poisson process*?

- ▶ Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise *times* at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- ▶ Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function  $N(t)$  that describe the number of events that occur during the first  $t$  units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first  $t$  years, or the number of royal flushes in first  $10^6 t$  poker hands.)

## How should we define the *Poisson process*?

- ▶ Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise *times* at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- ▶ Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function  $N(t)$  that describe the number of events that occur during the first  $t$  units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first  $t$  years, or the number of royal flushes in first  $10^6 t$  poker hands.)
- ▶ So  $N(t)$  is a **random non-decreasing integer-valued function** of  $t$  with  $N(0) = 0$ .

## How should we define the *Poisson process*?

- ▶ Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise *times* at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- ▶ Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function  $N(t)$  that describe the number of events that occur during the first  $t$  units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first  $t$  years, or the number of royal flushes in first  $10^6 t$  poker hands.)
- ▶ So  $N(t)$  is a **random non-decreasing integer-valued function** of  $t$  with  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ For each  $t$ ,  $N(t)$  is a random variable, and the  $N(t)$  are functions on the same sample space.



Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce:  $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$  for some  $\lambda$ .)

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce:  $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$  for some  $\lambda$ .)
- ▶ 4. **Non-concurrence:**  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} \ll P\{N(h) = 1\}$  when  $h$  is small. Precisely:

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce:  $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$  for some  $\lambda$ .)
- ▶ 4. **Non-concurrence:**  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} \ll P\{N(h) = 1\}$  when  $h$  is small. Precisely:
  - ▶  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ . (Here  $f(h) = o(h)$  means  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h)/h = 0$ .)



# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce:  $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$  for some  $\lambda$ .)
- ▶ 4. **Non-concurrence:**  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} \ll P\{N(h) = 1\}$  when  $h$  is small. Precisely:
  - ▶  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ . (Here  $f(h) = o(h)$  means  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h)/h = 0$ .)
  - ▶  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ .

# Poisson process axioms

- ▶ Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function  $N(t)$  to satisfy.
- ▶ 1.  $N(0) = 0$ .
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of  $N$ ) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce:  $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$  for some  $\lambda$ .)
- ▶ 4. **Non-concurrence:**  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} \ll P\{N(h) = 1\}$  when  $h$  is small. Precisely:
  - ▶  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ . (Here  $f(h) = o(h)$  means  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h)/h = 0$ .)
  - ▶  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ .
- ▶ A random function  $N(t)$  with these properties is a **Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$** .

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- ▶ Fix  $\lambda$  and  $t$ . Probability of no events in interval of length  $t/n$  is  $(1 - \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$ .

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- ▶ Fix  $\lambda$  and  $t$ . Probability of no events in interval of length  $t/n$  is  $(1 - \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Probability of no events in first  $n$  such intervals is about  $(1 - \lambda t/n + o(1/n))^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$ .



## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- ▶ Fix  $\lambda$  and  $t$ . Probability of no events in interval of length  $t/n$  is  $(1 - \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Probability of no events in first  $n$  such intervals is about  $(1 - \lambda t/n + o(1/n))^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶ Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , can show that probability of no event in interval of length  $t$  is  $e^{-\lambda t}$ .

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- ▶ Fix  $\lambda$  and  $t$ . Probability of no events in interval of length  $t/n$  is  $(1 - \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Probability of no events in first  $n$  such intervals is about  $(1 - \lambda t/n + o(1/n))^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶ Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , can show that probability of no event in interval of length  $t$  is  $e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶  $P\{N(t) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda t}$ .

## Consequences of axioms: time till first event

- ▶ Can we work out the probability of no events before time  $t$ ?
- ▶ We assumed  $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $P\{N(h) \geq 2\} = o(h)$ . Taken together, these imply that  $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$ .
- ▶ Fix  $\lambda$  and  $t$ . Probability of no events in interval of length  $t/n$  is  $(1 - \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Probability of no events in first  $n$  such intervals is about  $(1 - \lambda t/n + o(1/n))^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶ Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , can show that probability of no event in interval of length  $t$  is  $e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶  $P\{N(t) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶ Let  $T_1$  be the time of the first event. Then  $P\{T_1 \geq t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$ . We say that  $T_1$  is an **exponential random variable with rate  $\lambda$** .

## Consequences of axioms: time till second, third events

- ▶ Let  $T_2$  be time between first and second event. Generally,  $T_k$  is time between  $(k - 1)$ th and  $k$ th event.

## Consequences of axioms: time till second, third events

- ▶ Let  $T_2$  be time between first and second event. Generally,  $T_k$  is time between  $(k - 1)$ th and  $k$ th event.
- ▶ Then the  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables  $T_k$  gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate  $\lambda$ .

## Consequences of axioms: time till second, third events

- ▶ Let  $T_2$  be time between first and second event. Generally,  $T_k$  is time between  $(k - 1)$ th and  $k$ th event.
- ▶ Then the  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables  $T_k$  gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ This finally gives us a way to construct  $N(t)$ . It is determined by the sequence  $T_j$  of independent exponential random variables.

## Consequences of axioms: time till second, third events

- ▶ Let  $T_2$  be time between first and second event. Generally,  $T_k$  is time between  $(k - 1)$ th and  $k$ th event.
- ▶ Then the  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables  $T_k$  gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ This finally gives us a way to construct  $N(t)$ . It is determined by the sequence  $T_j$  of independent exponential random variables.
- ▶ Axioms can be readily verified from this description.

## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .



## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .
- ▶ One way to prove this: divide time into  $n$  intervals of length  $t/n$ . In each, probability to see an event is  $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$ .

## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .
- ▶ One way to prove this: divide time into  $n$  intervals of length  $t/n$ . In each, probability to see an event is  $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly  $k$  intervals.

## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .
- ▶ One way to prove this: divide time into  $n$  intervals of length  $t/n$ . In each, probability to see an event is  $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly  $k$  intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula:  
$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .
- ▶ One way to prove this: divide time into  $n$  intervals of length  $t/n$ . In each, probability to see an event is  $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly  $k$  intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula:  
$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$
- ▶ This is approximately  $\frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}$ .

## Back to Poisson distribution

- ▶ Axioms should imply that  $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$ .
- ▶ One way to prove this: divide time into  $n$  intervals of length  $t/n$ . In each, probability to see an event is  $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$ .
- ▶ Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly  $k$  intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula:  
$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$
- ▶ This is approximately  $\frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- ▶ Take  $n$  to infinity, and use fact that expected number of intervals with two or more points tends to zero (thus probability to see any intervals with two more points tends to zero).

# Summary

- ▶ We constructed a random function  $N(t)$  called a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ .

# Summary

- ▶ We constructed a random function  $N(t)$  called a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ For each  $t > s \geq 0$ , the value  $N(t) - N(s)$  describes the number of events occurring in the time interval  $(s, t)$  and is Poisson with rate  $(t - s)\lambda$ .

# Summary

- ▶ We constructed a random function  $N(t)$  called a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ For each  $t > s \geq 0$ , the value  $N(t) - N(s)$  describes the number of events occurring in the time interval  $(s, t)$  and is Poisson with rate  $(t - s)\lambda$ .
- ▶ The numbers of events occurring in disjoint intervals are independent random variables.



# Summary

- ▶ We constructed a random function  $N(t)$  called a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ For each  $t > s \geq 0$ , the value  $N(t) - N(s)$  describes the number of events occurring in the time interval  $(s, t)$  and is Poisson with rate  $(t - s)\lambda$ .
- ▶ The numbers of events occurring in disjoint intervals are independent random variables.
- ▶ Let  $T_k$  be time elapsed, since the previous event, until the  $k$ th event occurs. Then the  $T_k$  are independent random variables, each of which is exponential with parameter  $\lambda$ .