

18.175: Lecture 24

Brownian motion

Scott Sheffield

MIT



Brownian motion properties and construction

Markov property, Blumenthal's 0-1 law

Brownian motion properties and construction

Markov property, Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.

Basic properties

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ **Independent increments:** If $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$ then $B(t_0), B(t_1 - t_0), B(t_2 - t_1), \dots$ are independent.

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ **Independent increments:** If $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$ then $B(t_0), B(t_1 - t_0), B(t_2 - t_1), \dots$ are independent.
- ▶ **Gaussian increments:** If $s, t \geq 0$ then $B(s + t) - B(s)$ is normal with variance t .

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ **Independent increments:** If $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$ then $B(t_0), B(t_1 - t_0), B(t_2 - t_1), \dots$ are independent.
- ▶ **Gaussian increments:** If $s, t \geq 0$ then $B(s + t) - B(s)$ is normal with variance t .
- ▶ **Continuity:** With probability one, $t \rightarrow B_t$ is continuous.

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ **Independent increments:** If $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$ then $B(t_0), B(t_1 - t_0), B(t_2 - t_1), \dots$ are independent.
- ▶ **Gaussian increments:** If $s, t \geq 0$ then $B(s + t) - B(s)$ is normal with variance t .
- ▶ **Continuity:** With probability one, $t \rightarrow B_t$ is continuous.
- ▶ Hmm... does this mean we need to use a σ -algebra in which the event " B_t is continuous" is a measurable?

Basic properties

- ▶ Brownian motion is real-valued process B_t , $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ **Independent increments:** If $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots$ then $B(t_0), B(t_1 - t_0), B(t_2 - t_1), \dots$ are independent.
- ▶ **Gaussian increments:** If $s, t \geq 0$ then $B(s + t) - B(s)$ is normal with variance t .
- ▶ **Continuity:** With probability one, $t \rightarrow B_t$ is continuous.
- ▶ Hmm... does this mean we need to use a σ -algebra in which the event " B_t is continuous" is a measurable?
- ▶ Suppose Ω is set of all functions of t , and we use smallest σ -field that makes each B_t a measurable random variable... does that fail?

- ▶ Translation invariance: is $B_{t_0+t} - B_{t_0}$ a Brownian motion?

- ▶ Translation invariance: is $B_{t_0+t} - B_{t_0}$ a Brownian motion?
- ▶ Brownian scaling: fix c , then B_{ct} agrees in law with $c^{1/2}B_t$.

- ▶ Translation invariance: is $B_{t_0+t} - B_{t_0}$ a Brownian motion?
- ▶ Brownian scaling: fix c , then B_{ct} agrees in law with $c^{1/2}B_t$.
- ▶ Another characterization: B is jointly Gaussian, $EB_s = 0$, $EB_s B_t = s \wedge t$, and $t \rightarrow B_t$ a.s. continuous.

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.
- ▶ But not enough to get a.s. continuity.

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.
- ▶ But not enough to get a.s. continuity.
- ▶ Can define Brownian motion jointly on dyadic rationals pretty easily. And claim that this a.s. extends to continuous path in unique way.

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.
- ▶ But not enough to get a.s. continuity.
- ▶ Can define Brownian motion jointly on dyadic rationals pretty easily. And claim that this a.s. extends to continuous path in unique way.
- ▶ We can use the Kolmogorov continuity theorem (next slide).

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.
- ▶ But not enough to get a.s. continuity.
- ▶ Can define Brownian motion jointly on dyadic rationals pretty easily. And claim that this a.s. extends to continuous path in unique way.
- ▶ We can use the Kolmogorov continuity theorem (next slide).
- ▶ Can prove Hölder continuity using similar estimates (see problem set).

Defining Brownian motion

- ▶ Can define joint law of B_t values for any finite collection of values.
- ▶ Can observe consistency and extend to countable set by Kolmogorov. This gives us measure in σ -field \mathcal{F}_0 generated by cylinder sets.
- ▶ But not enough to get a.s. continuity.
- ▶ Can define Brownian motion jointly on dyadic rationals pretty easily. And claim that this a.s. extends to continuous path in unique way.
- ▶ We can use the Kolmogorov continuity theorem (next slide).
- ▶ Can prove Hölder continuity using similar estimates (see problem set).
- ▶ Can extend to higher dimensions: make each coordinate independent Brownian motion.

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.

Continuity theorem

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** First look at values at all multiples of 2^{-0} , then at all multiples of 2^{-1} , then multiples of 2^{-2} , etc.

Continuity theorem

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** First look at values at all multiples of 2^{-0} , then at all multiples of 2^{-1} , then multiples of 2^{-2} , etc.
- ▶ At each stage we can draw a nice piecewise linear approximation of the process. How much does the approximation change in supremum norm (or some other Hölder norm) on the i th step? Can we say it probably doesn't change very much? Can we say the sequence of approximations is a.s. Cauchy in the appropriate normed space?

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.

Continuity theorem proof

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.
- ▶ **Argument from Durrett (Pemantle):** Write $G_n = \{|X(i/2^n) - X((i-1)/2^n)|\} \leq C|q - r|^\lambda$ for $0 < i \leq 2^n$.

Continuity theorem proof

- ▶ **Kolmogorov continuity theorem:** Suppose $E|X_s - X_t|^\beta \leq K|t - s|^{1+\alpha}$ where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $\gamma < \alpha/\beta$ then with probability one there is a constant $C(\omega)$ so that $|X(q) - X(r)| \leq C|q - r|^\gamma$ for all $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0, 1]$.
- ▶ **Argument from Durrett (Pemantle):** Write $G_n = \{|X(i/2^n) - X((i-1)/2^n)|\} \leq C|q - r|^\lambda$ for $0 < i \leq 2^n$.
- ▶ Chebyshev implies $P(|Y| > a) \leq a^{-\beta} E|Y|^\beta$, so if $\lambda = \alpha - \beta\gamma > 0$ then

$$P(G_n^c) \leq 2^n \cdot 2^{n\beta\gamma} \cdot E|X(j2^{-n})|^\beta = K2^{-n\lambda}.$$

- ▶ Brownian motion is Hölder continuous for any $\gamma < 1/2$ (apply theorem with $\beta = 2m, \alpha = m - 1$).

- ▶ Brownian motion is Hölder continuous for any $\gamma < 1/2$ (apply theorem with $\beta = 2m, \alpha = m - 1$).
- ▶ Brownian motion is almost surely not differentiable.

- ▶ Brownian motion is Hölder continuous for any $\gamma < 1/2$ (apply theorem with $\beta = 2m, \alpha = m - 1$).
- ▶ Brownian motion is almost surely not differentiable.
- ▶ Brownian motion is almost surely not Lipschitz.

- ▶ Brownian motion is Hölder continuous for any $\gamma < 1/2$ (apply theorem with $\beta = 2m, \alpha = m - 1$).
- ▶ Brownian motion is almost surely not differentiable.
- ▶ Brownian motion is almost surely not Lipschitz.
- ▶ Kolmogorov-Centsov theorem applies to higher dimensions (with adjusted exponents). One can construct a.s. continuous functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} .

Brownian motion properties and construction

Markov property, Blumenthal's 0-1 law

Brownian motion properties and construction

Markov property, Blumenthal's 0-1 law

More σ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$.

More σ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$.
- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$

More σ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$.
- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$
- ▶ Note right continuity: $\bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^+ = \mathcal{F}_s^+$.

More σ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$.
- ▶ Write $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$
- ▶ Note right continuity: $\bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^+ = \mathcal{F}_s^+$.
- ▶ \mathcal{F}_s^+ allows an “infinitesimal peek at future”

- ▶ If $s \geq 0$ and Y is bounded and \mathcal{C} -measurable, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$E_x(Y \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_{B_s} Y,$$

where the RHS is function $\phi(x) = E_x Y$ evaluated at $x = B_s$.

- ▶ If $s \geq 0$ and Y is bounded and \mathcal{C} -measurable, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$E_x(Y \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_{B_s} Y,$$

where the RHS is function $\phi(x) = E_x Y$ evaluated at $x = B_s$.

- ▶ **Proof idea:** First establish this for some simple functions Y (depending on finitely many time values) and then use measure theory (monotone class theorem) to extend to general case.

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If Z is bounded and measurable then for all $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If Z is bounded and measurable then for all $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Proof idea:** Consider case that $Z = \sum_{i=1}^m f_m(B(t_m))$ and the f_m are bounded and measurable. Kind of obvious in this case. Then use same measure theory as in Markov property proof to extend general Z .

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If Z is bounded and measurable then for all $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Proof idea:** Consider case that $Z = \sum_{i=1}^m f_m(B(t_m))$ and the f_m are bounded and measurable. Kind of obvious in this case. Then use same measure theory as in Markov property proof to extend general Z .
- ▶ **Observe:** If $Z \in \mathcal{F}_s^+$ then $Z = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o)$. Conclude that \mathcal{F}_s^+ and \mathcal{F}_s^o agree up to null sets.

Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$, then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ (if P is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value x at time 0).

Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$, then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ (if P is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value x at time 0).
- ▶ There's nothing you can learn from infinitesimal neighborhood of future.

Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$, then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ (if P is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value x at time 0).
- ▶ There's nothing you can learn from infinitesimal neighborhood of future.
- ▶ **Proof:** If we have $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$, then previous theorem implies

$$1_A = E_x(1_A | \mathcal{F}_0^+) = E_x(1_A | \mathcal{F}_0^o) = P_x(A) \quad P_x \text{ a.s.}$$

- ▶ If $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$ then $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$.

More observations

- ▶ If $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$ then $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$.
- ▶ If $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t = 0\}$ then $P_0(T_0 = 0) = 1$.

More observations

- ▶ If $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$ then $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$.
- ▶ If $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t = 0\}$ then $P_0(T_0 = 0) = 1$.
- ▶ If B_t is Brownian motion started at 0, then so is process defined by $X_0 = 0$ and $X_t = tB(1/t)$. (Proved by checking $E(X_s X_t) = stE(B(1/s)B(1/t)) = s$ when $s < t$. Then check continuity at zero.)

- ▶ What can we say about continuous martingales?

Continuous martingales

- ▶ What can we say about continuous martingales?
- ▶ Do they all kind of look like Brownian motion?