

1. PONTRYAGIN–THOM

Two closed smooth n -manifolds M and N are said to be (unoriented) bordant to each other if there exists an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold-with-boundary W such that $\partial = M \amalg N$.

Definition 1.1. *The unoriented bordism group \mathcal{N}_* is the graded abelian group whose degree n part \mathcal{N}_n is the set of isomorphism classes of n -dimensional smooth closed manifolds modulo the bordism relation, where the addition law is given by disjoint union.*

As $M \amalg M$ is the boundary of $M \times [0, 1]$, every element in \mathcal{N}_* is 2-torsion and the inverse of $[M]$ is itself. This is also a ring, with product structure induced from the Cartesian product.

Questions 1.2. *How to calculate \mathcal{N}_* ?*

We use the following procedure, the so-called *Pontryagin–Thom construction*, to turn \mathcal{N}_* into a homotopical object. Given a smooth closed n -dimensional manifold M , using the Whitney embedding theorem, we can find a smooth embedding

$$i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$$

for some $k > 0$. Then we have the following short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow i^* \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+k} \longrightarrow \nu \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\nu \rightarrow M$ is the normal bundle of M under the embedding i . We can use the exponential map with respect to the Euclidean metric to define an open embedding from the unit disk-bundle

$$D(\nu) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k},$$

which can be equivalently thought of as the tubular neighborhood.

For any two choices

$$i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}, \quad i' : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k'},$$

with the corresponding open embeddings

$$D(\nu) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}, \quad D(\nu') \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k'},$$

they can be related in the following way, which can be proved using the arguments of the Whitney embedding theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists $K \geq \max\{k, k'\}$ such that for the embeddings $M \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+K}$ and $M \xrightarrow{i'} \mathbb{R}^{n+k'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+K}$, the induced open embeddings from the tubular neighborhoods are isotopic to each other.*

In particular, the vector bundles ν and ν' are stably isomorphic:

$$\nu \oplus \mathbb{R}^{K-k} \cong \nu' \oplus \mathbb{R}^{K-k'}.$$

We call this stable isomorphism class of vector bundles over M its *stable normal bundle*.

Given a vector bundle $\xi \rightarrow M$, recall that its *Thom space* $\text{Th}(\xi)$ is defined to be

$$\text{Th}(\xi) := D(\xi)/S(\xi),$$

where we abuse the notation to use $D(\xi)$ denote the closed unit disk-bundle of ξ under a given metric, and $S(\xi)$ is the unit sphere-bundle. $\text{Th}(\xi)$ is a pointed-space with base point at infinity. Going back to $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, we can define a map

$$S^{n+k} = \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \coprod \{\infty\} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu)$$

which takes the complement of $D(\nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ to the base point, and is the identity map over $D(\nu)$. This is called the *Pontryagin-Thom collapsing map*.

Exercise 1.4. *Show that for $m > 0$, we have*

$$\text{Th}(\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}^m) = S^m \wedge \text{Th}(\xi) = \Sigma^m(\text{Th}(\xi)),$$

where Σ is the (reduced) suspension on based topological spaces.

Accordingly, for the composed embedding $M \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+K}$, the induced map

$$S^{n+K} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu \oplus \mathbb{R}^{K-k})$$

comes from suspending the original map $S^{n+k} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu)$ by $K - k$ times. Then by Theorem 1.3, for two different choices of embeddings, we see that

$$S^{n+K} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu \oplus \mathbb{R}^{K-k}), \quad S^{n+K} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\nu' \oplus \mathbb{R}^{K-k'})$$

define the same homotopy class of maps.

Now let's take a closer look at ν . Given a point in ν , it gives rise to

- (1) an k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+k} , the normal space, which is an element in $\text{Gr}(k, n+k)$, the Grassmannian of k -planes in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} ;
- (2) a vector in this k -dimensional vector space, the normal vector itself, so an element of the tautological vector bundle $\xi_k \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n+k)$.

As a result, we obtain a map

$$\text{Th}(\nu) \rightarrow \text{Th}(\xi_k),$$

which can be composed with the PT collapsing map to give

$$S^{n+k} \rightarrow \text{Th}(\xi_k).$$

By the independence result for different choices of embeddings, we see that M defines a unique class in

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [S^{n+k}, \text{Th}(\xi_k)].$$

Furthermore, we can replace $\xi_k \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n+k)$ by the bundle $\xi_k \rightarrow BO(k)$, and the Thom space $\text{Th}(\xi_k)$ over $BO(k)$ is usually written as $MO(k)$.

Theorem 1.5. *The oriented bordism group \mathcal{N}_n is isomorphic to*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+k}(MO(k)).$$

Proof. We have described the map

$$\mathcal{N}_n \rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+k}(MO(k))$$

in the above discussions. To show it's well-defined, we need to argue that it descends under the bordism relation. To this end, for a manifold-with-boundary W inducing the bordism relation of M and N , we can choose an embedding

$$(W, M \amalg N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times [0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times \{0\}, \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times \{1\})$$

using the collar coordinates and a stabilization. By performing the Pontryagin–Thom collapsing construction over $[0, 1]$, we see that the map indeed descends.

There is also something interesting in the reversed direction. Representing an element in the stable homotopy group $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+k}(MO(k))$ by a map

$$S^{n+k} \rightarrow \pi_{n+k}(MO(k)),$$

we need to construct a manifold. Given the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{Th}(\xi_k) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ S^{n+k} & \longrightarrow & BO(k), \end{array}$$

it is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \xi_k \\ & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^{n+k} & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(k) \end{array}$$

where the map \tilde{f} is proper. This datum determines a vector bundle $f^*\xi_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ together with a section $s : \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow f^*\xi_k$ determined by the lift \tilde{f} . By the *Thom transversality theorem*, we can choose the representative such that \tilde{f} is transverse to the zero section $BO(k) \xrightarrow{0} \xi_k$, which in turn implies that s is transverse to the zero section of $f^*\xi_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$. For such a choice, $s^{-1}(0)$ is a closed smooth manifold. Moreover, for two different choices of transverse \tilde{f} , we can find a homotopy between them which remains transverse to $BO(k) \xrightarrow{0} \xi_k$. Then the resulting sections of $f^*\xi_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ cut out bordant closed manifolds. This defines the map

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+k}(MO(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n,$$

whose independence on the choice of representatives $S^{n+k} \rightarrow \pi_{n+k}(MO(k))$ can be shown by a stabilization argument.

One can then see that these two maps are inverse to each other. For one direction, the tautological section of $\pi_\nu^* \nu \rightarrow \nu$ for $\pi_\nu : \nu \rightarrow M$ indeed cuts out M ; for the other direction, the Pontragin–Thom collapsing map indeed reconstructs the original map from the sphere. \square

The object $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+k}(MO(k))$ is easier to manipulate with, and the homotopy groups are eventually calculated by the homology of $BO(k)$. One important consequence is the following theorem by Thom.

Theorem 1.6. *For any manifold X and a homology class $\alpha \in H_k(X, \mathbb{F}_2)$, there exists a closed manifold M of dimension k such that*

$$f_*([M]) = \alpha,$$

where $[M] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is the fundamental class and $f : M \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map.

2. EQUIVARIANT SITUATION

Let Γ be a finite group. We can then define the Γ -equivariant (unoriented) bordism group

$$\mathcal{N}_*^\Gamma$$

consisting of isomorphism classes of smooth closed Γ -manifolds modulo the Γ -equivariant bordism relation, where the addition law is again given by disjoint union. It also has a ring structure, where Γ acts on $M \times N$ diagonally.

We can consider the analog of $\xi_k \rightarrow BO(k)$, replacing $BO(k)$ by the classifying space of Γ -equivariant vector bundles $BO(k)_\Gamma$, over which lives the tautological bundle

$$\xi_k^\Gamma \rightarrow BO(k)_\Gamma.$$

By restricting to connected components, we can prescribe the isomorphism type of the representation of the fiber. We will denote the tautological bundle over the connected component of $BO(k)_\Gamma$ indexed by the Γ -representation V by

$$\xi_V \rightarrow BO(V)_\Gamma.$$

Then we can consider

$$\lim_V [S^{V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n}, \text{Th}(\xi_V)]^\Gamma,$$

- (1) here we consider the direct system of finite-dimensional Γ representations;
- (2) $S^{V \oplus \mathbb{R}^k}$ is the one-point compactification of the Γ -representation $V \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$ where Γ acts trivially on \mathbb{R}^k ;
- (3) we only take homotopy classes of Γ -equivariant maps.

Exercise 2.1. *Show that there is a well-defined map*

$$\mathcal{N}_n^\Gamma \rightarrow \lim_V [S^{V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n}, \text{Th}(\xi_V)]^\Gamma.$$

The important insight here is that there is an equivariant analog of the Whitney embedding theorem: for a compact Γ -manifold M , there exists a finite-dimensional Γ -representation V such that there exists a Γ -equivariant embedding $M \hookrightarrow V$. If we allow V to be infinite-dimensional, then we can simply take V to be the space of L^2 functions over M with the pull-back Γ -action. To go back to finite dimensions, the point is that a sufficiently large approximation of $L^2(M)$ is good enough to separate points and tangent vectors.

Now let's investigate the other direction of the Pontryagin–Thom construction. We shall look at

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \xi_V \\ & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow \\ V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(V)_\Gamma \end{array}$$

To get a Γ -manifold, we have to choose a representative \tilde{f} which is Γ -equivariant and transverse to $BO(V)_\Gamma \xrightarrow{0} \xi_V$. However, this *cannot* be achieved in general. As a typical example, consider \mathbb{R} with two different $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -actions: for the first copy $\mathbb{R}(0)$, the groups acts on it trivially; for the second copy $\mathbb{R}(-1)$, we treat it as the sign representation. Then any $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant map $\mathbb{R}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(-1)$ is necessarily the map which takes all points to 0. In other words, we can never find a section of the vector bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}(-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(0)$ which is transverse to the zero section.

Nevertheless, we can consider the Γ -equivariant vector bundle

$$\tilde{f}^* \xi_V \rightarrow V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which comes with a proper section $s : V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \tilde{f}^* \xi_V$ induced from \tilde{f} . This triple

$$(V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{f}^* \xi_V, s)$$

records enough homotopical information to remedy the failure of the Pontryagin–Thom isomorphism in the equivariant setting.

Definition 2.2. A Γ -equivariant Kuranishi chart is a triple

$$(M, E, s)$$

where M is a Γ -manifold, $E \rightarrow M$ is a Γ -equivariant vector bundle, $s : M \rightarrow E$ is a Γ -equivariant section such that $s^{-1}(0)$ is compact.

Motivated by the desire to salvage the Pontryagin–Thom isomorphism, what we really care about a Γ -equivariant Kuranishi chart (M, E, s) is actually behavior of $s^{-1}(0)$ and how it can be thickened up to be a smooth manifold. Therefore, we consider the following relations:

- (1) Shrinking: it replaces (M, E, s) by $(M', E|_{M'}, s|_{M'})$, where $M' \subset M$ is an open neighborhood of $s^{-1}(0)$.
- (2) Stabilization: given another Γ -equivariant vector bundle $\pi_F : F \rightarrow M$, it replaces (M, E, s) by

$$(F, \pi_F^* F \oplus \pi_F^* E, \tau_F \oplus \pi^* s)$$

where $\tau : F \rightarrow \pi_F^* F$ is the tautological section.

The notion of bordism can be extended to triples: we say (M, E, s) and (M', E', s') are bordant if there exists a Γ -manifold with boundary W , and a Γ -equivariant vector bundle $\tilde{E} \rightarrow W$ with a Γ -equivariant section $S : W \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ such that

$$(\partial W, \tilde{E}|_{\partial W}, S|_{\partial W}) = (M \amalg M', E \amalg E', s \amalg s').$$

Then we can define a new bordism group

$$d\mathcal{N}_*$$

which consists of isomorphism classes of Γ -equivariant Kuranishi charts, modulo the equivalence relations generated by shrinking, stabilization, and bordism. It is an abelian group under disjoint union.

Proposition 2.3. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$d\mathcal{N}_n \rightarrow \lim_{\mathcal{V}} [S^{V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n}, \text{Th}(\xi_V)]^\Gamma$$

from the Pontryagin–Thom construction.

The only missing piece is the following: given (M, E, s) , the bundle E admits a stable inverse in the sense that we can find a Γ -equivariant vector bundle $\pi_F : F \rightarrow M$ such that $E \oplus F \cong \underline{V}$ for some Γ -representation V . Using the stabilization relation, we can replace (M, E, s) by $(F, \underline{V}, \pi_F^* s \oplus \tau_F)$. We need to find a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{V} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xi_V \\ \pi_F^* s \oplus \tau_F \uparrow \left(\downarrow \right) & \tilde{f} \nearrow & \downarrow \\ F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & BO(V)_\Gamma \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{f} : F \rightarrow \xi_V$ is induced by a Whitney embedding into a representation. This can be done even if F is not compact: it only has finitely many orbit types.

Exercise 2.4. *Complete the above construction and prove the Pontryagin–Thom isomorphism in the equivariant setting.*

The group $d\mathcal{N}_*$ is usually called the *homotopical* Γ -equivariant bordism group.

3. KURANISHI REDUCTION

What's the relation between the above classical algebraic topology and moduli spaces of pseudo-holomorphic curves? The answer is, via a finite-dimensional reduction, called the *Kuranishi reduction*, Kuranishi charts naturally arise from nonlinear Fredholm problems.

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be (possibly finite dimensional) Banach spaces and let

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

be a smooth Fredholm map, defined on an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathcal{X}$, such that we have $\Phi(0) = 0 \in \mathcal{Y}$. Consider the linearization

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{D} = D\Phi(0) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

and define the (necessarily finite dimensional) vector spaces $T := \ker \tilde{D}$ and $E := \operatorname{coker} \tilde{D}$. Split the inclusion $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and the projection $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$ by choosing a Banach space complement $\mathcal{X}' \subset \mathcal{X}$ of T and a linear subspace lift $E \subset \mathcal{Y}$. Applying the implicit function theorem now shows that $\Phi^{-1}(E) \subset \mathcal{X}$ is identified (near $0 \in \mathcal{X}$) with the graph of a smooth map $\Psi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ defined on a neighborhood of $0 \in T$. This map satisfies $\Psi(0) = 0 \in \mathcal{X}'$ and $D\Psi(0) = 0$. The associated *Kuranishi map*

$$(3.3) \quad F : T \rightarrow E,$$

defined near $0 \in T$, is given by $F(\kappa) := \Phi(\kappa + \Psi(\kappa))$ for $\kappa \in T$. It satisfies $F(0) = 0$ and $DF(0) = 0$ by construction. We refer to the process of constructing F from Φ as *Kuranishi reduction* and note that it depends on the choices of splittings of the maps $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$.

Lemma 3.1 (Splitting dependence of Kuranishi map). *Let $\tilde{F} : T \rightarrow E$ be a Kuranishi map obtained using a different choice of splittings than in (3.3). Then, there exists a local diffeomorphism*

$$(3.4) \quad \psi : T \rightarrow T$$

with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $D\psi(0) = \operatorname{id}_T$ and a smooth map

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{\psi} : T \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(E)$$

with $\tilde{\psi}(0) = \operatorname{id}_E$ such that, for all $\kappa \in T$ near 0 , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{F}(\kappa) = \tilde{\psi}(\kappa)F(\psi(\kappa)).$$

Proof. Via the splitting used to define (3.3), we get direct sum decompositions $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}' \oplus T$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \operatorname{im}(\tilde{D}) \oplus E$.

Consider first the case when \tilde{F} is obtained by the changing the splitting of $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ but using the same splitting of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$ as in (3.3). Denote the resulting complement of T by $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \subset \mathcal{X}$. Using the implicit function theorem, we write $\Phi^{-1}(E)$ as the graph of a smooth map $\tilde{\Psi} : T \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ near $0 \in \mathcal{X}$, with $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$ and $D\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$. Since $\Phi^{-1}(E)$ is locally also the graph of the smooth map $\Psi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$, we must have

$$(3.7) \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{X}'} \tilde{\Psi}(\kappa) = \Psi(\kappa + \Pi_T \tilde{\Psi}(\kappa))$$

for all $\kappa \in T$ near 0 , where $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}'}$ and Π_T denote the projections onto the summands of the decomposition $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}' \oplus T$. This immediately yields $\tilde{F}(\kappa) \equiv F(\psi(\kappa))$ with $\psi : T \rightarrow T$ defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \psi(\kappa) = \kappa + \Pi_T \tilde{\Psi}(\kappa).$$

Since $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$ and $D\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$, we see that ψ satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and $D\psi(0) = \operatorname{id}_T$ and is thus a local diffeomorphism.

Consider next the case when \tilde{F} is obtained by keeping the same splitting of $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as in (3.3) but changing the splitting of the map $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$. Denote the resulting complement $\operatorname{im}(\tilde{D})$ by $\tilde{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$. Consider the map

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi_E : \mathcal{X} \oplus E \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

given by $\Phi_E(x, e) = \Phi(x) - e$. Using the implicit function theorem, we may write $\Phi_E^{-1}(\tilde{E})$, near 0, as the graph of a smooth map $\tilde{\Psi}_E : T \oplus E \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$. Using $\tilde{\Psi}_E$, we define the smooth map $\tilde{F}_E : T \oplus E \rightarrow E$ by the formula

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{F}_E(\kappa, e) = \Pi_E(\Phi(\kappa + \tilde{\Psi}_E(\kappa, e)) - e)$$

where $\Pi_E : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$ denotes the projection. Restricting $\tilde{\Psi}_E$ to $T \oplus \{0\}$ recovers the map $\tilde{\Psi} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ whose graph coincides with $\Phi^{-1}(\tilde{E})$ near $0 \in \mathcal{X}$ (which also shows that $\tilde{F}_E(\kappa, 0) = \tilde{F}(\kappa)$ for $\kappa \in T$). Therefore, as before, we have $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$ and $D\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$ which shows that $D\tilde{F}_E(0, 0)$ is given by (the negative of) the projection onto E . Now, if (κ, e) is a point where \tilde{F}_E vanishes, then since $\Pi_E|_{\tilde{E}} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism it follows that $\Phi(\kappa + \tilde{\Psi}_E(\kappa, e)) - e = 0$. This, in turn, implies that we must in fact have $\tilde{\Psi}_E(\kappa, e) = \Psi(\kappa)$ and $e = F(\kappa)$. Conversely, if $e = F(\kappa)$, then $\Phi_E(\kappa + \Psi(\kappa), e) = \Phi(\kappa + \Psi(\kappa)) - e = 0 \in \tilde{E}$ and thus, $\tilde{\Psi}_E(\kappa, e) = \Psi(\kappa)$ and $\tilde{F}_E(\kappa, e) = 0$. In summary, \tilde{F}_E is a defining equation, near $(0, 0)$, for the submanifold of $T \oplus E$ given by the graph of the map $F : T \rightarrow E$, i.e., the equation $\tilde{F}_E = 0$ transversely cuts out the graph of F near $(0, 0)$. Since $F_E(\kappa, e) := F(\kappa) - e$ is also a defining equation for the graph of F , and $DF_E(0, 0) = D\tilde{F}_E(0, 0)$, it follows that there exists a smooth map $\tilde{\psi}_E : T \oplus E \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$ with $\tilde{\psi}_E(0, 0) = \text{id}_E$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{F}_E(\kappa, e) = \tilde{\psi}_E(\kappa, e) \cdot F_E(\kappa, e)$$

for all $(\kappa, e) \in T \oplus E$ near $(0, 0)$. Restricting to $e = 0$ and defining the map $\tilde{\psi} : T \rightarrow GL(E)$ by $\tilde{\psi}(\kappa) = \tilde{\psi}_E(\kappa, 0)$, we obtain $\tilde{F}(\kappa) \equiv \tilde{\psi}(\kappa)F(\kappa)$.

Combining the arguments of the preceding two paragraphs produces $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ and the identity (3.6) in the general case. \square

As one can see from the proof, when \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are endowed with Γ -actions, if Φ is further assumed to be Γ -equivariant, the Kuranishi map $F : T \rightarrow E$ is also Γ -equivariant because the implicit function theorem carries out without modification in the equivariant setting. If this procedure can be performed in a global fashion, meaning that $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is actually a Fredholm section of a Banach vector bundle over a Banach manifold, the output in the Γ -equivariant setting is exactly a Γ -equivariant Kuranishi model.

4. LOCAL KURANISHI MODEL FOR STABLE MAPS

Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Suppose (Σ, j) is a Riemann surface with at worst nodal singularities, i.e., locally modeled on $\{xy = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. A smooth map $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ is defined to be a smooth map over the normalization of Σ (separating the branches at nodal points) whose takes the same value at the preimages of a given nodal point. It is said to be J -holomorphic if

$$du \circ j = J \circ du$$

holds over the normalization of Σ .

Definition 4.1. *A J -holomorphic map $u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$ is called stable if there exists only finitely many automorphisms $\phi : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (\Sigma, j)$ such that $u \circ \phi = u$.*

A Riemann surface (Σ, j) with marked points is called *stable* if there are only finitely many automorphisms of (Σ, j) fixing the marked points.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the moduli space of J -holomorphic stable maps to M , and we have chosen to be deliberately vague with the additional data entering into the definition. We wish to find a *local Kuranishi model* near $u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$ for $[u] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ in the following sense: we want to find a quadruple (Γ, V_1, V_2, f) where Γ is a finite group, V_1 and V_2 are finite-dimensional Γ -representations, $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a Γ -equivariant continuous map, such that there exists a continuous map

$$\psi : f^{-1}(0)/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

which defines a homeomorphism onto an open subset of \mathcal{M} containing $[u]$.

For the first step, we need a method to *stabilize the domain* of u such that after adding some marked points, (Σ, j) becomes stable as a Riemann surface.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$ be a stable J -holomorphic map. Then there exists a codimension 2 submanifold with boundary $D \subset M$ such that D only intersects u away from the nodes with transverse intersection points, and if (Σ, j) is equipped with marked points coming from the intersection points with D , the domain becomes stable.*

Proof. Note that if u is a stable map, an irreducible component is unstable only if u is not constant when restricted along it. Therefore, the differential du must be injective at a smooth point x over such an irreducible component. Then we can choose D to be (a disjoint union) of manifolds-with-boundary coming from a small disk in the normal direction of du_x . This ensures the stability of the domain if we choose sufficiently many submanifolds. \square

Now suppose that (Σ, j) is a genus g Riemann surface with k marked points. Choosing a D in Lemma 4.2, let $r := \#u^{-1}(D)$. Ordering these r points requires a choice, which defines a $\text{Sym}(r)$ -torsor, the symmetric group of r -elements. In other words, the moduli space of genus g curves with $k + r$ marked points quotient out by the $\text{Sym}(r)$ -action

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, k+r}/\text{Sym}(r)$$

defines a local model for the possible domains of curves in \mathcal{M} near $[u]$. The family of curves

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g, k+r}/\text{Sym}(r) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, k+r}/\text{Sym}(r)$$

parametrized by this moduli space provides the stage for applying the Kuranishi reduction. Indeed, we can present $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, k+r}/\text{Sym}(r)$ locally as a quotient of a manifold

$$\mathcal{M}/\Gamma,$$

over which we have the Γ -equivariant family of curves $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

For the final step, we note that the moduli space \mathcal{M} near $[u]$ is the zero locus of the $\overline{\partial}$ -section of the Banach bundle over

$$W^{k,p}(\mathcal{C}, M)$$

whose fiber at $((\Sigma', j'), v)$ is given by $W^{k-1,p}(\Sigma'; \Omega_{\Sigma', j'}^{0,1} \otimes v^*TM)$ modulo the Γ -action. Applying the Kuranishi reduction, we obtain our (Γ, V_1, V_2, f) , where V_1 and V_2 are respectively the kernel and cokernel of the linearization $D_u \bar{\partial}$.

Remark 4.3. *Recall that the Kuranishi reduction is constructed by applying the implicit function theorem to the stabilization by $\text{coker}(D_u \bar{\partial})$. This says that the extra piece of thickening we should take to ensure the manifold structure of the moduli space exactly comes from enlarging the domain by $\text{coker}(D_u \bar{\partial})$. As a comparison, in the equivariant Pontryagin–Thom construction, we can obtain a genuine manifold from the equivariant homotopy group in general only by thickening up using an equivariant vector bundle.*

It is evident that if we could present \mathcal{M} globally as $s^{-1}(0)/\Gamma$ for a Γ -equivariant Kuranishi chart (M, E, s) , we can import algebraic topology to investigate the topology of moduli spaces of J -holomorphic maps.