

How shtukas were invented

(not “How to invent shtukas”)

Siyon Daniel Li-Huerta

February 11, 2021

Motivation: elliptic modules over \mathbb{C}_∞

Number theorists use the moduli space of elliptic curves to construct Galois representations. Elliptic curves are \mathbb{Z} -module objects, and over \mathbb{C} they are given by \mathbb{C}/Λ for discrete free \mathbb{Z} -submodules $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ of rank 2.

How can we imitate this over function fields? Let X be a smooth proper geometrically connected curve over \mathbb{F}_q , write F for its function field, let ∞ be a closed point of X , and write \mathbb{C}_∞ for the completion of the algebraic closure of F_∞ . Write A for $H^0(X \setminus \infty, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Definition

An *elliptic (or Drinfeld) module of rank n* over \mathbb{C}_∞ is a discrete locally free A -submodule $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$ of rank n . A morphism of elliptic modules $\alpha : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ over \mathbb{C}_∞ is an element α of \mathbb{C}_∞ such that $\alpha\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$.

Example (Carlitz)

Take $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$, the usual ∞ , and $\Lambda = A = \mathbb{F}_q[t] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty = \overline{(\mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{t})))}^\wedge$.

We ought to study $\mathbb{C}_\infty/\Lambda$. We have an analogue of the Weierstrass \wp function:

$$\wp_\Lambda(z) := z \prod_{\lambda \neq 0 \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right).$$

Proposition (Drinfeld)

This converges for all z in \mathbb{C}_∞ , and the resulting map $\wp_\Lambda : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}_\infty/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_\infty$ of topological \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces.

However, \wp_Λ doesn't preserve the A -module structure on both sides!

Proposition (Drinfeld)

Let a be in A . Under \wp_Λ , the action of a on $\mathbb{C}_\infty/\Lambda$ corresponds to a polynomial map $\varphi_a : \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ over \mathbb{C}_∞ .

Example (Carlitz)

One can show that the Carlitz module (is isomorphic to an elliptic module that) satisfies $\varphi_t(z) = tz + z^q$.

Elliptic modules in general

Since \wp_Λ and multiplication by a are \mathbb{F}_q -linear, φ_a is as well. Therefore

$$\varphi_a = c_0 \text{id} + c_1 \sigma + \cdots + c_d \sigma^{\circ d},$$

where $\sigma = z^q$. Hence we get a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty})$. Because \wp_Λ has leading term z , we see that $c_0 = a$ above.

Definition

Let S be an A -scheme. An *elliptic module of rank n* over S is a line bundle \mathcal{L} over S along with a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathcal{L})$ such that

- the derivative of φ equals $A \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\text{Lie } \mathcal{L})$,
- Zariski-locally on S , every φ_a is isomorphic to a map of the form $c_0 \text{id} + c_1 \sigma + \cdots + c_d \sigma^{\circ d}$, where $d = -n \deg(\infty) v_\infty(a)$.

Theorem (Drinfeld)

When $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}_\infty$, this agrees with our previous definition.

Elliptic sheaves

On a proper curve over \mathbb{C} , Krichever related certain rings of differential operators to certain bundles. By analogizing $\frac{d}{dx}$ with σ and considering the ring $\varphi(A)$, Drinfeld developed a similar description of elliptic modules:

Definition

Let S be an A -scheme. An *elliptic sheaf of rank n* over S is a commutative diagram of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ -modules of rank n

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{j_{i-2}} & \mathcal{E}_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{j_{i-1}} & \mathcal{E}_i & \xrightarrow{j_i} & \mathcal{E}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{j_{i+1}} & \dots \\
 & & \nearrow t_{i-2} & & \nearrow t_{i-1} & & \nearrow t_i & & \nearrow t_{i+1} \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\tau j_{i-2}} & \tau \mathcal{E}_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\tau j_{i-1}} & \tau \mathcal{E}_i & \xrightarrow{\tau j_i} & \tau \mathcal{E}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\tau j_{i+1}} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

such that the $\mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i+n \deg(\infty)}$ are isomorphic to the canonical map $\mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i(\infty)$, the coker t_i are line bundles over the graph of $S \rightarrow X \setminus \infty$, and $\deg(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X \times \bar{s}}) = n(g-1) + 1$ for all geometric points \bar{s} of S .

Write pr_2 for projection. One can use Riemann–Roch to show that $\mathcal{L} := \text{pr}_{2,*}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is a line bundle over S . One can show that $P := \varinjlim_i \text{pr}_{2,*}(\mathcal{E}_i|_{(X \setminus \infty) \times S}) \cong \mathcal{L}\{\sigma\}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_S\{\sigma\}$ -module, where the σ -action is given by t_i . As the A -action commutes with the $\mathcal{O}_S\{\sigma\}$ -action, we get a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S\{\sigma\}}(P) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem (Drinfeld)

This construction yields an equivalence from elliptic sheaves of rank n over S to elliptic modules of rank n over S .

Note that repeatedly taking pushforwards and then shifting back down (using $\mathcal{E}_{i+n \deg(\infty)} = \mathcal{E}_i(\infty)$) shows that the subdiagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{E}_1 \\
 & \nearrow^{t_0} & \\
 \tau \mathcal{E}_0 & \xrightarrow{\tau j_0} & \tau \mathcal{E}_1
 \end{array}$$

determines the entire elliptic sheaf.

Drinfeld shtukas

The moduli space of elliptic curves only associates Galois representations to automorphic forms for GL_2/\mathbb{Q} satisfying a certain condition at $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$ (namely, to modular forms). The moduli space of elliptic sheaves has a similar restriction at ∞ , and this corresponds to restricting j_i to be modifications at ∞ . But we can simply ignore this restriction:

Definition

Let S be an \mathbb{F}_q -scheme. A (left) *Drinfeld shtuka* of rank n over S is a pair of morphisms $x, y : S \rightarrow X$ along with a diagram of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ -modules of rank n

$$\mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{t} \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{j} \tau^* \mathcal{E}$$

such that $\text{coker } t$ is a line bundle over the graph of x , and $\text{coker } j$ is a line bundle over the graph of y .

Note that the moduli space of Drinfeld shtukas maps to X^2 .

Shtukas in general

We generalize this as follows. Let I be a finite set, and let I_1, \dots, I_k be an ordered partition of I . Let G/\mathbb{F}_q be a split connected reductive group, and choose a split maximal subtorus T and Borel subgroup $B \supseteq T$. Let $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be an I -tuple of dominant coweights of G .

Definition

Let S be an \mathbb{F}_q -scheme. Write $\text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)}$ for the prestack whose S -points parametrize

- an I -tuple $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ of morphisms $S \rightarrow X$,
- G -bundles $\mathcal{G}_0, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k$ over $X \times S$,
- isomorphisms $\phi_j : \mathcal{G}_{j-1}|_{X \times S \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I_j} \Gamma_{x_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_j|_{X \times S \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I_j} \Gamma_{x_i}}$ whose relative position at Γ_{x_i} is bounded by $\sum_{x_h = x_i} \omega_h$ for all i in I_j ,
- an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{G}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau \mathcal{G}_0$.

Write π for the canonical morphism $\text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} \rightarrow X^I$.

One can show that $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1,\dots,I_k)}$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack locally of finite type over \mathbb{F}_q . Because τ preserves connected components of Bun_G , we see that $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1,\dots,I_k)}$ is empty when $\sum_{i \in I} \omega_i$ isn't in the coroot lattice, and one can show that the converse also holds. So assume this isn't the case.

Example (Drinfeld)

Take $I = \{1, 2\}$ with the ordered partition $\{1\} \cup \{2\}$. Let $G = \text{GL}_n$ with the standard T and B , and set $\omega_1 = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and $\omega_2 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1,I_2)}$ is the moduli space of Drinfeld shtukas.

Example (“No legs”)

Suppose all the $\omega_i = 0$. Then all the ϕ_j extend to isomorphisms $\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_j$, so $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1,\dots,I_k)}$ only parametrizes $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^\tau \mathcal{G}_0$. As intuition suggests, the latter data is parametrized by the discrete stack $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q)$, so altogether $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1,\dots,I_k)} = X^I \times \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Using a theorem of Harder, Lang's lemma, and fpqc descent, one can show $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / G(\mathbb{O})$ as groupoids. This is *Weil uniformization*.

Example (Class field theory)

Let $G = \mathbb{G}_m$. Then the ω_i correspond to integers, and \mathcal{G}_j is uniquely determined as $\mathcal{G}_0(\sum_{i \in I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_j} \omega_i \Gamma_{x_i})$. So $\text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)}$ only parametrizes $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_0(\sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \Gamma_{x_i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^\tau \mathcal{G}_0$. This yields a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow L \\ X^I & \xrightarrow{AJ_{\underline{\omega}}} & \text{Pic}^0, \end{array}$$

where L is the *Lang isogeny* $\mathcal{L} \mapsto {}^\tau \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, and $AJ_{\underline{\omega}}$ is the generalized *Abel–Jacobi map* $(x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \Gamma_{x_i})$. Because the square is Cartesian and L is a $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -bundle, we see π is a $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -bundle too.

Let a in $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ have nonzero degree, and let $\chi : \text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)/a^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ be a character. We'll see that $\text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} / a^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X^I$ yields a homomorphism $\alpha : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)^I \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)/a^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It turns out that $\chi \circ \alpha = \prod_{i \in I} (\chi \circ \text{Art})^{\omega_i}$, where $\text{Art} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_q)^\wedge$ is the *Artin isomorphism*.

Partial Frobenius

Unlike the topological case, we don't generally have $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X^I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)^I$:

Examples

- We have $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1) = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1)$.
- The Artin–Schreier \mathbb{F}_p -cover $t^p - t = xy$ of $\text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[x, y]$ yields a continuous homomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ that isn't a box product of homomorphisms from $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}^1)$.

What's the fix? For any subset J of I , write $\text{Frob}_J : X^I \rightarrow X^I$ for $(\prod_{j \in J} \text{Frob}) \times (\prod_{i \notin J} \text{id})$. Write $(X^I/\partial \text{Fr})_{\text{fét}}$ for the category of finite étale morphisms $Y \rightarrow X^I$ equipped with commuting morphisms $\text{Fr}_i : \text{Frob}_{\{i\}}^* Y \rightarrow Y$ whose composition is the canonical isomorphism $\text{Frob}_J^* Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$. Note that $(X^I/\partial \text{Fr})_{\text{fét}}$ is a Galois category, and write $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X^I/\partial \text{Fr})$ for the associated profinite group.

For any i in I , we get a functor $X_{\text{fét}} \rightarrow (X^I/\partial \text{Fr})_{\text{fét}}$ via pullback. This induces a map $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X^I/\partial \text{Fr}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$.

Drinfeld's lemma

Lemma (Drinfeld)

The induced map $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X'/\partial \text{Fr}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)'$ is an isomorphism.

Remark (Xue)

The usual limit process extends this to smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves. However, to get an analogous result for ind-smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves, one must replace $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ with the *Weil group* $\text{Weil}(X) := \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \times_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathbb{Z}$.

What are the partial Frobenii in our context? Consider the morphism $F_{l_1}^{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} : \text{Sht}_{G, l, \underline{\omega}}^{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} \rightarrow \text{Sht}_{G, l, \underline{\omega}}^{(l_2, \dots, l_1, l_k)}$ given by sending

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x_i)_{i \in I}, \mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_k} {}^\tau \mathcal{G}_0) \\ & \mapsto (\text{Frob}_{l_1}((x_i)_{i \in I}), \mathcal{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_k} {}^\tau \mathcal{G}_0 \xrightarrow{{}^\tau \phi_1} {}^\tau \mathcal{G}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $F_{l_k}^{(l_k, l_1, \dots, l_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ F_{l_2}^{(l_2, \dots, l_k, l_1)} \circ F_{l_1}^{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} = \text{Frob}$.

Note that $\text{Bun}_Z(\mathbb{F}_q) = Z(F) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) / Z(\mathbb{O})$ acts on $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)}$ via twisting. Let Ξ be a discrete cocompact subgroup of $Z(F) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A})$, and consider $\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} / \Xi$. We're interested in the ind-constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0 := R^0 \pi_! (\text{IC}_{\text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} / \Xi})$$

on X^I , where the IC-sheaf is normalized relative to $\pi : \text{Sht}_{G,I,\underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} / \Xi \rightarrow X^I$. The smallness of convolution implies that $\mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$ is independent of the ordered partition I_1, \dots, I_k .

Theorem (Xue)

The ind-constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$ is ind-smooth on X^I .

Choosing an ordered partition with $I_1 = \{i\}$ and taking (intersection) cohomology of $F_{I_1}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)}$ yields morphisms $\text{Fr}_i : \text{Frob}_{\{i\}}^* \mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$ whose composition is the canonical isomorphism $\text{Frob}_i^* \mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$. Hence (the ind-smooth variant of) Drinfeld's lemma realizes $\mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$ as a continuous representation of $\text{Weil}(X)^I$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

Write \widehat{G} for the dual group. Observe that l -tuples $\underline{\omega}$ correspond to irreducible (algebraic) representations of \widehat{G}^l over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Via the functoriality of geometric Satake, we extend $\underline{\omega} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{l, \underline{\omega}, \Xi}^0$ to a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -linear functor

$$\mathcal{H}_{l, (-), \Xi}^0 : \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\widehat{G}^l) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\text{Weil}(X)^l).$$

The *fusion* property of geometric Satake yields, for any map $\zeta : l \rightarrow J$ of finite sets, a natural 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\widehat{G}^l) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_{l, (-), \Xi}^0} & \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\text{Weil}(X)^l) \\ \circ\zeta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \circ\zeta^* \\ \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\widehat{G}^J) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_{J, (-), \Xi}^0} & \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\text{Weil}(X)^J), \end{array}$$

where ζ^* denotes $\widehat{G}^J \rightarrow \widehat{G}^l$ or $\text{Weil}(X)^J \rightarrow \text{Weil}(X)^l$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{pt}, 1, \Xi}^0$ is the set of finitely supported $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -valued functions $C_c(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / G(\mathcal{O})\Xi)$.

Excursion algebra

We'd like to extract $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ -conjugacy classes of continuous homomorphisms $\text{Weil}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ from this. Hence we should study the *excursion algebra* $\mathcal{B} := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\text{Hom}(\text{Weil}(X), \widehat{G})]^{\widehat{G}}$.

Theorem (V. Lafforgue)

The excursion algebra has generators $S_{I,W,x,\xi,(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}$ indexed by a finite set I , an object W of $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\widehat{G}^I)$, an element x of $W^{\Delta(\widehat{G})}$, an element ξ of $W^{,\Delta(\widehat{G})}$, and an I -tuple $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $\text{Weil}(X)$, satisfying certain explicit (but somewhat tedious) relations.*

Richardson's work on geometric invariant theory implies the following:

Theorem (V. Lafforgue)

There exists a bijection from $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -algebra homomorphisms $\nu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ to $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ -conjugacy classes of semisimple homomorphisms $\rho : \text{Weil}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that $\nu(S_{I,W,x,\xi,(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}) = \langle \xi, ((\rho(\gamma_i))_{i \in I} \cdot x) \rangle$ for all such generators above.

I'd love to go on an excursion—why not?

How can we give $C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi)$ an action of \mathcal{B} ? Let $S_{I,W,x,\xi,(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}$ act via

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi) & \cong & \mathcal{H}_{\text{pt},1,\Xi}^0 & \xrightarrow{x} & \mathcal{H}_{\text{pt},W|_{\Delta(\widehat{G})},\Xi}^0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{H}_{I,W,\Xi}^0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \\
 C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi) & \cong & \mathcal{H}_{\text{pt},1,\Xi}^0 & \xleftarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{H}_{\text{pt},W|_{\Delta(\widehat{G})},\Xi}^0 & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{H}_{I,W,\Xi}^0
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (V. Lafforgue)

This factors through an action of \mathcal{B} on $C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi)$.

V. Lafforgue calls the $S_{I,W,x,\xi,(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}$ *excursion operators* because they intuitively create new points on the curve via x , move them around via $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$, and then recombine them via ξ . Alternatively, one can think of the above diagram as an excursion of arrows.

What the Hecke?

Somehow, we gave almost the whole talk without mentioning *Hecke operators*. Let v be a closed point of X , and let V be an irreducible object of $\text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\widehat{G})$. The classical Satake isomorphism yields a function $h_{V,v}$ in $C_c(G(\mathcal{O}_v) \backslash G(F_v) / G(\mathcal{O}_v))$, and we get a Hecke correspondence $T(h_{V,v})$ on $\text{Sht}_{G, I, \underline{\omega}}^{(I_1, \dots, I_k)} |_{(X \setminus v)^I}$ and hence $\mathcal{H}_{I, \underline{\omega}, \Xi}^0 |_{(X \setminus v)^I}$ by considering modifications at v whose relative position is bounded by V . When all the $\omega_i = 0$, we see that this agrees with the usual Hecke operator on $C_c(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / G(\mathbb{O})\Xi)$.

Theorem (V. Lafforgue)

When all the $\omega_i = 0$, we have $T(h_{V,v}) = S_{\{1,2\}, V \boxtimes V^*, \delta, \text{ev}, (\gamma_v, 1)}$, where δ and ev are the canonical morphisms $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$ and $V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, and γ_v is a geometric Frobenius element at v .

This is called the *S = T theorem*. There is a version for arbitrary $\underline{\omega}$, and this general version is crucial for the proofs of everything, but we omit it here.

Conclusion

Note that $\langle \text{ev}, (\rho(\gamma_\nu), 1) \cdot \delta \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho(\gamma_\nu)|V)$. The action of \mathcal{B} on $C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi)$ decomposes the latter into \mathcal{B} -eigenspaces

$$C_c(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\mathbb{O})\Xi) = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathfrak{H}_{\nu},$$

where ν runs over all $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -algebra homomorphisms $\nu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$. Now $T(h_{V,\nu})$ acts on \mathfrak{H}_{ν} via

$$\nu(S_{\{1,2\}, V \boxtimes V^*, \delta, \text{ev}, (\gamma_\nu, 1)}) = \langle \text{ev}, (\rho(\gamma_\nu), 1) \cdot \delta \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho(\gamma_\nu)|V),$$

where $\rho : \text{Weil}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is the $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ -conjugacy class of semisimple homomorphisms corresponding to ν . One can use the continuity of the $\text{Weil}(X)^I$ -action on the $\mathcal{H}_{I,\underline{\omega},\Xi}^0$ to show that ρ is continuous, thus completing the automorphic-to-Galois direction of the Langlands correspondence for G over F .