

# Global Zeta Integrals

---

Siyon Daniel Li-Huerta

November 12, 2020

We'll use the following consequence of adelic Poisson summation.

### Lemma

Let  $f$  be in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , and let  $x$  be in  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in F} f(x\gamma) = \|x\|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in F} \widehat{f}(x^{-1}\gamma).$$

### Proof.

Homework problem. □

### Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

### Proof.

We know  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ , so the integral over  $\{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \mid \|x\| > 1\}$  also converges. On this domain, lowering  $\operatorname{Re} s$  only shrinks the integral, so the integral here converges for all  $s$ .

## Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

## Proof (continued).

Hence we focus on the integral over  $\{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \mid \|x\| < 1\}$ . The fundamental domain  $D \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  of  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$  shows that this integral equals

$$\int_{D \times (0,1)} d^\times x \sum_{\gamma \in F^\times} f(x\gamma) \chi(x\gamma) \|x\gamma\|^s = \int_{D \times (0,1)} d^\times x \sum_{\gamma \in F^\times} f(x\gamma) \chi(x) \|x\|^s,$$

since  $\chi$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  are trivial on  $F^\times$ . Adding and subtracting a  $\gamma = 0$  term turns this integral into

$$\int_{D \times (0,1)} d^\times x \sum_{\gamma \in F} f(x\gamma) \chi(x) \|x\|^s - f(0) \int_{D \times (0,1)} d^\times x \chi(x) \|x\|^s.$$

## Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

## Proof (continued).

We first investigate the right term. Decomposing into  $D$  and  $(0, 1)$  yields

$$-f(0) \int_0^1 d^\times t t^s \chi(t) \int_{\mathbb{A}^{\times,1}/F^\times} d^\times y \chi(y).$$

If  $\chi$  is nontrivial on  $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times,1}/F^\times$ , the usual trick shows this integral vanishes.

If  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times,1}/F^\times$ , we must have  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ . Then our expression becomes

$$-f(0)m(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times,1}/F^\times) \int_0^1 d^\times t t^{s+\nu} = -\frac{f(0)m(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times,1}/F^\times)}{s + \nu}.$$

## Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

## Proof (continued).

Next, we investigate the left term. Using our lemma and setting  $x' = x^{-1}$  converts it into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D \times (0,1)} d^\times x \sum_{\gamma \in F} \widehat{f}(x^{-1}\gamma) \chi(x) \|x\|^{s-1} \\ &= \int_{D^{-1} \times (1,\infty)} d^\times x' \sum_{\gamma \in F} \widehat{f}(x'\gamma) \chi(x')^{-1} \|x'\|^{1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $D^{-1}$  is also a fundamental domain for  $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times,1}/F^\times$ . Next, we'll split off the  $\gamma = 0$  term to obtain...

## Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

Proof (continued).

$$\int_{D^{-1} \times (1, \infty)} d^{\times} x' \sum_{\gamma \in F^{\times}} \widehat{f}(x' \gamma) \chi(x')^{-1} \|x'\|^{1-s} \\ + \widehat{f}(0) \int_{D^{-1} \times (1, \infty)} d^{\times} x' \chi(x')^{-1} \|x'\|^{1-s}.$$

Our previous argument shows that this new right term doesn't vanish if and only if  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case it equals

$$-\frac{\widehat{f}(0) m(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times, 1} / F^{\times})}{1 - s - \nu}.$$

## Proposition

Our  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$Z(s, \chi, f) = Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f}).$$

## Proof (continued).

Because  $\chi$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  are trivial on  $F^\times$ , this new left term equals

$$\int_{D^{-1} \times (1, \infty)} d^\times x' \sum_{\gamma \in F^\times} \widehat{f}(x'\gamma) \chi(x'\gamma)^{-1} \|x'\gamma\|^{1-s},$$

which is the integral for  $Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{f})$  over  $\{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \mid \|x\| > 1\}$ . This similarly converges for all  $s$ . Adding all these terms together yields the desired result. □

We ignored the subset  $\{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \mid \|x\| = 1\}$  throughout. It'll be a homework problem to show it has measure zero.

Here's another reason why it's nice to have flexibility in choosing  $f_v$ :

### Proposition

Let  $s_0$  be in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can choose  $f_v$  such that  $Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v)$  has no zeroes nor poles at  $s = s_0$ . Furthermore, if  $v$  is nonarchimedean, we can choose  $f_v$  such that  $Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v) = 1$ .

### Proof.

Recall that if  $f_v$  vanishes in a neighborhood of 0, then

$Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v) = \int_{F_v^\times} d^\times x_v f_v(x_v) \chi_v(x_v) \|x_v\|_v^s$  is holomorphic for all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In particular, it has no poles. By choosing nonzero  $f_v$  supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 1, we can make this integral at  $s = s_0$  arbitrarily close to  $\chi_v(1) \|1\|_v^{s_0} = 1$ . Finally, if  $v$  is nonarchimedean, we can choose such an  $f_v$  supported in  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . Since  $\|\mathcal{O}_v^\times\|_v = 1$ , this makes the integral independent of  $s$ , and we can rescale  $f_v$  such that this constant integral equals 1. □

Let  $S \supseteq M_{F, \infty}$  be a finite subset of  $M_F$  such that  $v$  is unramified,  $\chi_v$  is unramified, and  $f_v = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$  for all  $v$  not in  $S$ .



## Theorem

Our  $L^S(s, \chi)$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is entire unless  $\chi = \|\cdot\|^\nu$  for some  $\nu$  in  $i\mathbb{R}$ , in which case its only poles are at  $s = -\nu$  and  $s = 1 - \nu$ . Furthermore, we have

$$L^S(s, \chi) = \left(\prod_{v \in S} \gamma_v(s, \chi_v)\right) L^S(1 - s, \chi^{-1}).$$

## Proof.

Recall that  $L_v(s, \chi_v) = Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v)$  for  $v$  not in  $S$ . Therefore we see that  $L^S(s, \chi) \prod_{v \in S} Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v) = Z(s, \chi, f)$ . Now the  $Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v)$  and  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  have meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so  $L^S(s, \chi)$  does as well. Next, let  $s_0$  be in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $v$  in  $S$ , we can choose  $f_v$  such that  $Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v)$  has no zeroes nor poles at  $s = s_0$ . Hence  $L^S(s, \chi)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$  if and only if  $Z(s, \chi, f)$  does, which yields the desired statement. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^S(s, \chi) &= Z(s, \chi, f) \prod_{v \in S} Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v)^{-1} \\ &= Z(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \hat{f}) \prod_{v \in S} \left(\gamma_v(s, \chi_v) Z_v(1 - s, \chi_v^{-1}, \hat{f}_v)^{-1}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{v \in S} \gamma_v(s, \chi_v)\right) L^S(1 - s, \chi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The above theorem suffices for many applications, but we'd like to reach our ultimate result for the completed Hecke  $L$ -function. Let's conclude today with a complex-analytic lemma.

### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that's bounded below, let  $a : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function, and suppose that  $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function such that  $f(x) = \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{S}} a(p)x^p$  for small enough  $x$ . Then  $\int_0^1 d^{\times}x f(x)x^s$  has meromorphic continuation to all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Its only poles are at  $s = -p$  for  $p$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , with residue  $a(p)$ . Furthermore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and real  $N$ , this integral is bounded on  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} s > -N \text{ and } d(s, \mathfrak{S}) > \epsilon\}$ .

### Proof.

Set  $R(x) = f(x) - \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{S} \\ p < N}} a(p)x^p$ . Then  $R(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{S} \\ p > N}} a(p)x^p$  for small enough  $x$ , so we see that  $\int_0^1 d^{\times}x R(x)x^s$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > -N$ . Thus  $\int_0^1 d^{\times}x f(x)x^s = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{S} \\ p < N}} a(p)/(s+p) + \int_0^1 d^{\times}x R(x)x^s$  has the desired poles and residues. Taking absolute values also yields the desired bound.  $\square$