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## Example

Let $p$ be a prime number, and let $G=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $m$ be the Lebesgue measure on $G$. By using $p$-adic expansions, we can identify $\mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $\left\{z \in \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z} \mid p^{n} z=0\right.$ for some $\left.n \geq 0\right\}$ as groups. We take $\psi$ to be the composition

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p} \subset \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} S^{1}
$$

Since $\widehat{m}$ is a Haar measure on $\widehat{G} \cong G$, it equals $c$ times $m$ for some $c>0$. Next, let $f$ be the indicator function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Its Fourier transform is

$$
\widehat{f}(a)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~d} x f(x) \psi_{a}(x)^{-1}=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathrm{~d} x \psi(a x)^{-1}
$$

If $a$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then ax does too for all $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. So $\left.\psi_{a}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ is trivial, making $\widehat{f}(a)=1$. If $a$ does not lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then $v_{p}(a)<0$, and $\left.\psi_{a}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ factors through a nontrivial group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{-v_{p}(a)} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow S^{1}$.

## Example (continued)

Therefore the integral becomes

$$
\sum_{v_{p} / p^{-v_{p}(a)} \mathbb{Z}_{p}} m(x) \psi_{a}(x)^{-1}=p^{v_{p}(a)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{-v_{p}(a)} \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{a}(x)^{-1}=0 .
$$

Altogether $\widehat{f}$ is also the indicator function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Thus $c=1$, i.e. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ is self-dual with respect to this choice of $\psi$.

We want a handy class of functions we can apply Poisson summation to.

## Definition

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function. We say it is Schwartz if, for all non-negative integers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$, the function

$$
x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \frac{\partial^{\beta_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}^{\beta_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\beta_{n}}}{\partial x_{n}^{\beta_{n}}} f
$$

approaches 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.
Write $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for the set of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Note $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is preserved under addition, multiplication, and scaling by $\mathbb{C}$. Example

- $f(x)=\frac{1}{1+x}$ does not lie in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, since $x f(x)$ does not approach 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,
- $f(x)=e^{-x^{2}} \sin \left(e^{x^{2}}\right)$ does not lie in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, since $f^{\prime}(x)$ does not approach 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$,
- $f(x)=e^{-x^{2}}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

For nonarchimedean local fields, we use the following functions. Let $X$ be a totally disconnected topological space.

## Definition

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. We say that $f$ is

- smooth if it is locally constant,
- Bruhat-Schwartz if it is smooth and compactly supported.

Write $\mathcal{S}(X)$ for the set of Bruhat-Schwartz functions on $X$. Note that $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is preserved under addition, multiplication, and scaling by $\mathbb{C}$.

## Proposition

Let $f$ be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $F(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+k)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ and defines a continuous function $F: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

## Proof.

It suffices to consider convergence on $[-r, r]$ for $r>0$. There exists $B>0$ such that $y^{2}|f(y)|<1$ for all $|y|>B$. Thus for all $|k|>B+r$, we have $|f(x+k)|<1 /(k-r)^{2}$ for all $x$ in $[-r, r]$. The convergence of this series yields our desired uniform convergence, so $F$ yields a continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $c$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, uniform convergence allows us to re-index to see

$$
F(x+c)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+c+k)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+j)=F(x)
$$

where $j=c+k$. So $F$ descends to a continuous function $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

## Remark (Fourier inversion)

Let $G$ be an abelian locally compact topological group, and let $m$ be a Haar measure on $G$. If $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, in $L^{1}(G)$, and has $\widehat{f}$ in $L^{1}(G)$, then $f(x)=\widehat{\hat{f}}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ for all $x$ in $G$.

## Remark

Let $F$ be a local field, and fix a continuous homomorphism $\psi: F \rightarrow S^{1}$. One can show that the Fourier transform yields a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{S}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(\widehat{F})=\mathcal{S}(F)$ (where we identify $\mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{C}$ when $F=\mathbb{C}$ ) and exclusively work with $f$ in $\mathcal{S}(F)$ instead of $L^{2}(F)$.

Theorem (Poisson summation)
Let $f$ be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(k)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k)$.
Proof.
Let $F(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+k)$, considered as a function $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

## Theorem (Poisson summation)

Let $f$ be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(k)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k)$.
Proof (continued).
Note that $F(1)$ equals the left-hand side above. First, I claim $\widehat{f}(c)=\widehat{F}(c)$ for all $c$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, where we use the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and the usual measure on $S^{1}$. To see this, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}(c) & =\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x F(x) e^{-2 \pi c i x}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+k) e^{-2 \pi c i x} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+k) e^{-2 \pi c i(x+k)}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y f(y) e^{-2 \pi c i y}=\widehat{f}(c),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y=x+k$. Now $\widehat{f}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, so $\sum_{c=-\infty}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(c)|=\sum_{c=-\infty}^{\infty}|\widehat{F}(c)|$ converges. In other words, $\widehat{F}$ lies in $L^{1}(\mathbb{Z})$, so Fourier inversion applies to $F$. Hence $F(1)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{F}(k) 1^{k}=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k)$, as desired.

## Remark

One can use Poisson summation to prove Minkowski's convex body theorem, which in turn implies fundamental facts on number fields!

Recall that number fields are finite extensions $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. For any number field $F$, write $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ for the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $F$. We call $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ the ring of integers of $F$.

## Example

- For $F=\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}$.
- Let $D$ be a squarefree integer, and let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right] & \text { if } D \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Let $N$ be a positive integer, choose an $N$-th root of unity $\zeta_{N}$, and let $F=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{F}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{N}\right]$.

Now $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is always a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $[F: \mathbb{Q}]$, so $\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}=F$.

## Definition

Let $A$ be a commutative ring. We say $A$ is a Dedekind domain if it is integrally closed, noetherian, and every nonzero prime ideal is maximal.

## Example

- Every principal ideal domain is also Dedekind,
- Let $F$ be a number field. Then $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is a Dedekind domain,
- Let $\kappa$ be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. One can show that $\kappa[x, y] /\left(y^{2}-x^{3}+x\right)$ is a Dedekind domain.


## Definition

Let $A$ be an integral domain. A fractional ideal of $A$ is an $A$-submodule I of Frac $A$ such that al $\subseteq A$ for some $a$ in $A$.

## Example

Let $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$. Then $\mathfrak{p}=(2,1+\sqrt{-5})$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$, and $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}=\left\{x \in F \mid x \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{F}\right\}=\left(1, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{-5}}{2}\right)$ is a fractional ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$.

Uniquely factorizing elements plays a key role in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$. For general Dedekind domains $A$, we have a weaker version for ideals.

## Proposition

Let $/$ be a nonzero fractional ideal of $A$. Then I can be uniquely written as $\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{r}^{n_{r}}$ for nonzero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}$ of $A$ and integers $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}$.

Thus the set $\mathcal{I}_{A}$ of nonzero fractional ideals of $A$ forms a group, and it's isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over nonzero prime ideals of $A$.

For any nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $A$ and $x$ in $(\operatorname{Frac} A)^{\times}$, write $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ for the exponent of $\mathfrak{p}$ in the unique factorization of $(x)$. We see that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}: \operatorname{Frac} A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$ yields a discrete valuation on Frac $A$.

