Even More on Valued Fields (featuring Hensel's lemma)

Siyan Daniel Li-Huerta

September 22, 2020

Let *F* be a field complete with respect to a discretely valued norm  $|\cdot|$ . Let *e* be the smallest value > 1 that  $|\cdot|$  takes, let *v* be the normalized valuation, and let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer.

### Proposition

The natural map  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \varprojlim_m \mathcal{O} / \pi^m \mathcal{O}$  is an isomorphism of topological rings.

## Proof.

The kernel is  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \pi^m \mathcal{O} = \{0\}$ , so the map is injective. For surjectivity, let  $(y_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be in  $\varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}/\pi^m \mathcal{O}$ , and choose representatives  $\widetilde{y}_m$  of  $y_m$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ . For  $m' \ge m \ge N$ , we have  $\widetilde{y}_m \equiv y_N \equiv \widetilde{y}_{m'} \mod \pi^N$ , so  $\{\widetilde{y}_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{O}$ . By completeness, it has a limit y in  $\mathcal{O}$ . For sufficiently large M, we have  $y \equiv y_M \mod \pi^M$ , so y maps to  $(y_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ .

To check that the map is continuous and open, it suffices to check that it preserves neighborhoods of 0. The image of  $\{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid |x| \leq 1/e^N\}$  is the intersection of  $\varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}/\pi^m \mathcal{O}$  with  $(\prod_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}/\pi^m \mathcal{O}) \times \{0\}^N$ , and as N varies, both of these sets form a basis of neighborhoods of 0.

Let's generalize *p*-adic expansions to *F*. Let *R* be a set of representatives of  $O/\pi O$  that contains 0.

### Example

As  $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{F}_p$ , here we can take  $R = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ .

## Proposition

Nonzero elements of F can be uniquely written as

$$a_N\pi^N+a_{N+1}\pi^{N+1}+\cdots,$$

where N is an integer, the  $a_N, a_{N+1}, \ldots$  lie in R, and  $a_N \neq 0$ .

### Proof.

Let x be in  $F^{\times}$ , and set N = v(x). Then  $x/\pi^N$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ , so its image in  $\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O}$  is nonzero. Thus  $x/\pi^N - a_N = \pi y$  for a unique nonzero  $a_N$  in R and y in  $\mathcal{O}$ . If y = 0, we're done. Otherwise, we've only found the leading digit of x. Replace x with y and repeat this process to find the next digit.

### Definition

Let  $f = c_0 + c_1t + \cdots + c_dt^d$  be in F[t]. The Gauss norm of f, denoted by |f|, is max $\{|c_0|, \ldots, |c_d|\}$ . We say f is primitive if |f| = 1.

The following lemma is extraordinarily useful. Recall that  $\mathfrak{m} = \pi \mathcal{O}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We call  $\kappa = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  the *residue field*.

## Lemma (Hensel)

Let f in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  be primitive. If  $f \equiv gh \mod \pi$  for some relatively prime g and h in  $\kappa[t]$ , then there exist  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  such that  $\tilde{g} \equiv g \mod \pi$ ,  $\tilde{h} \equiv h \mod \pi$ , deg  $\tilde{g} = \deg g$ , and  $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ .

#### Example

Consider  $f = t^2 + 5$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7[t]$ . Then  $f \equiv (t-3)(t-4) \mod 7$ , so there exist  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7[t]$  such that  $\tilde{g} \equiv t-3 \mod 7$ ,  $\tilde{h} \equiv t-4 \mod 7$ , and deg  $\tilde{g} = \deg g = 1$ . Therefore we must have deg  $\tilde{h} = 1$ , and we see the leading coefficients of  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  lie in  $\mathbb{Z}_7^{\times}$ . This yields two square roots of -5 in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ , which are representatives of 3 and 4 in  $\mathbb{F}_7$ . Indeed, one can check that their first two digits are  $3 + 2 \cdot 7 + \cdots$  and  $4 + 4 \cdot 7 + \cdots$ .

## Lemma (Hensel)

Let f in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  be primitive. If  $f \equiv gh \mod \pi$  for some relatively prime g and h in  $\kappa[t]$ , then there exist  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  such that  $\tilde{g} \equiv g \mod \pi$ ,  $\tilde{h} \equiv h \mod \pi$ , deg  $\tilde{g} = \deg g$ , and  $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ .

#### Proof.

Write  $d = \deg f$  and  $n = \deg g$ . So  $\deg h \le d - n$ . Choose representatives  $g_0$  and  $h_0$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  of g and h such that  $\deg g_0 = n$  and  $\deg h_0 \le d - n$ . As g and h are relatively prime, we can find a and b in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  such that  $ag + bh \equiv 1 \mod \pi$ .

By inducting on *m*, we will find in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  elements  $p_1, p_2, \ldots$  of degree  $\leq n-1$  and elements  $q_1, q_2, \ldots$  of degree  $\leq d-n$  such that

$$g_{m-1} = g_0 + p_1 \pi + \dots + p_{m-1} \pi^{m-1}, \ h_{m-1} = h_0 + q_1 \pi + \dots + q_{m-1} \pi^{m-1}$$

satisfy  $f \equiv g_{m-1}h_{m-1} \mod \pi^m$ . Note the  $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{h_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  are Cauchy sequences. Thus they have limits  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$ , which fulfill the desired properties.

### Lemma (Hensel)

Let f in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  be primitive. If  $f \equiv gh \mod \pi$  for some relatively prime g and h in  $\kappa[t]$ , then there exist  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  such that  $\tilde{g} \equiv g \mod \pi$ ,  $\tilde{h} \equiv h \mod \pi$ , deg  $\tilde{g} = \deg g$ , and  $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ .

## Proof (continued).

The m = 1 case holds by assumption. Assuming we found satisfactory  $p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1}$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_{m-1}$ , we want to choose  $p_m$  and  $q_m$  such that

$$f \equiv g_m h_m = (g_{m-1} + p_m \pi^m)(h_{m-1} + q_m \pi^m) \mod \pi^{m+1} \iff$$
  
$$f - g_{m-1}h_{m-1} \equiv (g_{m-1}q_m + h_{m-1}p_m)\pi^m \mod \pi^{m+1} \iff$$
  
$$f_m \equiv g_{m-1}q_m + h_{m-1}p_m \equiv g_0q_m + h_0p_m \mod \pi,$$

where  $f_m = \pi^{-m}(f - g_{m-1}h_{m-1})$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$ . Note that deg  $f_m \leq d$ . Because  $1 \equiv ag_0 + bh_0 \mod \pi$ , we have  $f_m \equiv g_0 af_m + h_0 bf_m \mod \pi$ . So  $q_m = af_m$  and  $p_m = bf_m$  look good, except their degrees might be too big.

## Lemma (Hensel)

Let f in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  be primitive. If  $f \equiv gh \mod \pi$  for some relatively prime g and h in  $\kappa[t]$ , then there exist  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  such that  $\tilde{g} \equiv g \mod \pi$ ,  $\tilde{h} \equiv h \mod \pi$ , deg  $\tilde{g} = \deg g$ , and  $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ .

## Proof (continued).

What do we do instead? First, note that  $g_0 \equiv g \mod \pi$  and deg  $g_0 = \deg g$ , so the leading coefficient of  $g_0$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ . Thus polynomial division yields  $bf_m = qg_0 + p_m$  for some q and  $p_m$  in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  with deg  $p_m \leq n-1$ . Now we have

$$f_m \equiv g_0 a f_m + h_0 b f_m = g_0 (a f_m + h_0 q) + h_0 p_m \mod \pi.$$

Let  $q_m$  be the element in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  obtained from removing every term in  $af_m + h_0 q$  divisible by  $\pi$ . Then its degree can be checked mod  $\pi$ , and we still have  $f_m \equiv g_0 q_m + h_0 p_m \mod \pi$ . Since deg  $f_m \leq d$ , deg  $h_0 p_m \leq (d - n) + (n - 1) = d - 1$ , and deg  $g_0 = n$ , we must have deg  $q_m \leq d - n$ .

### Example

Consider  $f = t^{p-1} - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t]$ . Then  $f \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}(t-i) \mod p$ , so repeatedly applying Hensel's lemma shows that f completely factors into degree 1 elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t]$  with leading coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  contains all (p-1)-th roots of unity, and  $R = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \mid x^{p-1} = 1\} \cup \{0\}$  forms a set of representatives of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  that's closed under multiplication. These are called *Teichmüller representatives*.

### Corollary

Let  $f = c_0 + \cdots + c_d t^d$  in F[t] be irreducible, and suppose  $c_d c_0 \neq 0$ . Then  $|f| = \max\{|c_0|, |c_d|\}$ .

#### Proof.

By replacing f with a scalar multiple, we may assume |f| = 1 and f hence lies in  $\mathcal{O}[t]$ . Let r be the smallest such that  $|c_r| = 1$ . Then  $f \equiv t^r(c_r + \cdots + c_d t^{d-r}) \mod \pi$ , where  $c_r \not\equiv 0 \mod \pi$ . If  $\max\{|c_0|, |c_d|\} < 1$ , then we must have  $1 \leq r \leq d - 1$ . Hensel's lemma then provides a nontrivial factorization of f, which cannot exist.

### Corollary

Let E/F be a finite extension of degree d. Then  $|\cdot|' = |\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \cdot|^{1/d}$  yields an extension of  $|\cdot|$  to an absolute value on E, and it is the unique extension up to isomorphism.

### Proof.

Write  $\mathcal{O}'$  for the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  in E. For nonzero x in E, its characteristic polynomial over F is a power of its minimal polynomial  $f = c_0 + \cdots + t^m$  over F. Thus  $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} x = \pm c_0^{d/m}$ . If x lies in  $\mathcal{O}'$ , then  $c_0$  and hence  $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} x$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Conversely, if  $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} x$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , then the previous lemma shows  $|f| = \max\{|c_0|, |1|\} = 1$ . Thus f lies in  $\mathcal{O}_F[t]$ , so x lies in  $\mathcal{O}'$ .

When x is in F, we have  $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} x = x^d$ , so  $|\cdot|'$  extends  $|\cdot|$ . Let's show  $|\cdot|'$  is a norm. Evidently |x|' = 0 if and only if x = 0, and  $|\cdot|'$  also commutes with multiplication. As for the strong triangle inequality, let x and y be in  $E^{\times}$ , and say  $|x|' \leq |y|'$  without loss of generality. Then  $|x+y|' \leq \max\{|x|', |y|'\}$  is equivalent to  $|x/y+1|' \leq \max\{|x/y|', 1\} = 1$ .

### Corollary

Let E/F be a finite extension of degree d. Then  $|\cdot|' = |\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F} \cdot|^{1/d}$  yields an extension of  $|\cdot|$  to an absolute value on E, and it is the unique extension up to isomorphism.

# Proof (continued).

Since  $|x/y|' \leq 1$ , then we have  $|\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(x/y)| \leq 1$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(x/y)$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Hence x/y lies in  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Because  $\mathcal{O}'$  is a subring, so does x/y + 1, which implies  $|\operatorname{Nm}_{E/F}(x/y+1)| \leq 1$  and hence  $|x/y+1|' \leq 1$ , as desired. So  $|\cdot|'$  is a nonarchimedean norm on E, and its valuation ring is  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Write  $\mathfrak{m}'$  for its maximal ideal.

For uniqueness, let  $|\cdot|''$  be another norm on E extending  $|\cdot|$ . Then  $|\cdot|''$ must be nontrivial and nonarchimedean. Write  $\mathcal{O}''$  and  $\mathfrak{m}''$  for its valuation ring and maximal ideal. If we had some x in  $\mathcal{O}' \setminus \mathcal{O}''$ , then the coefficients  $c_0, \ldots, c_{m-1}$  of its minimal polynomial lie in  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and hence  $\mathcal{O}''$ . Yet  $x^{-1}$ must lie in  $\mathfrak{m}''$ , so  $1 = -c_{m-1}x^{-1} - \cdots - c_0x^{-m}$  does too, which is false. Therefore  $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}''$ , so |x|'' > 1 implies |x|' > 1. Taking inverses shows that |x|'' < 1 implies |x|' < 1, so  $|\cdot|''$  and  $|\cdot|'$  are isomorphic.