

Complex tori, line bundles & theta-functions

Thomas.

June 5
2019

$Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}^g \rightarrow$ moduli space of polarized ab. var.

functor

A
"ab. var."

\leftrightarrow

$$A^{an} = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$$

"Jacobians"

Note: This doesn't make it trivial since trivial means a nowhere zero global section.

Goal

embed

$$T = V / \Lambda \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^N.$$

total space

$$T \times_{\mathbb{P}^N} L = \varphi^* L \rightarrow L = (\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^* = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

\downarrow
 T

\downarrow
 \mathbb{P}^N

Let global sections spanned by X_i - homog. coordinate

$$\varphi(x) = [\varphi_0(x) : \dots : \varphi_n(x)]$$

If we could embed it, then we'd have:

If we have a line bundle L on T , we say:

- L has enough global sections if the global sections do not have a common zero
- L is very ample if global sections give an embedding into \mathbb{P}^N
- L is ample if L^{an} is very ample.

cohomology recap

Sheaf cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

A, B, C - sheaves on X of ab. groups

Get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{H^0(X, A)}_{A(X)} \rightarrow \underbrace{H^0(X, B)}_{B(X)} \rightarrow H^0(X, C) \rightarrow H^1(X, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Cech cohomology —

U -open cover of X , \mathcal{F} -sheaf of ab. sps on X

$$e^p(U, \mathcal{F}) = \{ \text{maps } (U_{\alpha_i} - U_{\alpha_j}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha_i} \cap U_{\alpha_j}) \}$$

↑
tuples (not $U_{\alpha_i} \times \dots \times U_{\alpha_j}$)

will coincide with sheaf cohom.

↑ if the opens in the covering are cohomologically trivial

sheaves on top. space has enough injectives.

we are working with complex mfd's with analytic topology

we only need

$p=1$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}^X =$ sheaf of invertible holomorphic fns.

$$e^1: U_0, U_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X(U_0 \cap U_1)$$

1-cocycle = transition map

~~quadruple cocycle condition~~ means behaves well under triple intersection.

cocycle condition:

so we get:

$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^X) =$ space of holomorphic line bundles.

• Exponential exact sequence: (i.e. ~~non~~ nonvanishing)

$\mathcal{O}^X =$ sheaf of invertible holomorphic fns

$\mathcal{O} =$ sheaf of holom. fns

$\mathbb{Z} =$ sheaf of loc. const. fns valued in \mathbb{Z} .

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow 0$$

$$f \mapsto \exp(2\pi i f)$$

From the long exact sequence, get the map

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(*)} \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \text{space of Chern classes.} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\
 \quad \quad \quad L \hookrightarrow c_2(L) \leftarrow \text{1st Chern class.} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{topological invariant} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{of a line} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{bundle}
 \end{array}$$

(true, we
black
box it)
tensor product
of line
bundles
corr to
the group
structure
on H^2 .)

these
are holomorphic
ones.

The point is that not every line bundle has complex structure.

$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the space of line bundles in the topological sense.

[This doesn't say the map (*) is surjective]

$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ← de Rham cohomology

pairing $H_i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

\uparrow
homology
 $(\gamma, \omega) \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega$

So,
our
case

$X = T = \mathbb{V} / \Lambda \cong (S^1)^{2g}$

\uparrow
topologically, it is a product
of $2g$ circles.

S^1 cohomology: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, \dots$

$H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{V}$, with the duality
with homology.

So we can look at the cup product $\wedge^p H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^p(T, \mathbb{Z})$
 for S^1 , by Künneth formula it's surj. for T
~~isomorphism~~
~~surjective~~

so in particular $H^p(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \wedge^p \text{Hom}(\wedge^p T, \mathbb{Z})$
 $= \text{Hom}(\wedge^p T, \mathbb{Z})$

think of cup product but
 elts of H^1 are
 differentials, so it
 really is just exterior
 product.

"Picture" (black box)

$$H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \wedge^2 \Lambda^V$$

$$H^2_{dR}(T, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \wedge^2 V^{\vee}$$

linear dual

$$T = V/\Lambda, \quad \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R} = V.$$

$$H^2(T, \mathbb{C}) = \wedge^2 (V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\vee}$$

← Hodge decomp.

black box: $H^2(T, \mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0} \oplus H^{1,1} \oplus H^{0,2}$

very important
 that T

is a complex
 compact
 manifold.

Dolbeault cohomology —
 (like de Rham: ~~but with \mathbb{C} instead of \mathbb{R}~~)
 (real) dx_i

Dolbeault: $dz_i, d\bar{z}_i$

$$d\bar{z}_i = dx_i + i dy_i$$

$H^{p,q}$: $\begin{matrix} \text{P at here} \\ \nearrow \\ dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i \end{matrix}$ ← q of these

Holom. line bundles all live in $H^{1,1}$.

(in general, holom. vector bundles of rank k live in $H^{k,k}$)

$H^{1,1}$ is a line in a 2-dim. space in our case.

So: there's a holom. line bundle on T

At this line intersects Δ .

So generically, T (as a topol. torus) doesn't have complex structure.

But we also want not just a line bundle but an ample one

↑ which form a cone in $H^{1,1}$
↑ i.e. a ray.

(Note: if $g \geq 1$, $H^{1,1}$ is everything so all \mathbb{C}/Λ have complex structure).

Another black box:

Poincaré $\bar{\partial}$ -lemma (in our case): $H^p(\mathbb{C}^g, \mathcal{O}) = 0$

So if we look at the exponential exact sequence, we get:

$$H^1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})$$

↳ same as H^2 \uparrow
↳ V contractible

↳ 0
↳ by Poincaré

↳ 0
↳ and this is de Rham and V is contractible

\Rightarrow there are no nontrivial holom. line bundles on V_n

Now start with a line bundle on our torus.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \times V \cong \pi^* L & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

so $\pi^* L$ has to be trivial (b/c V has no holes).

Λ acts on $\pi^* L$

$\lambda \in \Lambda : \quad \begin{array}{l} v \in V \\ z \in \mathbb{C} \end{array}$

Make a choice of trivialization, call it $e_\lambda(v)$

$$\lambda \cdot (z, v) = (e_\lambda(v)z, v + \lambda)$$

Then we get group structure:

$$(\lambda + \mu) \cdot (z, v) = (e_{\lambda + \mu}(v)z, v + \lambda + \mu)$$

(on the other hand) $\begin{aligned} &= \lambda \cdot (e_\mu(v)z, v + \mu) \\ &= (e_\lambda(v + \mu) e_\mu(v)z, v + \lambda + \mu) \end{aligned}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{e_{\lambda + \mu}(v) = e_\lambda(v + \mu) e_\mu(v)}$$

-cocycle condition:

$$\lambda \mapsto e_\lambda$$

is a 1-cocycle in the group

cohomology

$$H^1(\Lambda, \mathcal{O}^*(V))$$

Use / can check that coboundaries correspond to a change of trivialization /

Prop Every holomorphic line bundle on T is of the form $\mathbb{C} \times V / \Lambda$ where Λ acts as above

for some cocycle

$$\lambda \mapsto e_\lambda.$$

In particular, $H^1(T, \mathcal{O}^*) \cong H^1(\Lambda, \mathcal{O}^*(V))$
 \cong sheaf cohom \cong Pic(T) \cong group cohom

Def Cocycles $\in H^1(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}^x(U))$ defining a line bundle are called multiplicers

How to construct multiplicers:

start with $E \in H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$
 - a compatible skew-symm.
 bilinear form on Λ

Build $\alpha: \Lambda \rightarrow U(1)$ such that

$$\alpha(\lambda + \mu) = \exp(\pi i E(\lambda, \mu))$$

Def: ~~Let~~ $E \in H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$

i) compatible if

$$E_{\mathbb{R}}(iu, iv) = E_{\mathbb{R}}(u, v)$$

E is a skew-symm.
 bilinear form on Λ

($E = \text{Im } H$
 \uparrow
 symm. hermitian form

Note: Heisenberg sp structure! \leftarrow talk about it later.

Assign values for $\alpha(\lambda_i)$: $\{\lambda_i\}$ - basis for Λ

$$\text{set } \alpha(\sum n_i \lambda_i) = \pm \alpha(\lambda_1)^{n_1} \dots \alpha(\lambda_{2g})^{n_{2g}}$$

$$\text{set } \rho_\lambda(v) = \alpha(\lambda) \exp(\pi H(v, \lambda) + \frac{\pi}{2} H(\lambda, \lambda))$$

\downarrow it is a multiplier!

Let $L(H, \alpha)$ denote the corresponding line bundle

$$L(H_1 + H_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = L(H_1, \alpha_1) \otimes L(H_2, \alpha_2).$$

Appell-Humbert Thm

(*) $E \in H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Chern class of a holom. line bundle if E is compatible

$$(2) E \in H^2(T, \mathbb{R})$$

$$E: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$\&$ E takes integer values on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$
and is compatible, then
there is $\alpha: \Lambda \rightarrow U(1)$ satisfying
the condition,

$$\text{for every } \alpha \text{ we get}$$

$$c_1(L(K, \alpha)) = -E$$

(3) $\alpha \mapsto L(O, \alpha)$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, U(1))$
to the group of topologically trivial line bundles
on T . ($= \text{Pic}^0(T)$).

Note: we did not say E had to be positive-definite
or anything! (so $E = 0$ gives trivial bundle)

Pf: (i) $H^1(T, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(T, \mathcal{O})$

$$\uparrow \quad \overbrace{\quad}^E$$

we want to compute the image
of this map

$$= \ker(\text{next map})$$

we have ~~totally wrong~~

$$H^2(T, \mathbb{C}) = \Lambda^2(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})^{\vee}$$

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = V \otimes \oplus \bar{V}$$

$$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$$

$$\text{vol} - i \text{vol} \quad \text{vol} + i \text{vol}$$

[this has an
interpretation
in the
Heisenberg sp]

The map $H^2(T, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(T, \mathcal{O})$

$$\begin{matrix} \text{extend} & & \uparrow \\ \text{scalars} & \searrow & \text{restrict to } \bar{V} \\ & H^2(T, \mathbb{C}) & \end{matrix}$$

So: $E \mapsto 0 \in (E \otimes \mathbb{C})(v \otimes 1 + i v \otimes i, w \otimes 1 + i w \otimes i) = 0$

||

$$E(v, w) - E(v, iw) + i(E(v, iw) - E(iw, w)) = 0$$

So $E \mapsto 0 \Leftrightarrow [E(v, w) = E(iw, iw)]$
so E is compatible ↗

↑
gives the same condition as the real part.

2nd part: $H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}^x) \rightarrow \text{~~...~~} H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$

" $H^1(\Delta, \mathcal{O}^x(V))$

" $\Lambda^2 \Lambda^V$

$e_\lambda \mapsto [F(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) - F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]$
 where

write $e_\lambda(v) = \exp(2\pi i f_\lambda(v))$

[f_λ is a real-valued fn.]

get:

$$e_\lambda(v) \mapsto f_{\lambda_2}(v + \lambda_1) - f_{\lambda_1}(v + \lambda_2)$$

$$+ f_{\lambda_1}(v) - f_{\lambda_2}(v)$$

↑
fn of v. $\mapsto H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$

$F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = t_{\lambda_1}^* f_{\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + f_{\lambda_2}$
 where t_{λ_1} is translation by λ_1 , $t_{\lambda_1}^*$ is pullback by it.

Now we want to build the multiplier α :
 starting with $E \in H^2(T, \mathbb{Z})$
 and want to build α

from E , make f_λ .

write $f_\lambda(v) = \underbrace{\delta(\lambda)} + \frac{1}{2i} H(v, \lambda) + \frac{1}{4i} H(\lambda, \lambda)$
 motivated by Heis. GP

from this, make α :

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \exp(2\pi i \delta(\lambda))$$

can check: $c_1(L(H, \alpha)) = -E$.

Part (3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(T, \mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & H^1(T, U(1)) = \alpha \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^1(T, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^1(T, \mathbb{C}^\times) = L(0, \alpha) \end{array}$$

[all maps come from this diagram] and the map on the very right

Theta functions and Lefschetz Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \times V \xrightarrow{\pi^*} L & \rightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda \subset V & \rightarrow & T \end{array}$$

want to look at global sections of our line bundle stable under the action of Λ :

these are the ones that correspond to global sections of L
 \downarrow
 T

$\phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, invariant under Λ means:

$$\phi(\lambda \cdot v) = \phi(v)$$

This gives us: $\phi(\lambda + v) = e_\lambda(v) \phi(v)$
 is the left action in the exponent

Then $\varphi(v+\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda) \exp(\pi i (K(v, \lambda) + \frac{\pi i}{2} K(\lambda, \lambda))) \varphi(v)$ ^(*)

Def A holom. fn $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t. (*) holds
 is called a canonical theta-function
 for (H, Λ, α)

We call $T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$ = space of canonical
~~theta~~ theta-functions
 (by def, these are our global sections)

$T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$ comes equipped with an inner product:

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_T \varphi_1(v) \overline{\varphi_2(v)} \exp(-\pi H(v, v)) dv$$

/ The only non-triv. rep'n of the Heisenberg sp
 ↑
 "finite" Heisenberg sp -
 extension of a
 finite group by the circle /

$$\Lambda^\perp = \{v \in V : E(v, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(I talked about the case $\Lambda \neq$ self-dual)
 $\alpha \Lambda = \Lambda^\perp$

Then $T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$ is 1-dimensional.

In general, we have that

Prop $T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$ has dimension $[\Lambda^\perp : \Lambda]$

(b/c $T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$ is an irr. rep of the Heisenberg sp:)

$$0 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \overset{\text{Heisenberg gp}}{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 / \mathbb{A} \rightarrow 0$$

Simple example:

$\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ $\Lambda = \text{span}(1, \tau)$
 The space of holom. fns satisfying

$$f(z+1) = f(z)$$

$$f(z+\tau) = \exp(-2\pi i k z + b) f(z)$$

very specific Θ -fn.



has dim. k

this gives

$$f(z) = \sum a_n \exp(2\pi i n z) \quad \text{— Fourier decomp. from } f(z+1) = f(z)$$

2nd condition gives: $a_n = a_{n+k} \exp(b - 2\pi i k z)$
 so we just need to specify k coeffs.

Key lemma $t_a: V \rightarrow V$
 $v \mapsto v + a$

(Think of L as a bundle on V , with choice of trivialization equiv. under Λ)

$$t_a^*(L(H, \alpha)) = L(H, \alpha \exp(2\pi i E(v, -)))$$

Pf: straightforward check.

Letschitz Theorem let L be a holom. line bundle on T with $c_1(L) = E$

For $n \geq 3$, we have global sections of $L^{\otimes n}$ defined on embedding into \mathbb{P}^N

easy part of Pf: ($n=3$) start with $\Theta \in T(H, \Lambda, \alpha)$
 (given a point x , we do not know if Θ vanishes at x or not. But's

consider $\Theta(v-a) \Theta(v-b) \Theta(v+a+b)$

want this

~~by we~~
~~what~~
~~it does~~
~~with~~
 ~~$x = (a,b)$~~

put this in
so that
arguments
sum to 0

then extra factors
coming from key lemma
sum to 0

so we get a global section of $L(3H, \alpha^3)$
 $= L^{\otimes 3}$

For each x , can pick a, b s.t. $\psi(x) \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow L^{\otimes 3}$ has enough ^{global} sections

$$T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

$$x \mapsto [\varphi_1(x) \dots \varphi_n(x)]$$

φ_i are a basis of

$$T(3H, \Lambda, \alpha^3)$$

PF of injectivity is long but ...

it's all about good choices of a, b
skipping

(note: $\Theta(v-a)$ is not a global section
of our line bundle).

hence we put in $\Theta(v-a), \Theta(v-b)$

but maybe could do with just

$$\Theta(v-a) \Theta(v+a)$$

- should work for enough sections.

can always
choose a, b
so that
~~it won't~~
it won't
~~vanish~~
vanish.