

# Generating Functions I Have Known

Richard P. Stanley

June 7, 2024

## Most influential

$$\frac{1}{2 - e^x}$$

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{-n}$$

## Three similar generating functions

**Theorem (Cayley, Whitworth).** Let  $f(n)$  be the number of ordered set partitions of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (Fubini number), i.e., the number of sequences  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k)$  of sets  $B_i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\cup B_i = [n]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2 - e^x}.$$

## Three similar generating functions

**Theorem (Cayley, Whitworth).** Let  $f(n)$  be the number of ordered set partitions of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (Fubini number), i.e., the number of sequences  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k)$  of sets  $B_i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\cup B_i = [n]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2 - e^x}.$$

**Theorem (Kalmár, 1931).** Let  $g(n)$  be the number of ordered factorizations of  $n$ , i.e., the number of ways to write  $n = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ ,  $a_i > 1$ . Then  $\sum_{n \geq 1} g(n) n^{-s} = \frac{1}{2 - \zeta(s)}$ .

## Three similar generating functions

**Theorem (Cayley, Whitworth).** Let  $f(n)$  be the number of ordered set partitions of  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (Fubini number), i.e., the number of sequences  $(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k)$  of sets  $B_i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\cup B_i = [n]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2 - e^x}.$$

**Theorem (Kalmár, 1931).** Let  $g(n)$  be the number of ordered factorizations of  $n$ , i.e., the number of ways to write  $n = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ ,  $a_i > 1$ . Then  $\sum_{n \geq 1} g(n) n^{-s} = \frac{1}{2 - \zeta(s)}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $c(n)$  be the number of compositions of  $n$ , i.e., the number of ways to write  $n = b_1 + \cdots + b_k$ ,  $b_i \geq 1$  (so  $c(n) = 2^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $\sum_{n \geq 1} c(n) x^n = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{1-x}}$ .

## Chains in posets

- $f(n)$  is the number of chains  $\emptyset = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = [n]$  in the boolean algebra  $B_n$ .

## Chains in posets

- $f(n)$  is the number of chains  $\emptyset = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = [n]$  in the boolean algebra  $B_n$ .
- $g(n)$  is the number of chains  $1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the lattice of divisors of  $n$ .

## Chains in posets

- $f(n)$  is the number of chains  $\emptyset = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = [n]$  in the boolean algebra  $B_n$ .
- $g(n)$  is the number of chains  $1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the lattice of divisors of  $n$ .
- $c(n)$  is the number of chains  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the chain  $0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n$ .



## Chains in posets

- $f(n)$  is the number of chains  $\emptyset = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = [n]$  in the boolean algebra  $B_n$ .
- $g(n)$  is the number of chains  $1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the lattice of divisors of  $n$ .
- $c(n)$  is the number of chains  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the chain  $0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n$ .

## Chains in posets

- $f(n)$  is the number of chains  $\emptyset = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = [n]$  in the boolean algebra  $B_n$ .
- $g(n)$  is the number of chains  $1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the lattice of divisors of  $n$ .
- $c(n)$  is the number of chains  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = n$  in the chain  $0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n$ .

Motivation for much further work on generating functions and posets.

# MathOverflow 29490: Gowers

mathoverflow

Home

Questions

Tags

Saves

Users

Unanswered

Looking for your team?

## How many surjections are there from a set of size $n$ ?

Asked 13 years, 10 months ago Modified 5 years ago Viewed 18k times



39



It is well-known that the number of surjections from a set of size  $n$  to a set of size  $m$  is quite a bit harder to calculate than the number of functions or the number of injections. (Of course, for surjections I assume that  $n$  is at least  $m$  and for injections that it is at most  $m$ .) It is also well-known that one can get a formula for the number of surjections using inclusion-exclusion, applied to the sets  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ , where for each  $i$  the set  $X_i$  is defined to be the set of functions that never take the value  $i$ . This gives rise to the following expression:

$$m^n - \binom{m}{1}(m-1)^n + \binom{m}{2}(m-2)^n - \binom{m}{3}(m-3)^n + \dots$$

Let us call this number  $S(n, m)$ . I'm wondering if anyone can tell me about the asymptotics of  $S(n, m)$ . A particular question I have is this: for (approximately) what value of  $m$  is  $S(n, m)$  maximized? It is a little exercise to check that there are more surjections to a set of size  $n-1$  than there are to a set of size  $n$ . (To do it, one calculates  $S(n, n-1)$  by exploiting the fact that every surjection must hit exactly one number twice and all the others once.) So the maximum is not attained at  $m=1$  or  $m=n$ .

I'm assuming this is known, but a search on the web just seems to lead me to the exact formula. A reference would be great. A proof, or proof sketch, would be even better.

**Update.** I should have said that my real reason for being interested in the value of  $m$  for which  $S(n, m)$  is maximized (to use the notation of this post) or  $mS(n, m)$  is maximized (to use the more conventional notation where  $S(n, m)$  stands for a Stirling number of the second kind) is that what I care about is the rough size of the sum. The sum is big enough that I think I'm probably not too concerned about a factor of  $n$ , so I was prepared to estimate the sum as lying between the maximum and  $n$  times the maximum.

co.combinatorics polynmath5 Edit tags

Share Cite Edit Follow Close Flag

edited Jun 25, 2010 at 13:05

asked Jun 25, 2010 at 10:34



Gowers

# A reply



55



It seems to be the case that the polynomial  $P_n(x) = \sum_{m=1}^n m!S(n, m)x^m$  has only real zeros. (I know it is true that  $\sum_{m=1}^n S(n, m)x^m$  has only real zeros.) If this is true, then the value of  $m$  maximizing  $m!S(n, m)$  is within 1 of  $P'_n(1)/P_n(1)$  by a theorem of J. N. Darroch, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **35** (1964), 1317-1321. See also J. Pitman, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A* **77** (1997), 279-303. By standard combinatorics

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{1 - x(e^t - 1)}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2 - e^t}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P'_n(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{e^t - 1}{(2 - e^t)^2}.$$

Since these functions are meromorphic with smallest singularity at  $t = \log 2$ , it is routine to work out the asymptotics, though I have not bothered to do this.

**Update.** It is indeed true that  $P_n(x)$  has real zeros. This is because  $(x - 1)^n P_n(1/(x - 1)) = A_n(x)/x$ , where  $A_n(x)$  is an Eulerian polynomial. It is known that  $A_n(x)$  has only real zeros, and the operation  $P_n(x) \rightarrow (x - 1)^n P_n(1/(x - 1))$  leaves invariant the property of having real zeros.

Share Cite Edit Delete Flag

edited Jun 26, 2010 at 16:55

answered Jun 26, 2010 at 0:15




Richard Stanley

# Response of Gowers

Thanks for this. It makes me realize that I need a more developed "write down the generating function" reflex (together with some knowledge about how to deal with it once it's written down).

[gowers](#) Jun 26, 2010 at 8:57

# Response of Terry Tao

- 1  Given that Tim ultimately only wants to sum  $m! S(n,m)$  rather than find its maximum, it is really only  $P_n(1)$  which one needs to compute. In principle this is an exercise in the saddle point method, though one which does require a nontrivial amount of effort. – Terry Tao Jun 26, 2010 at 19:03

- 9 You don't need the saddle point method to find the asymptotic rate of growth of the coefficients of  $1/(2 - e^t)$ . The smallest singularity is at  $t = \log 2$ . It is a simple pole with residue  $-1/2$ . Hence

$$P_n(1) \sim \frac{n!}{2(\log 2)^{n+1}}.$$

Using all the singularities  $\log 2 + 2\pi i k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one obtains an asymptotic series for  $P_n(1)$ . It can be shown that this series actually converges to  $P_n(1)$ . – Richard Stanley Jun 26, 2010 at 19:51


- 11 I quit being lazy and worked out the asymptotics for  $P_n'(1)$ . The Laurent expansion of  $(e^t - 1)/(2 - e^t)^2$  about  $t = \log 2$  begins

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^t - 1}{(2 - e^t)^2} &= \frac{1}{4(t - \log 2)^2} + \frac{1}{4(t - \log 2)} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{4(\log 2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{t}{\log 2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{4(\log 2) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\log 2}\right)} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$P_n'(1) = n! \left( \frac{n+1}{4(\log 2)^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{4(\log 2)^{n+1}} + \dots \right).$$

Thus  $P_n'(1)/P_n(1) \sim n/2(\log 2)$ . – Richard Stanley Jun 26, 2010 at 21:00

- 10  Ah, I didn't realise that it was so simple to read off asymptotics of a Taylor series from nearby singularities (though, in retrospect, I implicitly knew this in several contexts). Thanks. I learned something today! – Terry Tao Jun 28, 2010 at 20:26

## Food for thought

- Let  $f(n)$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  such that no part appears exactly once. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)x^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{6k}}{(1 - x^{2k})(1 - x^{3k})}.$$

## Food for thought

- Let  $f(n)$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  such that no part appears exactly once. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)x^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{6k}}{(1 - x^{2k})(1 - x^{3k})}.$$

- Let  $f(n)$  be the number of monic polynomials of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that no irreducible factor has multiplicity one. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)x^n = \frac{1 - qx^6}{(1 - qx^2)(1 - qx^3)}.$$



## Food for thought

- Let  $f(n)$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  such that no part appears exactly once. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)x^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{6k}}{(1 - x^{2k})(1 - x^{3k})}.$$

- Let  $f(n)$  be the number of monic polynomials of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that no irreducible factor has multiplicity one. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)x^n = \frac{1 - qx^6}{(1 - qx^2)(1 - qx^3)}.$$

- Let  $S$  be the set of all *powerful* positive integers  $n$ , i.e., no prime  $p$  divides  $n$  with multiplicity one. Then

$$\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)\zeta(3s)}{\zeta(6s)}$$

# Plane partitions

**Plane partition** of  $n$ : an array  $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}$  of nonnegative integers, weakly decreasing in rows and columns, and summing to  $n$ .

# Plane partitions

**Plane partition** of  $n$ : an array  $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}$  of nonnegative integers, weakly decreasing in rows and columns, and summing to  $n$ .

**pp( $n$ )**: number of plane partitions of  $n$

$$\text{pp}(3) = 6 : \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} 3 & 21 & 2 & 111 & 11 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

# Plane partitions

**Plane partition** of  $n$ : an array  $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}$  of nonnegative integers, weakly decreasing in rows and columns, and summing to  $n$ .

**pp( $n$ )**: number of plane partitions of  $n$

$$\text{pp}(3) = 6 : \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} 3 & 21 & 2 & 111 & 11 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

**Theorem** (MacMahon, 1897, 1912).

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{pp}(n) x^n = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - x^i)^i}$$

# Plane partitions

**Plane partition** of  $n$ : an array  $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i,j \geq 0}$  of nonnegative integers, weakly decreasing in rows and columns, and summing to  $n$ .

**pp( $n$ )**: number of plane partitions of  $n$

$$\text{pp}(3) = 6 : \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} 3 & 21 & 2 & 111 & 11 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

**Theorem** (MacMahon, 1897, 1912).

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{pp}(n) x^n = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - x^i)^i}$$

Gateway to symmetric functions, RSK,  $P$ -partitions, combinatorial reciprocity, . . . .

## My own three favorites

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n^n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) - 1 \right] \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)$$

$$\Psi \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{(E^2+1)/4}, \text{ where } \Psi E^n = E_n$$

$$\frac{z'}{z} = y^2, z(0) = 1, \text{ where } y(2 - e^{xy}) = 1$$

## My own three favorites

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n^n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) - 1 \right] \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)$$

$$\Psi \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{(E^2+1)/4}, \text{ where } \Psi E^n = E_n$$

$$\frac{z'}{z} = y^2, z(0) = 1, \text{ where } y(2 - e^{xy}) = 1$$

Ehrhart theory, representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , hyperplane arrangements

# Ordered degree sequences

**$G$** : simple (no loops, multiple edges) graph on vertex set  $[n]$

**$\deg(i)$** : degree (number of adjacent vertices) of vertex  $i$

**$d(G)$**  :=  $(\deg(1), \dots, \deg(n))$ , the **ordered degree sequence** of  $G$



# Ordered degree sequences

**$G$** : simple (no loops, multiple edges) graph on vertex set  $[n]$

**$\deg(i)$** : degree (number of adjacent vertices) of vertex  $i$

**$d(G)$**  :=  $(\deg(1), \dots, \deg(n))$ , the **ordered degree sequence** of  $G$

**Note.** Traditionally one looked at  $d(G)_{\text{sorted}}$ , the **degree sequence** of  $G$ . **Unknown:** number of distinct degree sequences of graphs on  $[n]$ .

# Enumerating ordered degree sequences

$f(n)$ : number of distinct ordered degree sequences of graphs on  $[n]$

$f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = 2$ ,  $f(3) = 8$  (all  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  graphs have different ordered degree sequences)

# Enumerating ordered degree sequences

$f(n)$ : number of distinct ordered degree sequences of graphs on  $[n]$

$f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = 2$ ,  $f(3) = 8$  (all  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  graphs have different ordered degree sequences)

$f(4) = 54 < 64$ , e.g., the three complete matchings have ordered degree sequence  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

## A mysterious generating function

**Theorem** (RS, 1991). 
$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n^n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) - 1 \right] \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)$$

## A mysterious generating function

**Theorem** (RS, 1991). 
$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n^n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) - 1 \right] \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)$$

**Corollary** (Kotsovec, 2013).

$$f(n) \sim \frac{\Gamma(3/4) n^{n-\frac{1}{4}}}{2^{3/4} \sqrt{\pi e}}$$

## Intermediate result

Proof follows from **manipulatorics**\* and the following key lemma.

## Intermediate result

Proof follows from **manipulatorics**\* and the following key lemma.

**Lemma.**  $f(n) = \sum_G \max\{1, 2^{c(G)-1}\}$ , where  $G$  ranges over all simple graphs on  $[n]$  such that every connected component has at most one cycle, which is of odd length, and  $c(G)$  is the number of cycles of  $G$ .

## Intermediate result

Proof follows from **manipulatorics**\* and the following key lemma.

**Lemma.**  $f(n) = \sum_G \max\{1, 2^{c(G)-1}\}$ , where  $G$  ranges over all simple graphs on  $[n]$  such that every connected component has at most one cycle, which is of odd length, and  $c(G)$  is the number of cycles of  $G$ .

\* A felicitous term due to **Adriano Garsia**.



## Connection with zonotopes

**Idea of proof.**  $f(n)$  is the number of integer points in the Minkowski sum  $Z_n$  of the line segments between the origin and the vectors  $e_i + e_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ th unit coordinate vector.

## Connection with zonotopes

**Idea of proof.**  $f(n)$  is the number of integer points in the Minkowski sum  $Z_n$  of the line segments between the origin and the vectors  $e_i + e_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ th unit coordinate vector.

$Z_n$  is a **zonotope** (Minkowski sum of line segments). Use a formula for writing the number of integer points as a sum of determinants.  $\square$

## Connection with zonotopes

**Idea of proof.**  $f(n)$  is the number of integer points in the Minkowski sum  $Z_n$  of the line segments between the origin and the vectors  $e_i + e_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ th unit coordinate vector.

$Z_n$  is a **zonotope** (Minkowski sum of line segments). Use a formula for writing the number of integer points as a sum of determinants.  $\square$

Is there a combinatorial proof?

# Alternating fixed-point free involutions

**Theorem (Ramanujan).** As  $x \rightarrow 0+$ ,

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{n(n+1)} \sim 1 + x + x^2 + 2x^3 + 5x^4 + 17x^5 + \dots := \mathbf{F(x)}.$$

# Alternating fixed-point free involutions

**Theorem (Ramanujan).** As  $x \rightarrow 0+$ ,

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{n(n+1)} \sim 1 + x + x^2 + 2x^3 + 5x^4 + 17x^5 + \dots := \mathbf{F(x)}.$$

**Euler number  $E_n$ :**  $\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sec x + \tan x$

**Theorem (B. Berndt, 1998, rephrased).** Let  $E$  be an indeterminate, and let  $\Psi$  be the linear operator defined by  $\Psi(E^n) = E_n$ . Then

$$F(x) = \Psi \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{(E^2+1)/4}.$$

# Alternating fixed-point free involutions

**Theorem (Ramanujan).** As  $x \rightarrow 0+$ ,

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{n(n+1)} \sim 1 + x + x^2 + 2x^3 + 5x^4 + 17x^5 + \dots := \mathbf{F(x)}.$$

**Euler number  $E_n$ :**  $\sum_{n \geq 0} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sec x + \tan x$

**Theorem (B. Berndt, 1998, rephrased).** Let  $E$  be an indeterminate, and let  $\Psi$  be the linear operator defined by  $\Psi(E^n) = E_n$ . Then

$$F(x) = \Psi \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{(E^2+1)/4}.$$

**W. F. Galway, 1999:** let  $F(x) = \sum f(n)x^n$ . Find a combinatorial interpretation of  $f(n)$ .

## Answer to Galway question

**Theorem** (RS, 2007)  $f(n)$  is the number of fixed-point free involutions (i.e.,  $n$  cycles of length two)  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$  that are alternating, i.e.,  $a_1 > a_2 < a_3 > a_4 < \cdots > a_{2n}$ .

## Answer to Galway question

**Theorem** (RS, 2007)  $f(n)$  is the number of fixed-point free involutions (i.e.,  $n$  cycles of length two)  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$  that are alternating, i.e.,  $a_1 > a_2 < a_3 > a_4 < \cdots > a_{2n}$ .

**Proof idea.** There is a character  $\chi$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (due to H. O. Foulkes) such that for all  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,

$$\chi(w) = 0 \text{ or } \pm E_k.$$

Now use known results on combinatorial properties of characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .



## Generalize?

E.g.,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+ax} \right)^{n(n+b)} .$$

# Interval orders

$\mathcal{P} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ : closed intervals of positive length in  $\mathbb{R}$

Define  $I_i < I_j$  if  $I_i$  lies entirely to the left of  $I_j$  (**interval order**)

$$\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$$

$\mathcal{I}_\ell$ : set of **labelled** interval orders with intervals of lengths  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ .

## Unit interval orders

If  $\ell_1 = \dots = \ell_n$ , number of **unlabelled** interval orders is  $C_n$  (Catalan number)

## Unit interval orders

If  $\ell_1 = \dots = \ell_n$ , number of **unlabelled** interval orders is  $C_n$  (Catalan number)

Number  $f(n)$  of **labelled** interval orders given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = C(1 - e^{-x}),$$

where  $C(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$ .

## Generic lengths

Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  be **generic**, e.g., linear independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $c_n = \#\mathcal{I}_\ell$ , the number of labelled interval orders of size  $n$  with generic interval lengths. Set

$$z = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + 2 \frac{x^2}{2!} + 19 \frac{x^3}{3!} + 195 \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots$$

## Generic lengths

Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  be **generic**, e.g., linear independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $c_n = \#\mathcal{I}_\ell$ , the number of labelled interval orders of size  $n$  with generic interval lengths. Set

$$z = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + 2 \frac{x^2}{2!} + 19 \frac{x^3}{3!} + 195 \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots$$

**Theorem** (RS, 1998). Define  $y = 1 + x + 5 \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$  by

$y(2 - e^{xy}) = 1$ . Then  $z$  is the unique power series satisfying

$$\frac{z'}{z} = y^2, z(0) = 1.$$

## Generic lengths

Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  be **generic**, e.g., linear independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $c_n = \#\mathcal{I}_\ell$ , the number of labelled interval orders of size  $n$  with generic interval lengths. Set

$$z = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + 2\frac{x^2}{2!} + 19\frac{x^3}{3!} + 195\frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots$$

**Theorem (RS, 1998).** Define  $y = 1 + x + 5\frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$  by

$y(2 - e^{xy}) = 1$ . Then  $z$  is the unique power series satisfying

$$\frac{z'}{z} = y^2, z(0) = 1.$$

**Idea of proof.** First show that  $c_n$  is the number of regions of a certain hyperplane arrangement. Then use the machinery of hyperplane arrangements.  $\square$

## Open problems on interval orders

- Call two sequences  $\ell, m$  of lengths *equivalent* if they produce sets of isomorphic labelled interval orders. Describe the equivalence classes.



## Open problems on interval orders

- Call two sequences  $\ell, m$  of lengths *equivalent* if they produce sets of isomorphic labelled interval orders. Describe the equivalence classes.
- Can one characterize the interval orders obtained when  $\ell_1 \ll \ell_2 \ll \dots \ll \ell_n$  or when all the  $\ell_i$ 's are almost equal and generic?

# Open problems on interval orders

- Call two sequences  $\ell, m$  of lengths *equivalent* if they produce sets of isomorphic labelled interval orders. Describe the equivalence classes.
- Can one characterize the interval orders obtained when  $\ell_1 \ll \ell_2 \ll \dots \ll \ell_n$  or when all the  $\ell_i$ 's are almost equal and generic?
- What about **unlabelled** interval orders with generic interval lengths? In particular, does the number of them depend only on  $n$ ?

Thanks, merci, danke, tēnā koe, спасибо, 谢谢, ...

Clara Chan  
Atsuko Kida  
Alejandro Morales  
Satomi Okazaki  
Tom Roby  
Lauren Williams

Thanks, merci, danke, tēnā koe, спасибо, 谢谢, ...

Clara Chan  
Atsuko Kida  
Alejandro Morales  
Satomi Okazaki  
Tom Roby  
Lauren Williams

all other organizers and participants!