

## Plane Partitions: Past, Present, and Future<sup>a</sup>

RICHARD P. STANLEY

*Department of Mathematics  
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

It is not our purpose here to give a comprehensive survey of the theory of plane partitions. Such a survey up to 1971 was given in [21], while summaries of more recent work appear in [22] and [23]. We will instead sketch some basic definitions, results, and conjectures that should provide an entry into more detailed work for readers interested in pursuing the subject further.

A *plane partition* is an array  $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$  of nonnegative integers  $\pi_{ij}$  that is weakly decreasing in rows and columns, and for which  $|\pi| := \sum \pi_{ij} < \infty$ . When writing a plane partition the zero entries are usually suppressed. Thus, for instance,

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 5 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & \end{array}$$

is a plane partition  $\pi$  with 3 rows, 8 columns, largest part 5, and with  $|\pi| = 53$ . The study of plane partitions began with MacMahon (who collected his results in this area in [12, secs. IX and X]). MacMahon's principal result is equivalent to the remarkable formula

$$\sum_{\pi} q^{|\pi|} = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^t \frac{1 - q^{i+j+k-1}}{1 - q^{i+j+k-2}}, \tag{1}$$

summed over all plane partitions  $\pi$  with  $\leq r$  rows,  $\leq s$  columns, and largest part  $\leq t$ . In particular, letting  $r, s, t \rightarrow \infty$  yields the beautiful result

$$\sum_{\pi} q^{|\pi|} = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-k},$$

summed over all plane partitions.

MacMahon's proof involved intricate combinatorial arguments together with manipulations of determinants. At present there are three basic techniques (all closely interrelated) for dealing with plane partitions: (a) the Robinson-Schensted correspondence and its variants, (b) the theory of symmetric functions and related results from representation theory, and (c) manipulations of determinants. In particular, (a) can be used to give an elegant combinatorial proof of (1) in the limiting case  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , while either (b) or (c) can be used to prove (1) in its full generality. For the

<sup>a</sup> This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant 8104855-MCS.

basic connections between plane partitions and (a)–(c), see [21]. For a comprehensive treatment of the theory of symmetric functions, with many applications (mostly implicit) to plane partitions, see Macdonald [11]. The connection between plane partitions and determinants is given an elegant development by Gessel and Viennot [6–8]. Some additional references related to enumerating plane partitions in general include [2–5, 10, 17, 19, 20, 24, 26].

A currently very active topic within the theory of plane partitions is that of plane partitions satisfying certain symmetry conditions. This work goes back to a conjecture of MacMahon [12, sec. 520] on symmetric plane partitions (i.e.,  $\pi_{ij} = \pi_{ji}$ ), later proved by Andrews [1] and Gordon [9] using complicated manipulations of determinants, by Macdonald [11, ex. 17, p. 52] using root systems and symmetric functions, and by Proctor [18] using algebraic methods (Seshadri’s standard monomial theory for minuscule representations). This result may be stated as follows:

$$\sum_{\pi} q^{|\pi|} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} \prod_{k=1}^i \frac{1 - q^{(2-\delta_{ij})(i+j+k-1)}}{1 - q^{(2-\delta_{ij})(i+j+k-2)}}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\pi$  ranges over all symmetric plane partitions with  $\leq r$  rows and largest part  $\leq t$ , and where  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker delta.

A total of ten symmetry classes of plane partitions can be defined, and the known results concerning them are summarized in [22]. In particular, seven of the ten classes have simple formulas that enumerate them, while the remaining three have simple conjectured formulas. For instance, it is conjectured that the number of symmetric plane partitions  $\pi$  with largest part  $\leq n$ , such that every row of  $\pi$  is a self-conjugate partition (as defined, e.g., in [11, p. 2]) is equal to

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq n} \frac{i + j + k - 1}{i + j + k - 2}. \tag{3}$$

Compare Equation (3) with the case  $q = 1$  of (1) and (2), namely,

$$A(r, s, t) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^t \frac{i + j + k - 1}{i + j + k - 2}$$

$$B(r, t) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} \prod_{k=1}^t \frac{i + j + k - 1}{i + j + k - 2},$$

where  $A(r, s, t)$  [respectively,  $B(r, t)$ ] is the number of plane partitions (respectively, symmetric plane partitions) with  $\leq r$  rows,  $\leq s$  columns, and largest part  $\leq t$  (respectively,  $\leq r$  rows and largest part  $\leq t$ ). The correct “ $q$ -analog” of (3) is not so obvious and is given in [22] or [23]. For other recent work related to symmetries of plane partitions, see [13, 15–17].

There is a close connection between plane partitions and certain triangular arrays known as *Gelfand patterns* (see [23]). A Gelfand pattern is an array

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ & & & \vdots & \\ & & & & a_{nn} \end{array}$$

of nonnegative integers satisfying  $a_{ij} \leq a_{i,j+1}$  and  $a_{i-1,j-1} \leq a_{ij} \leq a_{i-1,j}$ . If we require the stronger condition  $a_{ij} < a_{i,j+1}$ , then we obtain a *strict Gelfand pattern*. A strict Gelfand pattern with first row  $1, 2, \dots, n$  is a *monotone triangle* of length  $n$ , introduced by Mills *et al.* [14]. There is a simple bijection [14, pp. 354–355] between monotone triangles of length  $n$  and  $n \times n$  *alternating sign* matrices, that is,  $n \times n$  matrices whose entries are  $0, \pm 1$ , whose row and column sums all equal 1, and whose nonzero entries in every row and column alternate in sign. The seven monotone triangles of length 3 are

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 123 & 123 & 123 & 123 & 123 & 123 & 123 \\ 12 & 12 & 13 & 13 & 13 & 23 & 23 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

The seven  $3 \times 3$  alternating sign matrices consist of the six  $3 \times 3$  permutation matrices, together with

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There are now a plethora of closely interrelated conjectures, due mostly to Mills–Robbins–Rumsey, concerning the enumeration of monotone triangles and related objects. These conjectures are summarized in [23]. Let us mention a few of these conjectures.

If  $T$  is an alternating sign matrix, then let  $s(T)$  denote its number of  $-1$ s. Define  $A_n(x) = \sum_T x^{s(T)}$ , where  $T$  ranges over all  $n \times n$  alternating sign matrices. For instance,  $A_3(x) = 6 + x$ .

THEOREM (easy):  $A_n(0) = n!$ .

CONJECTURE:  $A_n(1) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(3k+1)!}{(n+k)!}$ .

THEOREM:  $A_n(2) = 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ .

CONJECTURE:  $A_n(3) = 3^{t(n)} C_n$ , where

$$t(n) = \begin{cases} m(m-1), & n = 2m \\ m^2, & n = 2m+1, \end{cases}$$

and where  $C_n$  is determined by the recurrence

$$C_0 = 1, \quad \frac{C_{2n+1}}{C_{2n}} = \frac{\binom{3n}{n}}{\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad \frac{C_{2n}}{C_{2n-1}} = \frac{4 \binom{3n}{n}}{3 \binom{2n}{n}}.$$

CONJECTURE: The number  $C_n$  is equal to the number of  $n \times n$  alternating sign matrices that are invariant under a  $180^\circ$  rotation.

CONJECTURE: There exist polynomials  $B_n(x)$  for which

$$A_n(x) = \begin{cases} B_n(x)B_{n+1}(x), & n \text{ odd} \\ 2B_n(x)B_{n+1}(x), & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $B_{2n+1}(x) = \sum_T x^{\sigma(T)}$ , summed over all  $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$  alternating sign matrices  $T$  that are invariant under a reflection in a vertical axis through the center of  $T$ , and where  $T$  has  $2\sigma(T) + n$  entries equal to  $-1$ .

For a recent result related to the enumeration of strict Gelfand patterns, see [25].

#### REFERENCES

1. ANDREWS, G. E. 1978. Plane partitions (*I*): The MacMahon conjecture. *Adv. Math., Suppl. Studies* 1: 131–150.
2. DESAINTE-CATHERINE, M. & G. VIENNOT. 1986. Enumeration of certain Young tableaux with bounded height. In *Combinatoire Énumérative. Lecture Notes in Math No. 1234*. G. Labelle and P. Leroux, Eds.: 58–67. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.
3. GANSNER, E. R. 1981. Matrix correspondences of plane partitions. *Pac. J. Math.* 92: 295–315.
4. GANSNER, E. R. 1981. The enumeration of plane partitions via the Burge correspondence. *Ill. J. Math.* 25: 533–554.
5. GANSNER, E. R. 1981. The Hillman-Grassl correspondence and the enumeration of reverse plane partitions. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 30: 71–89.
6. GESSEL, I. & G. VIENNOT. 1985. Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae. *Adv. Math.* 58: 300–321.
7. GESSEL, I. & G. VIENNOT. Combinatorial interpretation of determinants with weighted paths. In preparation.
8. GESSEL, I. & G. VIENNOT. Determinants, paths, Young tableaux, and plane partitions. In preparation.
9. GORDON, B. 1983. A proof of the Bender-Knuth conjecture. *Pac. J. Math.* 108: 99–113.
10. HILLMAN, A. P. & R. M. GRASSL. 1976. Reverse plane partitions and tableaux hook numbers. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 21: 216–221.
11. MACDONALD, I. G. 1979. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Oxford Univ. Press, London.
12. MACMAHON, P. A. 1916. *Combinatory Analysis*, Vols. I, II. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England. (Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960.)
13. MILLS, W. H., D. P. ROBBINS & H. RUMSEY, JR. 1982. Proof of the Macdonald conjecture. *Invent. Math.* 66: 73–87.
14. MILLS, W. H., D. P. ROBBINS & H. RUMSEY, JR. 1983. Alternating sign matrices and descending plane partitions. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 34: 340–359.
15. MILLS, W. H., D. P. ROBBINS & H. RUMSEY, JR. 1986. Self-complementary totally symmetric plane partitions. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 42: 277–292.
16. MILLS, W. H., D. P. ROBBINS & H. RUMSEY, JR. Enumeration of a symmetry class of plane partitions. In press.
17. OKADA, S. On the generating functions for certain classes of plane partitions. Preprint.
18. PROCTOR, R. A. 1984. Bruhat lattices, plane partition generating functions, and minuscule representations. *Eur. J. Comb.* 5: 313–321.
19. PROCTOR, R. A. 1983. Shifted plane partitions of trapezoidal shape. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 89: 553–559.
20. SCHÜTZENBERGER, M. P. 1977. La correspondance de Robinson. In *Combinatoire et Représentation du Groupe Symétrique. Lecture Notes in Math No. 579*. D. Foata, Ed.: 59–113. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.

21. STANLEY, R. P. 1971. Theory and application of plane partitions, Parts I and II. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **50**: 167–188, 259–279.
22. STANLEY, R. P. 1986. Symmetries of plane partitions. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **43**: 103–113. Erratum. 1987. **44**: 310.
23. STANLEY, R. P. 1986. A baker's dozen of conjectures concerning plane partitions. *In* *Combinatoire Énumérative. Lecture Notes in Math No. 1234*. G. Labelle and P. Leroux, Eds.: 285–293. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.
24. STEMBRIDGE, J. R. 1986. Trapezoidal chains and antichains. *Eur. J. Comb.* **7**: 377–387.
25. TOKUYAMA, T. A generating function for strict Gelfand patterns and representation theory of general linear groups. Preprint.
26. WORLEY, D. R. 1984. A theory of shifted Young tableaux. Ph.D. thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge.