

Theory and Application of Plane Partitions: Part 1

By Richard P. Stanley

I. Introduction

1. Definitions

II. Symmetric Functions

2. The four basic symmetric functions
3. Relations among the symmetric functions
4. An inner product

III. Schur Functions

5. The combinatorial definition
6. The correspondence of Knuth
7. Kosta's theorem and the orthonormality of the Schur functions
8. Further properties of Knuth's correspondence
9. The dual correspondence
10. The classical definition of the Schur functions
11. The Jacobi-Trudi identity
12. Skew plane partitions and the multiplication of Schur functions
13. Frobenius' formula for the characters of the symmetric group

IV. Enumeration of Column-Strict Plane Partitions

14. Part restrictions
15. Shape restrictions, hook lengths, and contents
16. Row and column restrictions
17. Young tableaux, ballot problems, and Schensted's theorem

V. Enumeration of Ordinary Plane Partitions

18. Row, column, and part bounds
19. The conjugate trace and trace of a plane partition
20. Asymptotics

VI. Conclusion

21. Open problems

* The research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research AF 44620-70-C-0079.

I. Introduction

1. Definitions

A partition λ of a non-negative integer n can be regarded as a decreasing sequence of positive integers,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0 \tag{1}$$

satisfying $\sum \lambda_i = n$. We say that λ has r parts. Because of the linear nature of the array (1), we also refer to λ as a linear partition of n . Similarly a partition of n into distinct parts may be regarded as a strictly decreasing array of positive integers,

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0, \tag{2}$$

satisfying $\sum \lambda_i = n$. Such a partition is called a strict partition of n .

We denote partitions in three ways:

- (i) $\lambda \vdash n$ signifies that λ is a partition of n (a notation due to Philip Hall [35]);
- (ii) $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ signifies that the parts of λ are $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$,
- (iii) $\lambda = \langle 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots \rangle$ signifies that exactly r_i parts of λ are equal to i .

It is natural to extend these concepts to more general arrays of integers. A general theory along these lines has been developed by Stanley [59], but we will be concerned here with the special case known as plane partitions. The theory of plane partitions forms one of the most beautiful branches of combinatorial theory, with applications to such diverse topics as ballot problems, symmetric functions, and the representation theory of the symmetric group. This paper is devoted to giving a survey, not intended to be completely comprehensive, of the theory of plane partitions, including a selection of proofs large enough to impart the flavor of the subject.

A plane partition π of n is an array of non-negative integers,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & \dots \\ n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{array} \tag{3}$$

for which $\sum n_{ij} = n$ and the rows and columns are in decreasing order:

$$n_{ij} \geq n_{(i+1)j}, \quad n_{ij} \geq n_{i(j+1)}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 1.$$

The non-zero entries $n_{ij} > 0$ are called the parts of π . If there are λ_i parts in the i th row of π , so that for some r ,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > \lambda_{r+1} = 0,$$

then we call the partition $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$ of the integer $p = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_r$ the shape of π , denoted by λ . We also say that π has r rows and p parts. Similarly if λ'_i is the number of parts in the i th column of π , then for some c ,

$$\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_c > \lambda'_{c+1} = 0.$$

The partition $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_c$ of p is the conjugate partition to λ [6, Ch. 19.2], denoted λ' , and we say that π has c columns.

If the non-zero entries of π are strictly decreasing in each row, we say that π is *row-strict*, *Column-strict* is similarly defined. If π is both row-strict and column-strict, we say that π is *row and column-strict*.

II. Symmetric functions

2. The four basic symmetric functions

The wide variety of results known about plane partitions can be unified greatly by appealing to the theory of symmetric functions. We use a method involving elementary linear algebra, due to Philip Hall [35]. Let A_n denote the set of all homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n in the infinitely many indeterminates x_1, x_2, \dots , with coefficients in the field Q of rational numbers. We regard elements of A_n merely as formal expressions. A_n has the structure of a vector space over Q . We can also make the A_n 's into a *graded algebra*,

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots,$$

by defining multiplication to be ordinary power series multiplication. We are interested in studying various bases for the vector space A_n .

If $\lambda \vdash n$, define

$$k_\lambda = \sum x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots, \quad (4)$$

where the summation sign indicates that we are to form all *distinct* monomials in the x_i 's with exponents $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ (in some order). The k_λ 's are known as the *monomial symmetric functions*. It is easily seen that the k_λ 's form a basis for A_n as λ runs over all partitions of n . Thus A_n has dimension $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n . For an introduction to the function $p(n)$, see Hardy and Wright [6, Ch. 19].

If we wish to specialize certain values of x_i , we indicate this by notation such as

$$\begin{aligned} k_{1,1}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 \\ k_n(x, x^2, x^3, \dots) &= x^n + x^{2n} + x^{3n} + \dots = x^n / (1 - x^n) \end{aligned}$$

(here k_n denotes k_λ where $\lambda = (n, 0, 0, \dots) = \langle n^1 \rangle$). We also use x and y to denote the vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots) and (y_1, y_2, \dots) , so $k_\lambda(x) = \sum x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots$ and $k_\lambda(y) = \sum y_1^{\lambda_1} y_2^{\lambda_2} \dots$. The x_i 's and y_i 's are to be regarded as independent indeterminates.

Any basis which can be obtained from k_λ via a matrix with integral coefficients and determinant ± 1 is called an *integral basis*. We now consider two important integral bases. Define

$$\begin{aligned} h_n &= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} k_\lambda, \\ h_\lambda &= h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The h_n 's are the *complete homogeneous symmetric functions*. Also define

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= k_{\langle 1^n \rangle} = \sum x_1 x_2 \dots x_n, \\ a_\lambda &= a_{\lambda_1} a_{\lambda_2} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The a_n 's are the *elementary symmetric functions*. It is easily seen that h_λ and a_λ are bases for A_n . Because of (5) and (6), we say that the bases h_λ and a_λ are *multiplicative*. The fact that a_λ is a basis for A_n is equivalent to the basic theorem that every

symmetric function can be uniquely expressed as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions. A simple induction argument shows that we can express the k_λ 's as integral linear combinations of the h_λ 's or a_λ 's, so h_λ and a_λ are integral bases.

In analogy to a_λ we define a fourth basis s_λ by

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= k_n = \sum x_1^n \\ s_\lambda &= s_{\lambda_1} s_{\lambda_2} \dots \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The s_n 's are the *power sum symmetric functions*. Although s_λ is a multiplicative basis, it is not integral, e.g., $k_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}(s_1^2 - s_2)$. Soon we will determine the determinant of the transformation $k_\lambda \rightarrow s_\lambda$.

3. Relations among the symmetric functions

Some basic relations among the symmetric functions can be expressed in terms of linear transformations among the various bases. Define linear transformations (or matrices) ϕ and θ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: k_\lambda &\rightarrow h_\lambda \\ \theta: a_\lambda &\rightarrow h_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note that since a_λ and h_λ are multiplicative, θ preserves multiplication and is therefore an automorphism of the algebra A .

The basic properties of ϕ and θ are:

- 3.1. PROPOSITION. ϕ is symmetric.
- 3.2. PROPOSITION. $\theta^2 = 1$.
- 3.3. PROPOSITION. The s_λ 's are eigenvectors for θ ; indeed,

$$\theta s_\lambda = (-1)^{n-r} s_\lambda, \quad \text{if } \lambda \vdash n, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r).$$

The key to proving these relations lies in observing that we have the generating functions

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i t)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n \tag{9}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n. \tag{10}$$

It follows from (9) that

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m(y) x_i^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} k_\lambda(x) h_\lambda(y),$$

which we abbreviate to

$$\prod (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} k_\lambda(x) h_\lambda(y). \tag{11}$$

Now suppose

$$h_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\lambda\mu} k_\mu(y), \quad k_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\nu} \psi_{\lambda\nu} h_\nu(x),$$

where $\psi = \phi^{-1}$. Then from (11) we get

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\sum_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda\mu} \psi_{\lambda\nu} \right) k_{\mu}(y) h_{\nu}(x). \tag{12}$$

Since the left-hand side of (11) is symmetric in the x_i 's and y_j 's, we also have

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} k_{\lambda}(y) h_{\lambda}(x). \tag{13}$$

Since the distinct products $k_{\mu}(y)h_{\nu}(x)$ are linearly independent, we see from comparing (12) and (13) that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda\mu} \psi_{\lambda\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\delta = \text{Kronecker delta}).$$

Since $\sum_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu\lambda} \psi_{\lambda\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, we have $\phi_{\lambda\mu} = \phi_{\mu\lambda}$, so ϕ is symmetric.

Similarly we prove $\theta^2 = 1$ using the relation

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (-t)^n \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n \right] = 1. \tag{14}$$

The details are omitted. The identity arising from (14), viz.,

$$\sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r a_r h_{n-r} = \delta_{0n},$$

is thus equivalent to $\theta^2 = 1$.

To prove Proposition 3.3, we need to find a generating function for the s_n 's. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n &= \log \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i t)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - x_i t)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} x_i^m t^m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} s_m t^m. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Similarly,

$$\log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} s_m (-t)^m. \tag{16}$$

Applying θ to (15) and comparing with (16), we see that $\theta s_n = (-1)^n s_n$, so Proposition 3.3 follows from the multiplicative properties of θ and s_{λ} . \square

4. An inner product

We now impose additional structure on the vector space A_n by defining an inner product. Any linear transformation $\omega: A_n \rightarrow A_n$ defines an inner product by the rule

$$(k_{\lambda}, \omega k_{\mu}) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \tag{17}$$

This inner product will be non-degenerate if ω is non-singular; symmetric if ω is symmetric; positive definite if ω is positive definite, etc. We take $\omega = \phi$, so we now have an inner product on A_n given by

$$(k_\lambda, h_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \tag{18}$$

It follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$(f, g) = (g, f), \tag{19}$$

for all $f, g \in A_n$.

Recall that two bases b_λ and c_λ are dual if

$$(b_\lambda, c_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. Thus h_λ and k_λ are dual. A basis b_λ is self-dual (or orthonormal) if

$$(b_\lambda, b_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$.

4.1. LEMMA. *The bases b_λ, c_λ are dual if and only if*

$$\sum_\lambda b_\lambda(x)c_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^\infty (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1}.$$

Proof: Define linear transformations ω and ξ by $\omega: b_\lambda \rightarrow h_\lambda, \xi: c_\lambda \rightarrow k_\lambda$. The statement that b_λ and c_λ are dual is equivalent to $\omega\xi^* = 1$ (* denotes transpose). Equivalently, $\omega^*\xi = 1$, or

$$\sum_\lambda \omega_{\lambda\nu} \xi_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\nu\mu}. \tag{20}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \prod (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} &= \sum_\lambda h_\lambda(x)k_\lambda(y) \\ &= \sum_\lambda \left(\sum_\nu \omega_{\lambda\nu} b_\nu(x) \right) \left(\sum_\mu \xi_{\lambda\mu} c_\mu(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\sum_\lambda \omega_{\lambda\nu} \xi_{\lambda\mu} \right) b_\nu(x)c_\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions $b_\nu(x)c_\mu(y)$ are all linearly independent, the proof follows from (20). \square

4.2. PROPOSITION. The s_λ 's are an orthogonal basis for A_n . Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_\lambda, s_\mu) &= 0, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu, \\ (s_\lambda, s_\lambda) &= 1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \langle 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots \rangle$.

Remark: We denote the number $n!/(s_\lambda, s_\lambda)$ by c^λ (the usual notation is h_λ , which has obvious disadvantages here). The number c^λ is equal to the number of elements in the symmetric group S_n of degree n in the conjugacy class corresponding to the partition λ [8, 5.2; 1]. Clearly then $\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c^\lambda = n!$

Proof: In the same way that (15) was derived, we get

$$\log \Pi(1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} s_m(x) s_m(y). \tag{21}$$

It is well-known (see Riordan [11, p. 68]) that

$$\exp\left(t_1 + \frac{t_2}{2} + \frac{t_3}{3} + \dots\right) = \sum_{\lambda} (t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2} t_3^{r_3} \dots) / 1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots$$

where $\lambda = \langle 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} 3^{r_3} \dots \rangle$. Since the $s_{\lambda}(x)$'s and $s_{\lambda}(y)$'s are multiplicative, we get from (21),

$$\Pi(1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y) / 1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the basis $s_{\lambda} / \sqrt{1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots}$ is self-dual, and the proof follows. \square

Since the bases h_{λ}, k_{λ} are dual and s_{λ} is orthogonal, it follows that the determinant of the transformation $k_{\lambda} \rightarrow s_{\lambda}$ is given by $\prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (s_{\lambda}, s_{\lambda})^{1/2} = \prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots)^{1/2}$. It is not difficult to see that this product is equal to either of $\prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (r_1! r_2! \dots)$ or $\prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots)$. This last product is simply the product of all the parts of all the partitions of n . (One can also evaluate this determinant by showing that with a suitable ordering of the λ 's, the matrix defined by $k_{\lambda} \rightarrow s_{\lambda}$ is in triangular form with the terms $r_1! r_2! \dots$ on the main diagonal.)

Since there exists an orthogonal basis for A_n (over the field Q), viz., s_{λ} , such that $(s_{\lambda}, s_{\lambda}) > 0$, we immediately have:

4.3 COROLLARY. ϕ is positive definite, i.e., $(f, f) \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $f = 0$, for all $f \in A_n$.

Indeed, if $f = \sum \alpha_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}$, then $(f, f) = \sum \alpha_{\lambda}^2 (1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots)$.

4.4 COROLLARY. θ is an isometry, i.e., $(f, g) = (\theta f, \theta g)$.

Proof: s_{λ} is an orthogonal basis, and $\theta s_{\lambda} = \pm s_{\lambda}$ by Proposition 3.3. \square

III. Schur functions

5. The combinatorial definition

We now consider a fifth basis e_{λ} (also denoted $\{\lambda\}$) for the space A_n . The functions e_{λ} are known as the *Schur functions* (or *S-functions*) and have many remarkable properties. The term "Schur function" is due to Littlewood-Richardson [44], who give in this paper a systematic account of their properties. Littlewood and Richardson named them in honor of the pioneering work of Schur's doctoral dissertation [56].

We will give six basic expressions for the Schur functions, viz., the classical definition in terms of a generalized Vandermonde determinant, the expansion of e_{λ} in terms of the four bases $k_{\lambda}, h_{\lambda}, a_{\lambda}, s_{\lambda}$, and a characterization in terms of the inner product (18). These six expressions will tie together the theory of symmetric functions, plane partitions, and the representation theory of the symmetric group. (For some further combinatorial ramifications of Schur functions, see Read [52]). It is interesting to realize that the Schur functions were considered (under a different terminology, e.g., *bialternants*) long before the theory of plane partitions

or group representation theory was born. The classical results on Schur functions can be found in Muir [10], in the chapters on “Alternants”.

In order to keep sight of the theory of plane partitions, we will adopt as our basic definition of Schur functions one involving plane partitions. If π is a plane partition, define

$$M(\pi) = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots, \tag{22}$$

where a_i parts of π are equal to i . Thus $M(\pi)$ is a monomial whose degree is equal to the number of parts of π .

5.1. DEFINITION. Let λ be a partition of n . Define the *Schur function* associated with λ , denoted e_λ or $\{\lambda\}$, to be the formal expression

$$e_\lambda = \sum_{\pi} M(\pi),$$

where the sum is over all column-strict plane partitions π of shape λ .

Thus e_λ is a homogeneous function of degree n in the x_i 's. Our next object is to prove the remarkable fact that the e_λ 's are symmetric functions.

6. The correspondence of Knuth

Our goal in this section is to prove that the e_λ 's are symmetric functions. This fact follows easily from a combinatorial construction due to Knuth [39] (Knuth also discusses this construction in [7, § 5.2.4]) which generalizes a construction due to Robinson [53, no. 1, § 5] (given in a rather vague form) and Schensted [55]. Knuth's theorem is the following.

6.1. THEOREM. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence, denoted $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, between matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers ($i, j \geq 1$) with finitely many non-zero entries, and ordered pairs (π, σ) of column-strict plane partitions of the same shape. In this correspondence,*

$$i \text{ occurs in } \pi \text{ exactly } \sum_j a_{ij} \text{ times}$$

$$j \text{ occurs in } \sigma \text{ exactly } \sum_i a_{ij} \text{ times.}$$

Proof: We will describe the correspondence $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, leaving the reader to verify the desired properties (the most crucial being invertibility). Complete details are given by Knuth [39].

Regard A as a “generalized permutation”

$$\begin{matrix} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \dots & i_m \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_3 & \dots & j_m \end{matrix} \tag{23}$$

where (i) $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_m$, (ii) if $i_r = i_s$ and $r \leq s$, then $j_r \geq j_s$, and (iii) for each pair (i, j) , there are exactly a_{ij} values of r for which $(i_r, j_r) = (i, j)$. It is easily seen that each matrix A determines a unique such array (23), and conversely.

We now build up σ out of the i_r 's and π out of the j_r 's inductively as follows. Define σ_1 to be the one element array i_1 and π_1 to be the array j_1 . Suppose now σ_r and π_r are defined. These will be column-strict plane partitions of the same shape whose parts consist of i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r and j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r , respectively. We now “insert” j_{r+1} into π_r by the following procedure. We put j_{r+1} in the first row of π_r

in the space immediately following the right-most occurrence of an element $\geq j_{r+1}$. (If there is no such element put j_{r+1} at the beginning of the row.) If some element k already occupies this space, then k is "bumped" down to the second row, where it is inserted in the same manner as j_{r+1} , possibly bumping another element to the third row. This bumping process is continued until some element is finally inserted at the end of a row without replacing another element. This gives the array π_{r+1} . To obtain σ_{r+1} , insert i_{r+1} into σ_r , so that the array obtained has the same shape as π_{r+1} .

This process is continued until the array (23) is exhausted, resulting in $\pi = \pi_m$, $\sigma = \sigma_m$. This is the desired correspondence. \square

Example: Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The array (23) is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1. \end{array}$$

The plane partitions $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_6 = \pi$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6 = \sigma$ are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| $\frac{\pi_i}{1}$ | $\frac{\sigma_i}{3}$ |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 2 | 3 2 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 2 | 3 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 3 3 | 3 2 |
| 2 2 | 2 1 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 3 3 1 | 3 2 1 |
| 2 2 | 2 1 |
| 1 | 1 |

6.2. THEOREM (Littlewood [8, p. 191]). e_λ is a symmetric function.

Proof: (Bender and Knuth [18]). Let (μ_1, μ_2, \dots) be a fixed vector of non-negative integers, with finitely many non-zero entries. Consider the generating function $\sum x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \dots$, where the sum is over all matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers with row sums $\sum_i a_{ij} = \mu_j$, and where v_1, v_2, \dots are the column sums $\sum_i a_{ji} = v_j$. It is easily seen that this generating function is given by

$$\sum x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \dots = h_{\mu_1} h_{\mu_2} \dots = h_\mu, \tag{24}$$

where μ is the partition with parts μ_i .

By Theorem 6.1 and (24), we also have $h_\mu = \sum x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} \dots$, where the sum now is over all ordered pairs (π, σ) of column-strict plane partitions of the same shape such that π contains μ_i parts equal to i and σ contains v_i parts equal to i . Hence if we define $K_{\lambda\mu}$ to be the number of column-strict plane partitions of shape λ and μ_i parts equal to i , there follows

$$h_\mu = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu} e_\lambda. \tag{25}$$

Since the h_μ 's are linearly independent, the matrix $(K_{\lambda\mu})$, where $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$, can be inverted to express e_λ in terms of the h_λ 's, so e_λ is a symmetric function. \square

7. *Kosta's theorem and the orthonormality of the Schur functions*

We have seen in (25) that

$$h_\mu = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu} e_\lambda, \tag{26}$$

where $K_{\lambda\mu}$ is the number of column-strict plane partitions of shape λ and any fixed set of parts occurring with multiplicities μ_1, μ_2, \dots . On the other hand, it follows from Definition 5.1 (once it is known that e_λ is symmetric) that

$$e_\lambda = \sum_\mu K_{\lambda\mu} k_\mu. \tag{27}$$

The appearance of the same coefficients $K_{\lambda\mu}$ in (26) and (27) is *Kosta's theorem* [41] (see also Muir [10, 4, pp. 145–146], Littlewood [8, 6.4; 6]). In [41] Kosta constructs tables of the coefficients $K_{\lambda\mu}$ and of the inverse matrix $H_{\lambda\mu}$. He extends this table in [42], where he also gives a more unified account of his work. The following restatement of Kosta's theorem is due to Philip Hall [35].

7.1. THEOREM. *For $\lambda \vdash n$, the e_λ 's form an orthonormal integral basis for A_n .*

Proof: (26) expresses the integral basis h_μ as an integral combination of e_λ 's, while (27) shows that e_λ is an integral combination of the integral basis k_μ . Hence e_λ is an integral basis. Moreover (26) and (27) state that the linear transformations $h_\lambda \rightarrow e_\lambda$ and $k_\lambda \rightarrow e_\lambda$ are inverse transposes of one another, which is precisely the condition for orthonormality of the e_λ 's. \square

Philip Hall [35] points out that Theorem 7.1 characterizes the Schur functions up to sign and order, since any two orthonormal integral bases for A_n can be transformed into one another by an integral orthogonal matrix, which must therefore be a signed permutation matrix. From the standpoint of linear algebra, there exists an integral orthonormal basis for A_n if and only if there exists an integral matrix ω such that

$$\omega\phi\omega^* = 1.$$

We then say that ϕ is *integrally equivalent* (or *Z-equivalent*) to the identity. It is an important unsolved problem to determine in general which integral matrices are integrally equivalent to the identity. [3, § 73].

Note that unlike the orthogonal basis s_λ , the basis e_λ is *not* multiplicative. In fact, Farahat [27] has shown that each e_λ is *irreducible*.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1 we have:

7.2. COROLLARY. (Littlewood [8, p. 103], Knuth [39]). *We have*

$$\sum_\lambda e_\lambda(x)e_\lambda(y) = \prod(1 - x_i y_i)^{-1}. \quad \square$$

This corollary can also be proved directly from Knuth's theorem (Theorem 6.1), as follows. By Theorem 6.1,

$$\sum_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}(x)e_{\lambda}(y) = \sum_A \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (x_i y_j)^{a_{ij}} \tag{28}$$

where the sum is over all matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers, with finitely many non-zero entries. But the right-hand side of (28) is equal to

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_{ij}=0}^{\infty} (x_i y_j)^{a_{ij}} = \prod (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1}.$$

8. Further properties of Knuth's correspondence

We will discuss some further properties of Knuth's correspondence $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, some of which lead to interesting identities involving Schur functions and are of great importance to the enumeration of plane partitions. In general, the proofs will be omitted, but references to them will be given.

8.1. PROPOSITION. (Knuth [39]). If $A \xrightarrow{K} (\sigma, \pi)$, then $A^* \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, where A^* denotes the transpose of A . \square

8.2. COROLLARY. (Knuth [39]). There exists a one-to-one correspondence between symmetric matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers ($i, j \geq 1$) with finitely many non-zero entries, and column-strict plane partitions π . In this correspondence, i occurs in π exactly $\sum_j a_{ij}$ times.

Proof: If A is symmetric and $A \xrightarrow{K} (\sigma, \pi)$, then by Proposition 8.1, $\sigma = \pi$. Thus $A \rightarrow \pi$ achieves the desired correspondence. \square

The next corollary was first obtained by Littlewood [8, p. 238] by group-theoretic means (the essence of his proof actually appears on pp. 92-94), while the combinatorial method we are pursuing is due to Bender and Knuth [18].

8.3. COROLLARY.

$$\sum_{\lambda} e_{\lambda} = \prod (1 - x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1}.$$

Proof: By Corollary 8.2,

$$\sum_{\lambda} e_{\lambda} = \sum_A \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} x_i^{a_{ij}}, \tag{29}$$

where the sum is over all symmetric matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ of non-negative integers with finitely many non-zero entries. But the right-hand side of (29) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A \left(\prod_{i=j} x_i^{a_{ij}} \right) \left(\prod_{i < j} x_i^{a_{ij}} x_j^{a_{ji}} \right) &= \sum_A \left(\prod_i x_i^{a_{ii}} \right) \left(\prod_{i < j} (x_i x_j)^{a_{ij}} \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_i \sum_{a_{ii}=0}^{\infty} x_i^{a_{ii}} \right) \left(\prod_{i < j} \sum_{a_{ij}=0}^{\infty} (x_i x_j)^{a_{ij}} \right) \\ &= \prod_i (1 - x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

8.4. PROPOSITION. (Schutzenberger [57], Knuth [39]). If A is symmetric and $A \xrightarrow{K} (\sigma, \sigma)$, then the number of columns of σ of odd length is equal to the trace of A . \square

As a corollary, we can modify the proof of Corollary 8.3 by summing over symmetric matrices A of trace 0 to obtain the expansion

$$\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu} = \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1}, \tag{30}$$

where μ ranges over all partitions whose shape has no columns of odd length. The expansion (30) was first obtained by Littlewood [8, p. 238]. He also obtains the conjugate result

$$\sum_{\nu} e_{\nu} = \prod_i (1 - x_i^2)^{-1} \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)^{-1}, \tag{31}$$

where ν ranges over all partitions whose shape has no rows of odd length. It would be interesting to relate (31) to some property of Knuth's correspondence.

The next proposition gives an interpretation for the number of rows and number of columns of π (or σ) if $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, in terms of the "generalized permutation" (23) to which A corresponds. Some applications of this result will be given in Section 17.

8.5. PROPOSITION. (Schensted [55], Knuth [39]). If $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$ and A corresponds to the "generalized permutation" (23), then the number of rows of π (or σ) is equal to the length of the longest strictly increasing subsequence of the sequence j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m , while the number of columns of π (or σ) is equal to the length of the longest decreasing (not necessarily strictly) subsequence of j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m . \square

For example, in the example following Theorem 6.1 the sequence j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m is given by 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 1. The longest strictly increasing subsequence is 1, 2, 3, so π has three rows. The longest decreasing subsequence is 2, 2, 1 or 3, 3, 1, so π has three columns.

9. The dual correspondence

By modifying the "bumping process" of Knuth's correspondence $A \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$, we obtain another correspondence, called by Knuth the "dual correspondence," which has important applications to Schur functions and plane partitions.

9.1. THEOREM (Knuth [39]). *There exists a one-to-one correspondence, denoted $A \xrightarrow{K^*} (\pi, \sigma)$, between 0-1 matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ ($i, j \geq 1$) with finitely many 1's, and ordered pairs (π, σ) of column-strict plane partitions of conjugate shape. In this correspondence,*

$$i \text{ occurs in } \pi \text{ exactly } \sum_j a_{ij} \text{ times}$$

$$j \text{ occurs in } \sigma \text{ exactly } \sum_i a_{ij} \text{ times.}$$

Proof: The correspondence K^* is defined identically to K , except that rather than inserting an element j in the space immediately following the right-most occurrence of an element $\geq j$, we insert j following the right-most occurrence of an element $> j$. (If there is no such element, put j at the beginning of the row.) At the end we have a pair (π^*, σ) of plane partitions of the same shape such that π^* is row-strict and σ is column-strict, so we take π to be the transpose of π^* . \square

Example: Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The array (23) is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array}$$

The plane partitions $\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_5^* = \pi^*$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_5 = \sigma$ are as follows:

| π_i^* | σ_i |
|--------------|--------------|
| 3 | 3 |
| 3 1 | 3 3 |
| 3 1 3 | 3 3 2 |
| 3 2 3 1 | 3 3 2 1 |
| 3 2 1 3 1 | 3 3 1 2 1 |

so π is

$$\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \end{array}$$

In exactly the same way that Corollary 7.2 was derived from (28), we obtain:

9.2. COROLLARY. (Littlewood [8, p. 103], Knuth [39]). *We have*

$$\sum_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}(x)e_{\lambda'}(y) = \prod (1 + x_i y_j). \quad \square$$

From Corollary 9.2 we can deduce the effect of the linear transformation θ on the e_{λ} 's. Note that since e_{λ} is an orthonormal basis and θ an isometry, the basis θe_{λ} must also be orthonormal. The next result shows in fact that θ merely permutes the e_{λ} 's.

9.3. COROLLARY. (P. Hall [35]) $\theta e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda'}$.

Proof: Regard θ as acting on symmetric functions in the variables y_i only, so symmetric functions in the variables x_i are left invariant by θ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma e_{\lambda}(x)(\theta e_{\lambda'}(y)) &= \theta \Sigma e_{\lambda}(x)e_{\lambda'}(y) \\ &= \theta \Sigma k_{\lambda}(x)h_{\lambda'}(y), && \text{by Lemma 4.1} \\ &= \Sigma k_{\lambda}(x)(\theta h_{\lambda'}(y)) \\ &= \Sigma k_{\lambda}(x)a_{\lambda'}(y) \\ &= \Sigma e_{\lambda}(x)e_{\lambda'}(y), && \text{by Corollary 9.2.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta e_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda'}$. \square

Although we have attributed the above corollary to Philip Hall, he actually only restated a classical result, due to Naegelbasch [47] and Kosta [40], that if $e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} H_{\lambda\mu} h_\mu$, then $e_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\mu} H_{\lambda\mu} a_\mu$ (see also Muir [10, 3, pp. 144–148, 154–156]). Naegelbasch and Kosta prove this result using the classical definition of the Schur functions, to be discussed in the next section. A method for computing the matrix $H_{\lambda\mu}$ (the inverse matrix to $K_{\lambda\mu}$), called the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*, will be given in Section 11.

In view of Proposition 8.1, which states that $A^* \xrightarrow{K} (\pi, \sigma)$ if $A \xrightarrow{K} (\sigma, \pi)$, it is natural to consider the effect of transposing A on the correspondence $A \xrightarrow{K^*} (\pi, \sigma)$. Unfortunately no result analogous to Proposition 8.1 is known, and it is also unknown what the range of K^* is when A is symmetric. There are three formulas of Littlewood [8, p. 238, nos. (11.9; 1), (11.9; 3), (11.9; 5)] which suggest some result along these lines exists. For instance, one of Littlewood’s results states that

$$\prod (1 - x_i) \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j) = \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}, \tag{32}$$

where the coefficient α_{λ} is given by

$$\alpha_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \lambda' \\ (-1)^{(n+r)/2}, & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is a self-conjugate partition of } n \text{ of rank } r. \end{cases}$$

Here the *rank* of a partition is the number of elements on the main diagonal of its shape (or the size of its “Durfee square” [6, p. 281]). No combinatorial proof of (32) is known. The identity (32) may be regarded as the “inverse” of Corollary 8.3, and thus as the column-strict plane partition analog of Euler’s formula [6, Thm. 353] for inverting the ordinary partition function $p(n)$,

$$1 / \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{(1/2)n(3n+1)}.$$

10. The classical definition of the Schur functions

We are now in a position to give the classical definition of the Schur functions, apparently due to Jacobi [37], though the terminology “Schur functions” did not come until Schur [56] tied them in with the characters of the symmetric group (see Section 12). We will then give a remarkably simple proof of the equivalence of the classical expression with our Definition 5.1.

Recall the definition of the Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$,

$$\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = |x_s^{n-t}| \quad (s, t = 1, \dots, n), \tag{33}$$

where $|\beta_{st}|$ stands for the determinant of the matrix (β_{st}) . It is well-known that

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j). \tag{34}$$

The function $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *alternating*, since interchanging any two variables changes the sign of the function. It is natural to consider determinants analogous to (33) with the exponents $n - t$ replaced by an arbitrary partition λ of n , viz., $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ (further terms are superfluous since $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+2} = \dots = 0$

if $\lambda \vdash n$). Since such a determinant will equal 0 unless all the λ_i 's are distinct, we are led to consider the determinant

$$|x_s^{\lambda_t + n - t}| \quad (s, t = 1, \dots, n) \tag{35}$$

where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = n$. Here the exponents $\lambda_t + n - t$ are all distinct. The determinant (35) is again an alternating function of x_1, \dots, x_n . Moreover, setting $x_i = x_j$ ($i \neq j$) results in 0, so (35) is divisible by $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Consider the function

$$|x_s^{\lambda_t + n - t}| / |x_s^{n-t}|. \tag{36}$$

Since (36) is the quotient of two alternating functions, it is a symmetric function of x_1, \dots, x_n and is clearly of degree $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = n$. Thus (36) can be "extended" to a unique symmetric function in A_n which "agrees" with (36) in those terms involving just x_1, \dots, x_n . We now come to the surprising result that this symmetric function is just the Schur function e_λ .

10.1. THEOREM. $e_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = |x_s^{\lambda_t + n - t}| / |x_s^{n-t}|$.

Proof: In [8, p. 68], Littlewood gives an argument which proves the theorem for the coefficients of $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ (he proves the entire theorem by other means). We give a straightforward generalization of his argument. Essentially the same argument was given by Bender and Knuth [18].

According to (26), $h_\mu = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu} e_\lambda$. Applying θ to both sides, we get from Corollary 9.3 that

$$a_\mu = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu} e_\lambda.$$

Since the matrix $(K_{\lambda\mu})$ is invertible, it suffices to prove

$$a_\mu = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu} |x_s^{\lambda_i + n - i}| / |x_s^{n-i}|.$$

Equivalently, we need to show that the coefficient of $x_1^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} x_2^{\lambda_2 + n - 2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ in the expansion of $a_\mu |x_s^{n-t}|$ is equal to $K_{\lambda\mu}$.

Consider the process of multiplying $|x_s^{n-t}|$ with $a_\mu = a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots$, by multiplying by each $a_{\mu_1}, a_{\mu_2}, \dots$ in succession. Since $|x_s^{n-t}|$ is alternating and each a_{μ_i} is symmetric, each partial product $|x_s^{n-t}| a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots a_{\mu_k}$ is alternating. Hence the coefficient of any term $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ of $|x_s^{n-t}| a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots a_{\mu_k}$ is zero unless the i_j 's are all distinct. On the other hand, each term of the symmetric function a_j is of the form $x_{m_1} x_{m_2} \dots x_{m_j}$, $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_j$, and when this is multiplied by a term $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ with distinct exponents, either the order of the exponents is preserved or else two exponents become equal.

It follows that if we have a term $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ of $|x_s^{n-t}| a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots a_{\mu_k}$ with a non-zero coefficient, then it was obtained from terms $x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n}$ of $|x_s^{n-t}| a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} \dots a_{\mu_{k-1}}$ by multiplying by appropriate terms of a_{μ_k} , such that *the relative order of the numbers i_1, \dots, i_n is the same as that of j_1, \dots, j_n* . In particular, the only non-zero contributions to the coefficient of $x_1^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} x_2^{\lambda_2 + n - 2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ in $a_\mu |x_s^{n-t}|$ are obtained by considering the process of multiplying a_μ by the term $x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \dots x_{n-1}$ of $|x_s^{n-t}|$.

Hence the coefficient of $x_1^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} x_2^{\lambda_2 + n - 2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ in $a_\mu |x_s^{n-t}|$ is equal to the number of ways of "building" the term $x_1^{\lambda_1 + n - 1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ from the term $x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \dots x_{n-1}$ of $|x_s^{n-t}|$ by successively multiplying by some term of a_{μ_1} , then of a_{μ_2}, \dots , in such a

way that at no stage are any two exponents equal. Given such a choice of terms from each a_{μ_j} , define a column-strict plane partition π as follows: the term j appears in the k th column of π if and only if the variable x_k appears in the term chosen from a_j . It is easily seen that π is a uniquely defined column-strict plane partition of shape λ and μ_j parts equal to j . Moreover, any such π corresponds to a choice of terms from each a_{μ_j} . Hence the coefficient in question is equal to the number of such π , which by definition is just $K_{\lambda\mu}$. \square

Note that this proof implicitly includes a proof of the fact that the e_λ 's, as defined by Definition 5.1, are symmetric functions, since the order in which we multiply by the a_{μ_j} 's can be arbitrary.

11. The Jacobi–Trudi identity

We now turn to the problem of expressing the e_λ 's in terms of the h_μ 's; or equivalently, of inverting the matrix $K_{\lambda\mu}$. This result was first obtained by Jacobi [37] in 1841 and later simplified by his student Trudi [62] in 1864. Subsequently a combinatorial proof was given by Bender and Knuth [18], but we will give Trudi's proof, based on the classical expression Theorem 10.1.

11.1. THEOREM. *Let λ be a partition into r non-zero parts*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0.$$

Then

$$e_\lambda = |h_{\lambda_s - s + t}| \quad (s, t = 1, 2, \dots, r)$$

(with the convention $h_0 = 1, h_{-m} = 0$ if $m > 0$).

Since h_λ is a multiplicative basis, each term of the above determinant is of the form $\pm h_\mu$, and we get the expansion of e_λ in terms of h_μ .

Example: Take $r = 1, \lambda_1 = n$. Then

$$e_n = |h_n| = h_n,$$

a result which is evident from the definition of e_n . Similarly if $r = 2, \lambda_1 = a, \lambda_2 = b$, then

$$e_{a,b} = \begin{vmatrix} h_a & h_{a+1} \\ h_{b-1} & h_b \end{vmatrix} = h_a h_b - h_{a+1} h_{b-1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 11.1: The following identities are readily verified:

$$\begin{aligned} h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1) - h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_2) \\ = (y_1 - y_2)h_{m-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2) \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ = h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + x_{n+1}h_{m-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The idea of the proof is to factor the product $\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ out of the determinant $|x_s^{\lambda_s + n - t}|$. Thus in $|x_s^{\lambda_s + n - t}|$, subtract the first row ($s = 1$) from every subsequent row ($s > 1$), and remove the factor $(x_s - x_1)$ from the s th row ($s > 1$). Thus the (s, t) entry for $s > 1$ becomes

$$(x_s^{\lambda_s + n - t} - x_1^{\lambda_s + n - t}) / (x_s - x_1) = h_{\lambda_s + n - t - 1}(x_1, x_s).$$

Now subtract the second row ($s = 2$) from every subsequent row ($s > 2$), and remove the factor $(x_s - x_2)$ from the s th row ($s > 2$). Thus the (s, t) entry for $s > 2$ becomes

$$(h_{\lambda_t+n-t-1}(x_1, x_s) - h_{\lambda_t+n-t-1}(x_1, x_2))/(x_s - x_2).$$

By (37) this is equal to $h_{\lambda_t+n-t-2}(x_1, x_2, x_s)$. Continuing in this way, we finally obtain

$$|x_s^{\lambda_t+n-t}| = \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i) |h_{\lambda_t+n-t-s+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)|. \tag{39}$$

In the determinant of (39), reverse the order of the rows and interchange rows with columns, giving

$$|x_s^{\lambda_t+n-t}| = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) |h_{\lambda_s-s+t}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-t+1})|. \tag{40}$$

Equation (40) is an alternative form of the Jacobi–Trudi identity, differing from the desired result in that the h_i 's are not in the full set of variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

Now add x_2 times the $n - 1$ st column ($t = n - 1$) to the last column ($t = n$), then x_3 times the column $t = n - 2$ to the column $t = n - 1$, continuing to x_n times the first column ($t = 1$) to the second column. By (38), (40) becomes

$$e_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = |h_{\lambda_s-s+t}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-t+2})|, \tag{41}$$

with the understanding that any variables x_i with $i > n$ are to be ignored.

Now add x_3 times the column $t = n - 1$ to the column $t = n$, then x_4 times the column $t = n - 2$ to the column $t = n - 1$, up to x_n times the column $t = 2$ to the column $t = 3$. Once again the number of variables appearing in each h_i is increased by 1 (unless this number is already n). Continuing in this way, we finally obtain the $n \times n$ determinant $|h_{\lambda_s-s+t}|_1^n$, which has the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} |h_{\lambda_s-s+t}|_1^n & * \\ \hline 0 & \begin{matrix} 1 & * \\ & 1 \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \\ & 0 & & & 1 \end{matrix} \end{vmatrix} = |h_{\lambda_s-s+t}|_1^n \cdot \square$$

As an immediate corollary of the Jacobi–Trudi identity and Corollary 9.3, we get the classical form of the Naegelbasch–Kosta theorem, of which Corollary 9.3 is the “combinatorial” form.

11.2. COROLLARY. *Let λ be a partition with largest part $\lambda_1 = q$. Then*

$$e_\lambda = |a_{\lambda_s-s+t}| \quad (s, t = 1, 2, \dots, q). \quad \square$$

12. Skew plane partitions and the multiplication of Schur functions

The concept of plane partitions can be generalized to “skew plane partitions”, leading to a new class of symmetric functions related to taking a product of Schur

functions, and to a generalization of the Jacobi–Trudi identity. Let λ and μ be partitions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0 \\ \mu_1 &\geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_r \geq 0 \\ \mu_i &\leq \lambda_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Define a *skew plane partition, of shape λ/μ* , to be a plane partition of shape λ from which the shape μ has been “removed.” For instance, if $\lambda = (5, 5, 3, 1)$ and $\mu = (2, 1, 1, 0)$ then the array

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \cdot & \cdot & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ \cdot & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ \cdot & 3 & 1 & & \\ 4 & & & & \end{array} \tag{43}$$

is a skew plane partition of 23 of shape λ/μ . The obvious definition is made for “column-strict skew plane partition,” etc. In analogy to our original combinatorial definition of e_λ (Definition 5.1), if π is a skew plane partition, define

$$M(\pi) = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots,$$

where a_i parts of π are equal to i . Thus for the array π of (43), $M(\pi) = x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4$.

12.1. DEFINITION. Let λ and μ be partitions satisfying (42). Define

$$e_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\pi} M(\pi),$$

where the sum is over all column-strict skew plane partitions π of shape λ/μ .

We state without proof the basic result on the functions $e_{\lambda/\mu}$, generalizing the Jacobi–Trudi identity.

12.2. PROPOSITION. $e_{\lambda/\mu} = |h_{\lambda_s - \mu_t - s + t}|$. \square

In the form given by Proposition 12.2, the functions $e_{\lambda/\mu}$ were investigated by Naegelbasch [47] and Aitken [15], [16]. The connection with plane partitions was first pointed out by Littlewood [8, p. 109] (see also Robinson [12], § 2.5). Since the right-hand side of Proposition 12.2 is a symmetric function, we have the following generalization of Theorem 6.2.

12.3 COROLLARY. $e_{\lambda/\mu}$ is a symmetric function. \square

It is thus natural to ask how $e_{\lambda/\mu}$ may be expressed in terms of the e_ν 's. The next proposition gives such an expression, apparently due to Littlewood [8, p. 110]; its proof is omitted.

12.4. PROPOSITION. If $e_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\nu} g_{\nu\mu\lambda} e_\nu$, then $g_{\nu\mu\lambda}$ is the coefficient of e_λ in the product $e_\nu e_\mu$, i.e., $g_{\nu\mu\lambda} = (e_{\lambda/\mu}, e_\nu) = (e_\lambda, e_\nu e_\mu)$. \square

Proposition 12.4 is the basic result on the ordinary multiplication $e_\nu e_\mu$ of Schur functions (see Littlewood [8, pp. 91–98]), and shows how multiplying Schur functions is related to building up plane partitions. Other methods of multiplying Schur functions, in particular the *plethysm* $e_\mu \otimes e_\nu$, have been considered. We will not go into them here, but instead refer the reader to the bibliographies in Littlewood [8] and Robinson [12]. For an interesting relation between the numbers $g_{\nu\mu\lambda}$ and the structure of finite abelian p -groups, see P. Hall [35] and Klein [38].

By applying the operator θ to Proposition 12.2 and Proposition 12.4, we get an expression for $e_{\lambda/\mu}$ in terms of the a_ν 's directly generalizing Corollary 11.2. This result was first proved by Aitken [15], [16] by other means.

12.5. COROLLARY (Aitken). $e_{\lambda/\mu} = |a_{\lambda'_s - \mu'_t - s + t}|$. \square

13. Frobenius' formula for the characters of the symmetric group

We have succeeded in expressing the e_λ 's in terms of the symmetric functions k_μ , h_μ , and a_μ . The remaining basis to be considered is s_μ . Let us write

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi_\lambda^\mu e_\mu, \tag{44}$$

so χ is the matrix transforming e_λ to s_λ , and $\chi_\lambda^\mu = (e_\mu, s_\lambda)$. The significance of the coefficients χ_λ^μ , first obtained by Frobenius [29], is perhaps the most profound result known about Schur functions.

13.1. THEOREM (Frobenius) *The matrix (χ_λ^μ) is the character table of the symmetric group S_n . Specifically, χ_n^μ is the character χ^μ corresponding to the partition μ evaluated at the conjugacy class of S_n corresponding to the partition λ .* \square

We will not prove this theorem here, since we are assuming no group-theoretic background on the part of the reader, and since this result will not be needed for our enumeration of plane partitions (Parts IV and V). A straightforward account is given by Littlewood [8, § 5.2]. Further results on the representation theory of the symmetric group may be found in Littlewood [8], Robinson [12], and the references given there. In particular, Young [66] was the first person to recognize the connection between plane partitions and the symmetric group. An account of Young's highly significant work is given by Rutherford [13].

A number of properties of the matrix χ_λ^μ can be deduced without recourse to group theory (i.e., without using Theorem 13.1). These results normally are regarded as special cases of theorems in group representation theory. We prove two such results here.

13.2 PROPOSITION. (χ_λ^μ) is a column-orthogonal matrix, indeed,

$$\sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda^\mu \chi_\lambda^\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu} \cdot 1^{r_1} r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \dots,$$

where $\mu = \langle 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots \rangle$.

Proof: Since e_μ is an orthonormal basis and s_μ is an orthogonal basis,

$$\sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda^\mu \chi_\lambda^\nu = (s_\mu, s_\nu),$$

which was evaluated in Proposition 4.2. \square

13.3. PROPOSITION. $|\chi_\lambda^\mu| = \prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots)$, where $\lambda = \langle 1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots \rangle$.

Proof: By the previous proposition, $|\chi_\lambda^\mu| = \prod_\nu (s_\nu, s_\nu)^{1/2} = \prod_{\lambda \vdash n} (1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots)$ (see Section 4). \square

Since the matrix χ_λ^μ is orthogonal, its inverse is simply $\chi_\mu^\lambda / (s_\mu, s_\mu)$. In other words,

$$e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi_\mu^\lambda s_\mu / (s_\mu, s_\mu). \tag{45}$$

(to be continued)

References

The following bibliography is not intended to be complete, though most purely combinatorial results on plane partitions are included. For further references to Schur functions and their connection with group theory, see the bibliographies in Littlewood [8] and Robinson [12]. For further references to ballot problems, see the first chapter of Takács [14].

1. RAYMOND AYOUB, "An Introduction to the Analytic Theory of Numbers," American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1963.
2. GARRETT T. BIRKHOFF, "Lattice Theory," third ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
3. C. W. CURTIS AND I. REINER, "Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras," John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962.
4. WILLIAM FELLER, "An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications," vol. I, second ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y., 1957.
5. MARSHALL HALL, JR., "The Theory of Groups," Macmillan, New York, 1959.
6. G. H. HARDY AND E. M. WRIGHT, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers," fourth ed., Oxford University Press, 1960.
7. D. E. KNUTH, "The Art of Computer Programming," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.; vol. 1, 1968; vol. 2, 1969; vol. 3 (to appear).
8. D. E. LITTLEWOOD, "The Theory of Group Characters," second ed., Oxford University Press, 1950.
9. P. A. MACMAHON, "Combinatory Analysis," vols. 1-2, Cambridge University Press, 1915, 1916; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960.
10. T. MUIR, "Theory of Determinants," vols. 1-4, Macmillan, London and New York, 1906, 1911, 1920, 1923.
11. JOHN RIORDAN, "An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis," John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.
12. G. DE B. ROBINSON, "Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group," University of Toronto Press, 1961.
13. D. E. RUTHERFORD, "Substitutional Analysis," Edinburgh University Press, 1948; reprinted by Hafner, New York, 1968.
14. LAJOS TAKÁCS, "Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Processes, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1967.
15. A. C. AITKEN, On determinants of symmetric functions, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. (2) **1** (1929), 55-61.
16. A. C. AITKEN, Note on dual symmetric functions, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1931), 164-167.
17. A. O. L. ATKIN, P. BRATLEY, I. G. MACDONALD, AND J. K. S. MCKAY, Some computations for m -dimensional partitions, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **63** (1967), 1097-1100.
18. E. A. BENDER AND D. E. KNUTH, Enumeration of plane partitions, J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).
19. J. BERTRAND, Solution d'un problème, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **105** (1887), 369.
20. L. CARLITZ, Some determinants of q -binomial coefficients, J. Reine Angew. Math. **226** (1967), 216-220.
21. L. CARLITZ, Rectangular arrays and plane partitions, Acta Arith. **13** (1967), 29-47.
22. L. CARLITZ AND J. RIORDAN, Enumeration of certain two-line arrays, Duke Math. Journ. **32** (1966), 529-540.
23. T. W. CHAUNDY, Partition-generating functions, Quart. Journ. Math. (Oxford) **2** (1931), 234-240.
24. M. S. CHEEMA AND BASIL GORDON, Some remarks on two- and three-line partitions, Duke Math. Journ. **31** (1964), 267-273.
25. S. CHOWLA, I. N. HERSTEIN, AND K. MOORE, On recursions connected with symmetric groups I., Canadian J. Math. **3** (1951), 328-334.
26. P. ERDŐS AND G. SZEKERES, A combinatorial problem in geometry, Composito Mathematica **2** (1935), 463-470.
27. H. K. FARAHAT, On Schur functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **8** (1956), 621-630.
28. J. S. FRAME, G. DE B. ROBINSON, AND R. M. THRALL, The hook lengths of \mathfrak{S}_n , Canadian J. Math. **6** (1954), 316-325.
29. G. FROBENIUS, Über die charaktere der symmetrischen Gruppe, Sitzungsberichte Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wissenschaften (Berlin, 1900), 516-534.

30. BASIL GORDON, Two new representations of the partition function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 869–873.
31. BASIL GORDON AND LORNE HOUTEN, Notes on Plane Partitions I, II, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **4** (1968), 72–80, 81–99.
32. BASIL GORDON AND LORNE HOUTEN, Notes on Plane Partitions III, *Duke Math. Journ.* **36** (1969), 801–824.
33. BASIL GORDON, Notes on Plane Partitions IV, to appear.
34. J. A. GREEN, The characters of the finite general linear group, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 402–447.
35. PHILIP HALL, The algebra of partitions, *Proc. 4th Canad. Math. Congr. Banff. 1957* (1959), 147–159.
36. G. H. HARDY AND S. RAMANUJAN, Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **17** (1918).
37. C. JACOBI, De functionibus alternantibus . . . , *Crelle's Journal* **22** (1841), 360–371, or *Werke* **3**, 439–452.
38. T. KLEIN, The Hall polynomial, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 61–78.
39. D. E. KNUTH, Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux, *Pacific J. Math.* **34** (1970), 709–727.
40. C. KOSTA, Ueber die Bestimmung von symmetrischen Functionen . . . , *Crelle's Journal* **81** (1876), 281–289.
41. C. KOSTA, Über symmetrische Functionen, *Crelle's Journal* **93** (1882), 89–123.
42. C. KOSTA, Tafeln und Formeln für symmetrische Funktionen, *Jahresb. d. deutschen Math.-Verein.* **16** (1907), 429–450.
43. G. KREWERAS, Sur une classe de problèmes de dénombrement liés au treillis des partitions des entiers, *Cahiers du BUR0* **6** (1965).
44. D. E. LITTLEWOOD AND A. R. RICHARDSON, II. Group characters and algebra, *Philosophical Trans. R. S. A-233* (1934), 99–141.
45. P. A. MACMAHON, Memoir on the theory of the partitions of numbers—Part IV, *Philosophical Trans. R. S. A-209* (1909), 153–175.
46. LEO MOSER AND MAX WYMAN, On solutions of $x^d = 1$ in symmetric groups, *Canadian J. Math.* **7** (1955), 159–168.
47. H. NÄGELBASCH, Ueber eine Classe symmetrischen Functionen, *Sch.-Prog.* (1871).
48. T. NAKAYAMA, On some modular properties of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_n , I, II, *Jap. J. Maths.* **17** (1940), 89–108, 411–423.
49. V. S. NANDA, Partition theory and thermodynamics of multi-dimensional oscillator assemblies, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **47** (1951), 591–601.
50. V. S. NANDA, Tables of solid partitions, *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India*, part A **19** (1953), 313–314.
51. H. RADEMACHER, On the partition function, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1937), 241–254.
52. R. C. READ, The use of S -functions in combinatorial analysis, *Canadian J. Math.* **20** (1968), 808–841.
53. G. DE B. ROBINSON, On the representations of the symmetric group, *Amer. J. Math.* **60** (1938), 745–760; **69** (1947), 286–298; **70** (1948), 277–294.
54. L. J. ROGERS, On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1917), 315–336.
55. C. SCHENSTED, Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences, *Canadian J. Math.* **13** (1961), 179–191.
56. I. SCHUR, Über eine Klasse von Matrizen die sich einer gegebenen Matrix zuordnen lassen, *Inaugural-Dissertation*, Berlin (1901).
57. M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted, *Math. Scand.* **12** (1963), 117–128.
58. R. P. STANLEY, Solution to problem 5641 (proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz), *Amer. Math. Monthly* **76** (1969), 1153.
59. R. P. STANLEY, Ordered structures and partitions, thesis, Harvard University (January, 1971).
60. R. P. STANLEY, The conjugate trace and trace of a plane partition, *J. Combinatorial Theory* (to appear).
61. C. SUDLER, JR., A direct proof of two theorems on two line partitions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 161–168, 558.

62. N. TRUDI, Intorno un determinante pui generale . . . , *Rendic. dell' Accad. (Napoli)* (1864), 121-134, or *Giornale di Mat.* **2** (1864), 152-158, 180-186.
63. E. M. WRIGHT, Asymptotic partition formulae. I. Plane partitions, *Quart. J. of Math. (Oxford)* **2** (1931), 177-189.
64. E. M. WRIGHT, The generating function of solid partitions, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edin.* **A-67** (1966), 185-195.
65. E. M. WRIGHT, Rotatable partitions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 501-505.
66. A. YOUNG, Quantitative substitutional analysis I-IX, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (1) **33** (1901), 97-146; **34** (1902), 361-397; (2) **28** (1928), 255-292; **31** (1930), 253-272; **31** (1930), 273-288; **34** (1932), 196-230; **36** (1933), 304-368; **37** (1934), 441-495; **54** (1952), 219-253.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

(Received February 17, 1971)