Hypergeometric *L*-functions in average polynomial time

David Roe (joint with Edgar Costa and Kiran Kedlaya)

> Department of Mathematics Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Joint Mathematics Meetings AMS Special Session on Modular Forms, Hypergeometric Functions, and Related Topics January 9, 2025

Hasse-Weil L-functions

Let X be a nice algebraic variety over \mathbb{Q} . For $w = 0, ..., 2 \dim(X)$, we get an associated (incomplete) Hasse-Weil L-function built out of Euler factors:

$$L_w(X,s) = \prod_p L_p(X,p^{-s})^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \qquad L_p(X,T) := \det(1-T\operatorname{Frob}_p, H^w_{\operatorname{et}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{I_p}).$$

We can similarly define L(M, s) for M a **motive** factor of $H^w(X)$; we refer to w as the **weight** of M and $r = \dim(M)$ as the **degree**. A prime p is **good** if I_p acts trivially, and **bad** otherwise. We have $\deg(L_p(X, T)) \leq r$, with equality iff p is good. One can define the conductor N as a certain product of powers of the bad primes, and the **completed** L-function $\Lambda(M, s)$ as the product of L(M, s) with $N^{s/2}$ and a certain product of Gamma factors.

Goal

Gather numerical data for such *L*-functions: zero distribution, special values, analytic continuation and functional equation, murmurations. Want a diverse source of motives where L(M, s) is computable, with varying weight, degree, and Hodge numbers.

Hypergeometric data

A hypergeometric datum over \mathbb{Q} of degree *r* is defined by two disjoint tuples

 $(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r) \text{ over } \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)$

which are each **Galois-stable**: the multiplicity of any reduced fraction depends only on its denominator. For example

$$\alpha = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \ \beta = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}).$$

This datum defines a family of hypergeometric motives $M_z^{\alpha,\beta}$ over $z \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0,1\}$, and a family of degree *r L*-functions, where $F_p(T) := L_p(M_z^{\alpha,\beta}, T) = 1 - a_pT + \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ is of degree at most *r*. The Hodge vector and motivic weight can be read from the **zigzag function**

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x}) := \#\{j : \alpha_j \le \mathbf{x}\} - \#\{j : \beta_j \le \mathbf{x}\}.$$

Hypergeometric families in the wild

• Legendre Family:
$$E_t$$
: $y^2 = x(1-x)(x-t)$

$$\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{t},\mathbb{Q})\simeq \mathcal{M}_{t}^{\alpha,\beta}$$
 where $\alpha=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}),\,\beta=(1,1).$

• Dwork family: $X_{\lambda} \colon x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 - 4\lambda xyzw = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}^{2}(X_{\lambda},\mathbb{Q}) &= \operatorname{\textit{Pic}}(X_{\lambda}) \oplus T_{\lambda} \quad (22 = 19 + 3) \\ T_{\lambda} &\simeq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{4}}^{\alpha,\beta} \text{ where } \alpha = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \, \beta = (1, 1, 1). \end{split}$$

• K3 family with Picard rank 16: X_{λ} : $x^3y + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 - 12\lambda xyzw = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}^{2}(X_{\lambda},\mathbb{Q}) &= \textit{Pic}(X_{\lambda}) \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\lambda} \qquad (22 = 16 + 6) \\ \mathcal{T}_{\lambda} &\simeq \textit{M}_{2^{10}3^{6}\lambda^{12}}^{\alpha,\beta} \text{ where } \alpha = (\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{12}), \\ \beta &= (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}). \end{split}$$

L-functions of hypergeometric motives

$$L(M_z^{\alpha,\beta},s) = \prod_p F_p(p^{-s}) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

The primes p of **bad reduction** (i.e., $\deg F_p < r$) have the following forms.

• p is wild if $v_p(\gamma) < 0$ for some $\gamma \in \alpha \cup \beta$ (e.g., 2 and 3 in our last example).

• p is **tame** if it is not wild, and either $v_p(z) \neq 0$ or $v_p(z-1) \neq 0$. Completing the *L*-function gives

$$\Lambda(\boldsymbol{s}) := \boldsymbol{N}^{\boldsymbol{s}/2} \cdot \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\boldsymbol{s}) \cdot \boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\alpha,\beta},\boldsymbol{s}).$$

We expect Λ to satisfy the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) = \pm \Lambda(w + 1 - s)$$

To numerically study the analytic properties of $\Lambda(s)$ and check its functional equation one needs to know

$$a_n \leq B$$
, where $B = O(\sqrt{N})$.

The Good, the Tame and the Wild

$$L(M_z^{\alpha,\beta},s) = \prod_{p} F_p(p^{-s}) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = L_{good}(s) \cdot L_{tame}(s) \cdot L_{wild}(s)$$

We do not yet have formulas for F_p at the wild primes.

There is a recipe for F_p at the tame primes.

For p a good prime (neither wild nor tame), $F_p(T) = \det(1 - T \operatorname{Frob}_p | M_z^{\alpha,\beta})$ may be recovered from a trace formula of the shape

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_{q}) = H_{q} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \end{bmatrix} z := \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{m=0}^{q-2} \pm p^{\xi(m)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(\alpha_{j})_{m}^{*}}{(\beta_{j})_{m}^{*}} \right) [z]^{m},$$

where [z] is the multiplicative lift of $z \mod p$ and $(\gamma)_m^*$ is a *p*-adic variant of the Pochhammer symbol $(\gamma)_m = \gamma(\gamma + 1) \cdots (\gamma + m - 1)$.

Hypergeometric L-functions in average polynomial time

$$a_{p} = H_{p} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} z := \frac{1}{1-p} \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} \pm p^{\xi(m)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(\alpha_{j})_{m}^{*}}{(\beta_{j})_{m}^{*}} \right) [z]^{m},$$

where [z] is the multiplicative lift of $z \mod p$ and $(\gamma)_m^*$ is a *p*-adic variant of the Pochhammer symbol $(\gamma)_m = \gamma(\gamma + 1) \cdots (\gamma + m - 1)$.

Theorem (Costa–Kedlaya–R, 2024)

We exhibit an algorithm to compute a_p for all primes $p \leq X$. For fixed α, β , and z the time and space complexities are both $\widetilde{O}(X)$.

Our initial algorithm (2020) allowed computation of L-functions with motivic weight 1; the 2024 version dropped the restriction on weight.

github.com/edgarcosta/amortizedHGM

Amortization over primes

$$a_{p} = H_{p} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} := \frac{1}{1-p} \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} \pm p^{\xi(m)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(\alpha_{j})_{m}^{*}}{(\beta_{j})_{m}^{*}} \right) [z]^{m},$$

where [z] is the multiplicative lift of $z \mod p$ and $(\gamma)_m^*$ is a *p*-adic variant of the Pochhammer symbol $(\gamma)_m = \gamma(\gamma + 1) \cdots (\gamma + m - 1)$.

The implementations in Magma and Sage compute a_p one p at a time. Since the sum is over O(p) terms, computing all prime Dirichlet coefficients up to X requires $\widetilde{O}(X^2)$ arithmetic operations.

The shape of the formula makes it feasible to amortize this complexity over p, thus requiring $\widetilde{O}(X)$ arithmetic operations.



David Roe (MIT)

Hypergeometric L-functions

JMM 2025

Timings: working (mod p^3), degree = 6, weight = 5 Time (s)



Amortization ingredients

- Overall approach is via accumulating remainder trees, following Costa, Gerbicz, Harvey, and Sutherland;
- Use a generic prime, with computations in $\mathbb{Z}[P]/P^e$ amortized with polynomial arithmetic and then substituting $P = \frac{P}{1-p}$ afterward;
- Break amortization up by dividing [0, p-2] into pieces based on locations of α and β ;
- Divide primes into arithmetic progressions modulo the denominators from α and β ;
- Precompute various *p*-adic gamma factors using a similar amortization method (independent of *z*, only mild dependence on *α* and *β*)
- Extract the desired sum from a product of block triangular matrices, using accumulating remainder trees to compute the product modulo all necessary *p*.

Accumulating remainder trees: Wilson primes

The Alhazen–Wilson theorem states that $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ for all primes p. A Wilson prime is one so that $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p^2}$. The only known examples are p = 5, 13, 563.

Costa–Gerbicz–Harvey computed $(p-1)! + 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for all $p \le X = 2 \times 10^{13}$ using a new technique that reduced the overall complexity from $\widetilde{O}(X^2)$ to $\widetilde{O}(X)$.

The idea is to replace the separate computation of $(p-1)! + 1 \pmod{p^2}$ with the serial computation of

$$n! \pmod{\prod_{n for $n = 0, \dots, X - 1$.$$

To make this work, this serialization must be balanced against making the moduli so large that they slow down the computation. Harvey–Sutherland generalized this process into **accumulating remainder trees**.

Accumulating remainder trees

Given integers (or matrices) A_0, \ldots, A_{b-1} and integers m_0, \ldots, m_{b-1} , we want to compute simultaneously

$$C_j := A_0 \dots A_{j-1} \pmod{m_j} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, b-1).$$

For simplicity, assume $b = 2^{\ell}$. Form a complete binary tree of depth ℓ with nodes (i, j) where $i = 0, \ldots, \ell$ and $j = 0, \ldots, 2^{i} - 1$. By computing from the leaves to the root, we can compute products over diadic ranges:

$$m_{i,j} := m_{j2^{\ell-i}} \dots m_{(j+1)2^{\ell-i}-1},$$

$$A_{i,j} := A_{j2^{\ell-i}} \dots A_{(j+1)2^{\ell-i}-1}.$$

Then from the root to the leaves, we compute the products $C_{i,j} := A_{i,0} \dots A_{i,j-1} \pmod{m_{i,j}}$ by writing $C_{0,0} = 1$ and

$$C_{i,j} = \begin{cases} C_{i-1,\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} & \pmod{m_{i,j}} & j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ C_{i-1,\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} A_{i,j-1} & \pmod{m_{i,j}} & j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Example: $(p-1)! \pmod{p}$ (Harvey–Sutherland 2014)

• Set m_0, \ldots, m_7 to be the first 8 odd numbers, with composites replaced by 1

•
$$A_i = (i+1)(i+2).$$

• $C_{i,j} = \begin{cases} C_{i-1,\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} \pmod{m_{i,j}} & j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ C_{i-1,\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} A_{i,j-1} \pmod{m_{i,j}} & j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$



Next steps

We want to add a bunch of interesting hypergeometric L-functions to the LMFDB. Two main obstacles:

- We need to choose good specialization points z. In order to keep the conductor small, we want both z and z 1 to only have small prime factors. We can achieve this by solving S-unit equations, but this approach won't practically be able to ever guarantee all L-functions in a family below a certain conductor bound.
- The wild L-factors are still not pinned down. Ongoing work by Roberts-Rodriguez Villegas may help, and we can numerically check possible factors using the functional equation.

Thank you!