

# A database of $p$ -adic tori

David Roe

Department of Mathematics  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Representation Theory of Groups Defined over Local Fields  
CMS19, Regina, SK

June 8, 2019

# Algebraic tori

## Definition

An *algebraic torus* over a field  $K$  is a group scheme  $T$ , isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  after tensoring with a finite extension.

Can also give  $T(\bar{K})$  plus a continuous action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  on it.

# Examples over $\mathbb{R}$

- **U**, with  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z\bar{z} = 1\}$ ,
- $\mathbf{G}_m$ , with  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^\times$ ,
- **S**, with  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Theorem (c.f. [1, Thm 2])

*Every algebraic torus over  $\mathbb{R}$  is a product of these tori.*

# Character lattices

## Definition

The *character lattice* of  $T$  is  $X^*(T) = \text{Hom}_{\bar{K}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ ,

$X^*(T)$  is a free rank- $n$   $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with a  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  action.

Can take  $\{\chi_i : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto z_i\}$  as a basis for  $X^*(\mathbb{G}_m^n)$ .

- $X^*(\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$  with trivial action,
- $X^*(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbb{Z}$  with conjugation acting as  $x \mapsto -x$ ,
- $X^*(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbb{Z}v \oplus \mathbb{Z}w$  with conjugation exchanging  $v$  and  $w$ .

## Theorem

*The functor  $T \mapsto X^*(T)$  defines a contravariant equivalence of categories  $K\text{-Tori} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)\text{-Lattices}$  (with continuous action).*

# Building tori over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

- A continuous action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  on a lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  will factor through a finite quotient  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,
- and a faithful action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the same as an embedding  $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We may thus break up the task of finding tori into three parts:

- 1 For each dimension  $n$ , list all finite subgroups  $G$  of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (up to conjugacy). For fixed  $n$ , the set of such  $G$  is finite.
- 2 For each  $G$  and  $p$ , list all Galois extensions  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong G$ . For fixed  $G$  and  $p$ , the set of  $L$  is finite. Moreover, when  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ , doing so is easy.
- 3 For each  $G$ , compute the automorphisms of  $G$  (up to  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugacy).

We will refer to such a pair  $(G, L)$  as a *prototorus*.

# Ambiguity of embedding

The difference between a conjugacy class of embeddings  $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and a conjugacy class of subgroups  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is measured by the quotient  $A/W$ , where

$$A = \mathrm{Aut}(G) \qquad W = N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})}(G)/C_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})}(G).$$

We refer to the size  $a$  of  $A/W$  as the *ambiguity* of  $G$ . Given a prototorus  $(G, L)$ , there are  $a$  corresponding isomorphism classes of tori, each with splitting field  $L$ .

# Example

The subgroup generated by

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is isomorphic to  $C_2^2$ , and has both normalizer and centralizer  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, -I \rangle \cong C_2^3$ . Since  $A \cong S_3$ , we have  $a = 6$ .

Suppose  $p$  is odd and  $L$  is the compositum of the three quadratic extensions  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be the nontrivial element fixing  $L_i$ , and  $T$  the torus corresponding to the map  $\sigma_i \mapsto \alpha_i$ . Then

$T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \text{Nm}_{L_1/\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 \times L_2^\times$ . Each of the six labelings of the  $L_i$  produces a distinct torus.

# Isogenies

- Two  $G$ -lattices are isomorphic iff the corresponding maps  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  are  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugate.
- Two  $G$ -lattices are *isogenous* iff the corresponding maps are  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugate.
- Just as  $a = A/W$  measures the number of isomorphism classes of tori for a given prototorus,  $a' = A/W'$  measures the number of isogeny classes for a given pair  $(G', L)$ , where  $G'$  is now up to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugacy. Here

$$W' = N_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})}(G)/C_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})}(G).$$

$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are isogenous but not isomorphic, since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  are conjugate in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  but not in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .



# LMFDB

The *L-functions and modular forms database* (LMFDB) aims to make interesting objects in number theory and arithmetic geometry available for researchers to browse and search. It currently includes

- Global and local number fields,
- Classical, Hilbert, Bianchi and Maass modular forms,
- Elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and number fields, genus-2 curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , abelian varieties over finite fields,
- Galois groups and Sato-Tate groups,
- *L*-functions for many of these objects.

Improved group theory, including subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , is under active development.

# Existing ingredients

## Jones-Roberts database of local fields [3]

- Included in LMFDB
- $p$ -adic fields of degree up to 15 for  $p < 200$
- Missing sibling information (other fields with same closure)
- Only gives ramification breaks, not ramification subgroups

## Matrix groups

GAP and Magma include databases of matrix groups [2, 4]

- All  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \leq 6$ , up to conjugacy
- Maximal irreducible  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \leq 31$ , up to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugacy
- Maximal irreducible  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \leq 11$  and  $n \in \{13, 17, 19, 23\}$ , up to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugacy

# A database of tori

Demo

`tori.lmfdb.xyz`

# Number of Subgroups (up to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugacy)

Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	6
Real	2	4	6	9	12	16
Unramified	2	7	16	45	96	240
Tame	2	13	51	298	1300	6661
7-adic	2	10	38	192	802	3767
5-adic	2	11	41	222	890	4286
3-adic	2	13	51	348	1572	9593
2-adic	2	11	60	536	4820	65823
Local	2	13	67	633	5260	69584
All	2	13	73	710	6079	85308

Each subgroup can correspond to many tori: multiple  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $G \cong \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and ambiguity.

# Order of Largest Subgroup

Dimension	1	2	3	4	5	6
Real	2	2	2	2	2	2
Unramified	2	6	6	12	12	30
Tame	2	12	12	40	72	144
7-adic	2	8	12	40	40	120
5-adic	2	12	12	40	72	144
3-adic	2	12	12	72	72	432
2-adic	2	12	48	576	1152	2304
Irreducible	2	12	48	1152	3840	103680
Weyl	$A_1$	$G_2$	$B_3$	$F_4$	$B_5$	$2 \times E_6$

Dim	Largest Irreducible Subgroup
7	2903040 ( $E_7$ )
8	696729600 ( $E_8$ )
31	17658411549989416133671730836395786240000000 ( $B_{31}$ )

## $p$ -realizable groups

We say a group  $G$  is  $p$ -realizable if there is an extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $G \cong \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The group generated by

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

has order 1152. It is not  $p$ -realizable for any  $p$ .

In a  $p$ -adic Galois group, the quotient by wild inertia must be metacyclic (cyclic subgroup with cyclic quotient).

- $G$  is not metacyclic, so only  $p = 2$  and  $p = 3$  possible
- For  $p = 2$ , the quotient by the  $p$ -core (largest normal  $p$ -subgroup) is  $S_3^2$  which is not metacyclic.
- For  $p = 3$ , the  $p$ -core is trivial.

# What to compute?

- Easy:  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rank; whether unramified, tame, anisotropic, split, induced; dual torus
- Artin and swan conductors, discriminants
- Alternate descriptions: units in étale algebras (possibly with involution)
- Description of  $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , Moy-Prasad filtration
- Néron models, behavior under base change
- Embeddings into reductive groups
- Fixed set for action on Bruhat-Tits building
- Tate cohomology groups  $\hat{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p, X^*(T))$
- Rationality, stable rationality, retract rationality, unirationality; flasque and coflasque
- Resolutions:  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  with  $M$  induced and  $F$  flasque.

# Computing with large field extensions

## Definition

Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension of fields. A *core* for  $L/K$  is an extension  $C/K$  so that  $L$  is the Galois closure of  $C$ .

The degree  $[C : K]$  can be exponentially smaller than  $[L : K]$ : if  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = S_n$  we can find  $[C : K] = n$  while  $[L : K] = n!$ .

## Question

$T(K) \cong (X_*(T) \otimes L^\times)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}$  is usually expressed in terms of  $L$ . Can it be computed directly from some  $C$  (along with knowledge of  $\text{Gal}(L/C) \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$ )?



# Applications

- Yu's construction of supercuspidal representations isn't known to be exhaustive in small residue characteristic; I hope the database can be useful in working with examples of such representations.
- The behavior of Néron models under wild base change has always been a mystery to me. I hope examples can help clarify the situation.
- Understanding maximal tori in exceptional groups. Tame tori in exceptional groups have been studied by Reeder [5]. Wild tori in exceptional groups only occur in small characteristic and dimension, making them a perfect target for a database.

# References

- [1] B. Casselman. *Computations in real tori*, Representation theory of real groups, Contemporary Mathematics **472**, A.M.S. (2007).
- [2] C. Cid, J. Opgenorth, W. Plesken, T. Schulz. *CARAT*.  
[wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/carat/](http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/carat/).
- [3] J. Jones, D. Roberts. *A database of local fields*, J. Symbolic Comput **41** (2006), 80-97.
- [4] G. Nebe, W. Pleskin, M. Pohst, B. Souvignier. *Irreducible maximal finite integral matrix groups*. GAP Library.
- [5] M. Reeder. *Elliptic centralizers in Weyl groups and their coinvariant representations*. Representation Theory **15** (2011), 63–111.