# Linear Methods (Math 211) Lecture 26 - §3.3

(with slides adapted from K. Seyffarth)

David Roe

November 13, 2013

Diagonalization 00000000000



Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Diagonalization





Geometric Interpretation



2 Diagonalization

# Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix. Then A can be interpreted as a linear transformation  $T_A$  from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Problem

How does  $T_A$  affect the eigenvectors of the matrix?

# Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix. Then A can be interpreted as a linear transformation  $T_A$  from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

#### Problem

How does  $T_A$  affect the eigenvectors of the matrix?

#### Definition

Let **v** be a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote by  $L_v$  the unique line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that contains **v** and the origin.

#### Lemma (§3.3 Lemma 1)

Let  $\bm{v}$  be a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2.$  Then  $L_{\bm{v}}$  is the set of all scalar multiples of  $\bm{v},$  i.e.

$$L_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{v} = \{t\mathbf{v} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

#### Definition

Let A be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L:

- Ax is a scalar multiple of x,
- $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- **x** is an eigenvector of *A*.

#### Theorem ( $\S3.3$ Theorem 3)

Let A be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and let  $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $L_{\mathbf{v}}$  is A-invariant if and only if  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of A.

This theorem provides a geometrical method for finding the eigenvectors of a  $2\times 2$  matrix.

#### Example ( $\S3.3$ Example 6)

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by reflection in the line y = mx. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $Q_m$ .

#### Example ( $\S3.3$ Example 6)

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by reflection in the line y = mx. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $Q_m$ .

The matrix that induces  $Q_m$  is

$$A = \frac{1}{1+m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - m^2 & 2m \\ 2m & m^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$  is a 1-eigenvector of A. The reason for this:  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$  lies in the line y = mx, and hence

$$Q_m \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$$
, implying that  $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ 

More generally, any vector  $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ km \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , lies in the line y = mxand is an eigenvector of A. The perpendicular vector  $\begin{bmatrix} -m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is reflected directly across the line and is thus also an eigenvector for A with eigenvalue -1.

# Example (§3.3 Example 7)

Let  $\theta$  be a real number, and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  rotation through an angle of  $\theta$ , induced by the matrix

$$\mathsf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

.

Find the eigenvalues of A.

# Example (§3.3 Example 7)

Let  $\theta$  be a real number, and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  rotation through an angle of  $\theta$ , induced by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvalues of A.

A has no real eigenvectors unless  $\theta$  is an integer multiple of  $\pi$   $(\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \pm 3\pi, \ldots)$  since for other values of  $\theta$  there are no invariant lines.

# **Diagonal Matrices**

**Notation.** An  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is written diag $(a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_{n-1}, a_n)$ .

**Recall** that if A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and P is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix so that  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal, then P is called a diagonalizing matrix of A, and A is diagonalizable.

#### Theorem ( $\S3.3$ Theorem 4 & 5 & 6)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

 A is diagonalizable if and only if it has eigenvectors x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> so that

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible. This occurs precisely when the total number of basic eigenvectors equals n.

$$P^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of A corresponding to the eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , i.e.,  $A\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$ .

If all of the eigenvalues of A are distinct then A is diagonalizable.

•

# Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ has eigenvalues and basic eigenvectors}$$
$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_3 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonalize A.

# Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 has eigenvalues and basic eigenvectors  
$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_3 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonalize A.

Let 
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \mathbf{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. By Theorem 4 and 5,

$$P^{-1}AP = ext{diag}(3,2,1) = egin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

.

# **Note.** It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.

# Example Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is A diagonalizable?

# **Note.** It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.

#### Example

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Is  $A$  diagonalizable?  
Then

$$c_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = egin{bmatrix} x-1 & 2 & -3 \ -2 & x-6 & 6 \ -1 & -2 & x+1 \end{bmatrix} = \cdots = (x-2)^3.$$

A has only one eigenvalue,  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , with multiplicity three.

To find the 2-eigenvectors of A, solve the system  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = 0$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The general solution in parametric form is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2s + 3t \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since the system has only two basic solutions, there are only two basic eigenvectors, implying that the matrix A is not diagonalizable.

## Example

Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Example

Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$c_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & x - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x + 3 \end{vmatrix} = (x - 1)^2 (x + 3).$$

A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1=1$  of multiplicity two;  $\lambda_2=-3$  of multiplicity one.

Eigenvectors for 
$$\lambda_1 = 1$$
: solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = 0$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Eigenvectors for 
$$\lambda_2 = -3$$
: solve  $(-3I - A)\mathbf{x} = 0$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Let

$$\mathsf{P} = egin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then P is invertible, and

$$P^{-1}AP = diag(-3, 1, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Example

Show that 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is not diagonalizable.

# Example

Show that 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is not diagonalizable.  
First,

$$c_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x-1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & x-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-2 \end{vmatrix} = (x-1)^2(x-2),$$

so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$  of multiplicity two;  $\lambda_2 = 2$  (of multiplicity one).

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = 0$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Therefore,  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\lambda_1 = 1$  has multiplicity two, but has only one basic eigenvector, A is not diagonalizable.







Geometric Interpretation



2 Diagonalization