

# IDS.160 – Mathematical Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Approach

Lecturer: P RIGOLLET

Lecture 11

Scribe: MAGGIE MAKAR, ZIAO LIN

Mar. 12, 2020

**Goals:** In this lecture we will focus on *Principal component analysis* (PCA), where the task is to project a high dimensional vector  $X$  onto a low dimensional space. At the crux of PCA is studying  $\Sigma$ , the covariance matrix of  $X$ .

We assume that the true  $\Sigma$  follows a spiked covariance model. We consider the empirical estimator  $\hat{\Sigma}$ , and quantify how close it is to the true  $\Sigma$  in terms of  $\Sigma$ 's eigenspace and dimension as well as number of samples. Our analysis will rely on the Davis-Kahan theorem from the previous 2 lectures.

## 1. SPIKED COVARIANCE MODEL

Consider the following problem. Suppose we observe some data  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma)$ . We want to consider some model that allows us to uncover a low dimensional space in which  $X$  lies (e.g., for visualization purposes). Specifically, we will consider a linear structure where we take a vector  $v \in R^d$ . The expectation of the observed matrix  $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^T \in R^{n \times d}$  would be represented as  $E[X] = Yv$ , where  $Y = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n]^T \in R^{n \times 1}$  and  $y_i \in R$ .

Realistically, we would not observe perfectly aligned points. Instead, data is typically corrupted by some noise in the full  $d$  dimension. We denote the noise by  $Z$  and assume that  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ , with  $Z \perp Y$ . So we can represent the observed  $X_i = Y_i v + Z_i$ . Because  $Y_i$ , and  $Z_i$  might not be on the same scale, we introduce a tuning parameter  $\sqrt{\theta}$  for some  $\theta > 0$ , and we say that  $X_i = \sqrt{\theta} Y_i v + Z_i$ . We also assume that  $v$  has been normalized, i.e.  $|v|_2 = 1$ . Since  $Z \perp Y$ , we have that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  based on a linear transformation of a multivariate random vector also has a multivariate normal distribution, with

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \mathbb{E}[X_i X_i^T] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\sqrt{\theta} Y_i v + Z_i)(\sqrt{\theta} Y_i v + Z_i)^T] \\ &= \theta \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2] v v^T + \mathbb{E}[Z_i Z_i^T] \\ &= \theta v v^T + I_d\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i^2] = 1$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[Z_i Z_i^T] = I_d$ . When  $|v|_2$  is fixed to be = 1, this model is referred to as the *spiked covariance model*. Under the spiked covariance model, we can claim the following:

**Claim:**  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $\Sigma$ .

This is because  $\Sigma v = \theta(v^T v)v + I_d v = (1 + \theta)v$ . We also have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{|u|_2=1} u^T \Sigma u \\ &= \theta(u^T v)^2 + 1 \\ &= v^T v,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that this quantity is maximized when  $u$ , and  $v$  are aligned. Knowing that  $\forall u \perp v, u^\top \Sigma u = 1 < 1 + \theta$ . This identifies all our eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 = (1 + \theta) \geq \lambda_2 = 1 \geq \lambda_3 = 1 \dots \lambda_d = 1$$

## 2. ESTIMATING $\Sigma$

We will take the empirical covariance estimate,

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^\top$$

to be an estimator for  $\Sigma$ . By LLN, we have that this is a consistent estimator. We know that the largest eigenvector is  $v$  and the associated eigenvalue is  $\lambda_1$ . So if we want to identify what  $v$  is, we can apply Davis-Kahan:

$$|\sin(\angle(\hat{v}, v))| \leq \frac{2\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \frac{2\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op}}{\theta}$$

where  $\hat{v}$  is the leading eigenvector of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ . This tells us that the norm we need to control in order to do PCA is the operator norm. Note that even if  $\hat{\Sigma}$  and  $\Sigma$  is positive semidefinite since they are real symmetric matrices, the difference  $E = \hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma$  in general is not guaranteed to be positive semidefinite. Thus we cannot directly apply the leading eigenvector  $u_1$  into  $u_1^\top E u_1$  to get operator norm. We will instead move on to control this operator norm using  $\varepsilon$ -Nets.

Let  $E := \|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op}$ . We have that:

$$E_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(j)} X_i^{(k)} - \mathbb{E}[X_i^{(j)} X_i^{(k)}]$$

Using the definition of the operator norm (see lecture 8, expression 3.30) and a previous result (see lecture 9, proof of Lemma), we have that:

$$\|E\|_{op} \leq 2 \max_{x \in \mathcal{N}_d, y \in \mathcal{N}_d} x^\top (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) y,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_d$  is the  $\frac{1}{4}$ -net of  $B_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and we can control  $|\mathcal{N}_d|$  to get  $|\mathcal{N}_d| \leq 9^d$ . We have that:

$$x^\top (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i) - \mathbb{E}[(x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i)]. \tag{2.1}$$

It turns out that the distribution of this variable is subexponential. To see that note that:

$$x^\top X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, x^\top \Sigma x).$$

If we take  $\|x\|_2 \leq 1$ , we have that  $x^\top \Sigma x \leq \|\Sigma\|_{op}$ , we have that

$$x^\top X_i \sim \text{subG}(\|\Sigma\|_{op}).$$

Since the term 2.1 includes a product of 2 subGaussian variables, it is subExponential, which means that we will likely use Brenstien's inequality. To use Brenstien's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i) - \mathbb{E}[(x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i)] \|_{\varphi_1} \\ & \leq \| (x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i) \|_{\varphi_1} + \| \mathbb{E}[(x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i)] \|_{\varphi_1} \\ & \leq \| (x^\top X_i) \|_{\varphi_2} \| (y^\top X_i) \|_{\varphi_2} + \| (x^\top X_i) \|_{\varphi_2} \| (y^\top X_i) \|_{\varphi_2} \\ & \leq 2\sqrt{\|\Sigma\|_{op}} \sqrt{\|\Sigma\|_{op}} \\ & \leq 2\|\Sigma\|_{op}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from triangle inequality, and the second inequality is an application of Jensen's inequality due to the convexity of  $\varphi_1$ -norm plus the inequality s.t.  $\|xy\|_{\varphi_1} \leq \|x\|_{\varphi_2} \|y\|_{\varphi_2}$ . The third inequality follows from the property of subGaussian variables. We can now apply Bernstein:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i) - \mathbb{E}[(x^\top X_i)(y^\top X_i)] > t\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{x,y} \exp\left(-Cn \left(\frac{t^2}{\|\Sigma\|_{op}^2} \wedge \frac{t}{\|\Sigma\|_{op}}\right)\right) \\ & \leq 9^{2d} \exp\left(-Cn \left(\frac{t^2}{\|\Sigma\|_{op}^2} \wedge \frac{t}{\|\Sigma\|_{op}}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C$ . And the second inequality follows from the fact that the terms in the sum do not depend on  $x, y$ .

Now let's denote the desired threshold to be  $\delta$ , then resolve the above inequality we will get:  $t \leq C\|\Sigma\|_{op} \left[\sqrt{\frac{d+\lg(1/\delta)}{n}} + \frac{d+\lg(1/\delta)}{n}\right]$  for some constant  $C$ . Then we can hopefully control  $\|E\|_{op} \leq C\|\Sigma\|_{op} \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$  for some constant  $C$ . Plug in the results back to Davis-Kahan, we eventually get a bound on the difference in angle between the two leading eigenvectors  $\hat{v}$  and  $v$ :

$$|\sin(\angle(\hat{v}, v))| \leq C \frac{1+\theta}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

The result can be generalized to multiple spiked model with some scaling factor proportional to the square root of number of spikes.

### 3. SPARSE PCA

A slightly different model that could have generated  $\Sigma$  is known as the *sparse spiked model*. In this model  $v$  is assumed to be sparse. Consider the example where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The spiked

covariance model assumes that  $v_1, v_2$  are a linear combination of possibly all the dimensions in the original space. Instead, the sparse spiked covariance matrix assumes that  $v_1, v_2$  are a linear combination of a small subset of cardinality  $s$  contribute to the principle directions  $v_1, v_2$ . In that case, we would want to include a sparsity constraint when estimating  $\hat{v}_1, \hat{v}_2$ . The estimator becomes:

$$\hat{v} = \max_{|u|_2=1, u \in B_0(s)} u^\top \hat{\Sigma} u.$$

Because we're considering  $B_0$  in the constraint, this problem is computationally very expensive. Significant research has been done to find efficient ways to solve this problem (e.g., convex relaxations, ScoTLASS)

**Summary:** By applying Davis-Kahan theorem, we derive a upper bound on the difference in angle between the two leading eigenvectors in sample covariance estimator  $\hat{\Sigma}$  and the truth covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  in *Principal component analysis* (PCA). The results and methods used here are generalizable to multiple spiked model.