

# 18.199 Lecture on Čech Cohomology

Alain Kangabire

March 14, 2024

## 1 Introduction

In this lecture, we define the Čech Cohomology of a topological space  $X$ , and if time permitting, the relationship between Čech and with other types of cohomology. The content of this lecture is drawn from Chapter 2 of Bott and Lu [BL].

## 2 Presheaf on a topological space

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

**Definition 2.1.** A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a topological space  $X$  is a function that assigns to each open set  $U$  of  $X$  an abelian group  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  and to each inclusion of open subsets  $i_{V \rightarrow U} : V \rightarrow U$  a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U}) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V),$$

called a restriction, such that

1.  $\mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow V})$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ , and
2.  $\mathcal{F}(i_{W \rightarrow V}) \mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U}) = \mathcal{F}(i_{W \rightarrow U})$  if we have the inclusions  $W \rightarrow V \rightarrow U$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $U \subset X$  be open, and denote by  $\Omega^*(U)$  the space of differential forms on  $U$ . If for each inclusion of open sets  $i_{V \rightarrow U} : V \rightarrow U$  we associate the usual restriction of differential forms  $|_V = \Omega^*(i_{V \rightarrow U})$ , then  $\Omega^*$  is a presheaf on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.**  $\mathcal{F}$  is a constant presheaf with group  $G$  if for each open set  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(U) = G$  and for each restriction of open sets  $i_{V \rightarrow U}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U})$  is the identity map on  $G$ .

Given two presheafs  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , a homomorphism between them is defined as:

**Definition 2.3.** A homomorphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  between two presheafs  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  on a topological space  $X$  is a collection of maps  $f_U$ , for  $U \subset X$  open, such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{f_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}(i_{V \rightarrow U}) \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{f_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

### 3 Čech cohomology with values in a presheaf

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be an open cover of the topological space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a presheaf on  $X$ .

For  $q \geq 0$ , define the following direct product of abelian groups

$$C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_q} \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_j \in I$  and  $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q} = U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_q}$  is a non-empty intersection. Notice that  $C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is an abelian group with component-wise group operations.

**Definition 3.1.** A  $q$ -cochain is an element of  $C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  (1).

Let  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  be a  $q$ -cochain. Below, we introduce a few notations to make the discussion going forward easy to follow.

1. We use  $\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q}$  to represent the component of  $\omega$  corresponding to the intersection  $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q}$ , that is  $\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q})$
2.  $\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_q$  means that the index  $\alpha_j$  is omitted.
3.  $\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_q} |_j = \mathcal{F}(i_{U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_q} \rightarrow U_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_q}})(\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_q})$ .

We define  $\delta : C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  which for  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$(\delta\omega)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{q+1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} (-1)^i \omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{q+1}} |_i \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *The cochain*

$$C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

*is a cochain complex, that is  $\delta^2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta^2 \omega)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{q+2}} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q+2} (-1)^j (\delta \omega)_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_{q+2}} \big|_j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{q+2} \left( \sum_{l < j} (-1)^{j+l} (\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_l \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_{q+2}} \big|_l) \big|_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j < l} (-1)^{j+l-1} (\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \hat{\alpha}_l \dots \alpha_{q+2}} \big|_l) \big|_j \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is true because

$$(\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \hat{\alpha}_l \dots \alpha_{q+2}} \big|_l) \big|_j = (\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_l \dots \hat{\alpha}_j \dots \alpha_{q+2}} \big|_l) \big|_j.$$

□

Define for  $q \geq 1$  the quotient group

$$\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \ker(\delta : C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) / \text{Im}(\delta : C^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) \quad (3)$$

where  $\ker$  and  $\text{Im}$  refer to the kernel and image respectively.

**Definition 3.2.**  $\check{H}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ , with  $\check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  given by (3), is called the Čech cohomology of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  with values in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Eventually, we want to get to a definition of the Čech cohomology that is independent of the open cover. This leads us to discussing refinements.

**Definition 3.3.** An open cover  $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  if there is a map

$$\phi : J \rightarrow I$$

such that  $\forall \beta \in J, V_\beta \subset U_{\phi(\beta)}$

The refinement map  $\phi$  induces a map

$$\phi^\# : C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^q(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$$

which maps  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  to

$$(\phi^\# \omega)_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_q} = \mathcal{F} \left( i_{V_{\beta_0} \dots \beta_q \rightarrow U_{\phi(\beta_0)} \dots \phi(\beta_q)} \right) (\omega_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_q)}) \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** The map  $\phi^\#$  (4) is a chain map, that is it commutes with  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the computation below. Let  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\phi^\#\omega)_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q+1}} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q+1} (-1)^j (\phi^\#\omega)_{\beta_0 \dots \hat{\beta}_j \dots \beta_{q+1}} \Big|_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{q+1} (-1)^j \mathcal{F} \left( i_{V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q+1}} \rightarrow U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \widehat{\phi(\beta_j)} \dots \phi(\beta_q)}} \right) \left( \omega_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \widehat{\phi(\beta_j)} \dots \phi(\beta_q)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of  $\phi^\#$  (4) and the composition formula for restrictions  $\mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U})$ . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^\#\delta\omega)_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q+1}} &= \mathcal{F} \left( i_{V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q+1}} \rightarrow U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_{q+1})}} \right) ((\delta\omega)_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_{q+1})}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{q+1} (-1)^j \mathcal{F} \left( i_{V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q+1}} \rightarrow U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \widehat{\phi(\beta_j)} \dots \phi(\beta_q)}} \right) \left( \omega_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \widehat{\phi(\beta_j)} \dots \phi(\beta_q)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of  $\delta$  (2) and the composition of the restriction maps  $\mathcal{F}(i_{V \rightarrow U})$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.1 tells us that

$$\phi^\# : \check{H}^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^q(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$$

is a map on the cohomology. The next lemma says that if we have another refinement map  $\psi : J \rightarrow I$ , then the induced maps  $\phi^\#$  and  $\psi^\#$  are the same map on the cohomology chain.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$  is a refinement of the cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  with refinement maps  $\phi, \psi : J \rightarrow I$ . Then there exists a homotopy operator  $K : C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{q-1}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , between  $\phi^\#$  and  $\psi^\#$ , that is*

$$\phi^\# - \psi^\# = \delta K + K\delta$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} (K\omega)_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q-1}} &= \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (-1)^j \mathcal{F} \left( i_{V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{q-1}} \rightarrow U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_j) \psi(\beta_j) \dots \psi(\beta_{q-1})}} \right) \left( \omega_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_j) \psi(\beta_j) \dots \psi(\beta_{q-1})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

A computation shows that  $\delta K + K\delta = \psi^\# - \phi^\#$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** A directed set  $A$  is a non-empty set with a reflexive and transitive binary operation  $\leq$  such that for any two pairs  $a, b \in A$ , there exist  $c \in A$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ .

Notice that the set of open covers  $\mathcal{U}$  is a directed set with respect to the binary operation of refinement, that is,  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{B}$  means  $\mathcal{B}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** A directed system of group is a collection of group  $\{G_i\}_I$  indexed by a directed set such that for any pair  $a \leq b$  in  $I$  there is a group homomorphism

$$f_b^a : G_a \rightarrow G_b$$

satisfying

1.  $f_a^a$  is the identity on  $G_a$ , and
2.  $f_c^a = f_c^b \circ f_b^a$  for any  $a \leq b \leq c$

Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 imply that the collection of groups  $\{\check{H}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is a directed system of groups under binary operation of refinement. The group homomorphisms  $f_b^a$  in the definition (3.5) are given by  $\phi^\#$  (4), which is induced by a refinement map  $\phi$ .

For a directed system of groups  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ , we can define what is called a direct limit of a direct system. Let  $\amalg G_i$  be the disjoint union of the  $G_i$ . Define an equivalence  $\sim$  where for  $g_a, g_b \in \amalg G_i$

$$g_a \sim g_b \iff f_c^a(g_a) = f_c^b(g_b) \quad (5)$$

for some upper bound  $c$  of  $a$  and  $b$ . With this equivalence relation, we define

**Definition 3.6.** The direct limit of a directed system of groups  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  is

$$\lim_{i \in I} G_i = \amalg G_i / \sim \quad (6)$$

where  $\sim$  is defined is the equivalence relation defined by (5)

We make the direct limit  $\lim_{i \in I} G_i$  a group by defining

$$[g_a] + [g_b] = [f_c^a(g_a) + f_c^b(g_b)] \quad (7)$$

where  $[g_a]$  is the equivalence class of  $g_a$  and  $c$  some upper bound of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Now we are ready to define the Čech cohomology that is independent of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 3.7.** The Čech cohomology of a topological space  $X$  with values in a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\check{H}^*(X, \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \quad (8)$$

where  $\check{H}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is defined in 3.2.

## 4 Čech cohomology with coefficients in an abelian group

We start by defining a sheaf. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Suppose  $U \subset X$  is open and  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is an open cover for  $U$  with  $U_\alpha \subset U$  for all  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is a presheaf on  $X$  that satisfies two additional properties:

1. Locality: For  $s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(i_{U_\alpha \rightarrow U})(s) = \mathcal{F}(i_{U_\alpha \rightarrow U})(t) \quad \forall \alpha \implies s = t$$

2. Gluing: If  $\{s_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is such that  $\mathcal{F}(i_{U_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} \rightarrow U_{\alpha_0}})(s_{\alpha_0}) = \mathcal{F}(i_{U_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} \rightarrow U_{\alpha_1}})(s_{\alpha_1})$  on the intersections  $U_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} = U_{\alpha_0} \cap U_{\alpha_1}$ , then there exist  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(i_{U_\alpha \rightarrow U})(s) = s_\alpha$ .

Given an abelian group  $A$ , we set  $\mathcal{F}_A$  to be the constant sheaf on  $X$  associated to  $A$ , that is, the sheaf of locally constant functions on  $X$  with values in  $A$ . Recall that a function  $f$  is locally constant at  $x \in X$  if there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $U$ .  $f$  is locally constant on  $X$  if  $f$  is locally constant at every point  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 4.2.**  $\check{H}^*(X; A) = \check{H}^*(X, \mathcal{F}_A)$  is the Čech Cohomology of  $X$  with coefficients in an abelian group  $A$ .  $\mathcal{F}_A$  is the constant sheaf on  $X$  associated to  $A$  and  $\check{H}^*(X, \mathcal{F}_A)$  is specified in definition 3.7.

## References

- [BL] Raoul Bott, Loring W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer (1982)