

18.155 LECTURE 13
25 OCTOBER 2016

RICHARD MELROSE

ABSTRACT. Notes before then and after lecture.

Read: Notes Chapter 2, section 2.

1. BEFORE LECTURE

- Spectrum and resolvent set of a bounded operator.
- Resolvent set is open and the resolvent is holomorphic.
- Spectrum of a compact operator
- Self-adjoint operators have real spectrum
- If $A^* = A$ then $\|A\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle Au, u \rangle|$.
- If $A^* = A$ then

$$\{\alpha\} \cup \{\beta\} \subset \text{Spec}(A) \subset [\alpha, \beta]$$

$$\alpha = \inf_{\|u\|=1} \langle Au, u \rangle, \quad \beta = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \langle Au, u \rangle.$$

Proof: Replace A by $A - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)$ which is self-adjoint and has $\beta = -\alpha = \|A\|$. There is a sequence u_n , $\|u_n\| = 1$, $\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow -\|A\|$. Then

$$\|(A + \|A\| \text{Id})u_n\| = \|Au_n\|^2 + 2\|A\|\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle + \|A\|^2\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

which implies that $(A + \|A\|)^{-1}$ cannot exist and similarly for $A - \|A\|$.

- Functional calculus via Stone-Weierstrass
- Polar decomposition
- I got to about here
- Spectral projection and measure. Riemann-Stieltjes
- Spectral decomposition for a compact self-adjoint operator
- Hilbert-Schmidt and trace class operators.

2. AFTER LECTURE

I think I skipped a bit of the proof of the polar decomposition of a general bounded operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ which says

$$(1) \quad B = UA, \quad A = A^* \geq 0, \quad U^*U = \text{Id} - \Pi_{(\text{Ran } B)^\perp}, \quad UU^* = \Pi_{(\text{Ran } A)^\perp}$$

so U is a ‘partial isometry’, which is to say an inner-product preserving linear map in this case between $\overline{\text{Ran}(A)}$ and $\overline{\text{Ran}(B)}$ which is zero on $\text{Ran}(A)^\perp$.

The main step is to define $A = (B^*B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ using the fact that $\text{Spec}(B^*B) \subset [0, \infty)$ on which $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a continuous function. Then define $U : \text{Ran}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(B)$ by

$$(2) \quad Ug = Bf \text{ if } g = Af.$$

We first need to check that this makes sense, of course if $g \in \text{Ran}(A)$ then f exists but the problem is that it may not be unique. However if f' is another choice then

$$\begin{aligned} A(f' - f) = 0 &\implies (B^*B)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f' - f) = 0 \\ &\implies (B^*B)(f' - f) = 0 \implies \|B(f' - f)\| = 0 \implies Bf' = Bf \end{aligned}$$

so U is well-defined. Also for $g \in \text{Ran}(A)$,

$$\|Ug\|^2 = \langle Bf, Bf \rangle = \langle B^*Bf, f \rangle = \|Af\|^2$$

so U is norm-preserving from $\text{Ran}(A)$ to $\text{Ran}(B)$. It can then be extended by continuity to $\overline{\text{Ran}(A)}$ and defined to be zero on $\text{Ran}(A)^\perp$. It follows that U is a partial isometry and that $B = UA$.

If $B \in \text{GL}(H)$ is invertible then B^*B has spectrum in $(0, \infty)$ and the same is true of A which is therefore invertible and U is actually unitary since $\text{Ran}(A) = \text{Ran}(B) = H$. This allows one to show that $\text{GL}(H)$ is connected to $U(H)$ by the curve

$$(3) \quad B_t = U(t(B^*B)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-t)\text{Id}), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad B_1 = B, \quad B_0 = U$$

lying in $\text{GL}(H)$. In fact this gives a retraction $\text{GL}(H) \ni B \rightarrow U = B(B^*B)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ continuous in the norm topology. To see this we need to show that $B \mapsto (B^*B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is continuous in the norm topology. That is most easily done using the holomorphic functional calculus which I will mention next time.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
E-mail address: rbm@math.mit.edu