## Rudin:

## (1) Chapter 2, Problem 6

Done in class on Thursday September 26. Here  $E \subset X$  is a subset of a metric space and E' is the set of limit points, in X, of E.

- (a) Prove that E' is closed.
  - If  $p \in X$  is a limit point of E' then for each r > 0,  $B(p,r) \cap E' \ni q$  is not empty. Since q is a limit point of E and r d(p,q) > 0,  $B(q,r-d(p,q)) \cap E$  is an infinite set. By the triangle inequality,  $B(q,r-d(p,q)) \subset B(p,r)$  so  $B(p,r) \cap E$  is also infinite and p is therefore a limit point of E, i.e.  $p \in E'$ . Thus E' contains each of its limit points and it is therefore closed.
- (b) Prove that E and  $\overline{E}$  have the same limit points. If p is a limit point of E then it is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$  since  $E \subset \overline{E}$ . If p is a limit point of  $\overline{E}$  then  $B(p,\frac{1}{n})\cap (E\setminus\{0\})$  decreases with n; either it is infinite for all n or it is empty for large n. We show that the second case cannot occur. Indeed this would imply that  $B(p,\frac{1}{n})\cap (E'\setminus\{p\})$  is infinite for all n and hence that p is a limit point of E'; by the preceding result it is then a limit point of E contradicting the assumption that it is not. Thus a limit point of E is a limit point of E.
- (c) Do E and E' have the same limit points? No, not in general. A limit point of E' must be a limit point of E but the converse need not be true. For example consider  $E = \{1/n \in \mathbb{R}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This has a single limit point, 0 so  $E' = \{0\}$  has no limit points at all.

## (2) Chapter 2, Problem 8

- (a) Is every point of every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a limit point of E? Yes. If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is open then  $B(p,r) \subset E$  for some r' > 0 and all 0 < r < r'. Since  $B(p,r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is infinite if r > 0 it follows that p is a limit point of E.
- (b) Same question for E closed? No, not in general. For instance the set containing a single point  $\{0\}$  is closed but has no limit points.
- (3) Chapter 2, Problem 9.

Let  $E^{\circ}$  denote all the interior points of  $E \subset X$ , meaning that  $p \in E^{\circ}$  if  $B(p,r) \subset E$  for some r > 0.

- (a) Prove that  $E^{\circ}$  is always open If  $p \in E^{\circ}$  then  $B(p,r) \subset E$  for some r > 0 and if  $q \in B(p,r)$  then, by the triangle inequality,  $B(q,r-d(p,q)) \subset E$  so  $B(p,r) \subset E^{\circ}$  and hence  $E^{\circ}$  is open.
- (b) Prove that E is open if and only if  $E = E^{\circ}$ . Certainly if E is open then  $E = E^{\circ}$  since for each  $p \in E$  there exists r > 0 such that  $B(p,r) \subset E$ . Conversely if  $E^{\circ} = E$  then this holds for each  $p \in E$  so E is open.
- (c) If  $G \subset E$  and G is open, prove that  $G \subset E^{\circ}$ . If  $G \subset E$  is open then for each  $p \in G$  there exists r > 0 such that  $B(p,r) \subset G$ , hence  $B(p,r) \subset E$  so  $p \in E^{\circ}$  and it follows that  $G \subset E^{\circ}$ .

(d) Prove that the complement of  $E^{\circ}$  is the closure of the complement of E.

The complement  $(E^{\circ})^{\complement}$  consists of the points  $p \in E$  such that  $B(p,r) \cap E^{\complement} \neq \emptyset$  for all r > 0. Since  $p \notin E^{\complement}$  this implies that p is a limit point of  $E^{\complement}$  so

$$(E^{\circ})^{\complement} \subset \overline{E^{\complement}}.$$

Conversely if  $p \in \overline{E^{\complement}}$  then, by Problem 6 above, either  $p \in E^{\complement}$  or  $p \in (E^{\complement})'$  (or both). In the first case certainly  $p \in (E^{\circ})^{\complement}$ , since  $E^{\circ} \subset E$ . So we may assume  $p \in E$ , i.e.  $p \notin E^{\complement}$ , and  $p \in (E^{\complement})'$ . Then for each r > 0  $B(p,r) \cap (E^{\complement}) \neq \emptyset$  (since p is a limit point not in the set) and this means B(p,r) is NOT a subset of E for any r > 0, hence  $p \notin E^{\circ}$ . Thus  $\overline{E^{\complement}} \subset (E^{\circ})^{\complement}$  and these two sets are therefore equal.

- (e) Do E and  $\overline{E}$  have the same interiors? Not necessarily. For instance  $(0,1) \cup (1,2) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open, so equal to its interior, but its closure is [0,2] with interior (0,2) which contains the extra point 1. It is always the case that  $E^{\circ} \subset (\overline{E})^{\circ}$ .
- (f) Do E and  $E^{\circ}$  have the same closures? Again in general no. For example if  $E = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  its interior is empty but it is closed and non-empty. Clearly the closure of E contains the closure of  $E^{\circ}$ .
- (4) Chapter 2, Problem 11.
  - (a)  $d_1$  is not a metric since for the three points 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{4}$

$$\frac{1}{4} = (0 - \frac{1}{2})^2 > (0 - \frac{1}{4})^2 + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

(b)  $d_2$  is a metric. It satisfies the first two axioms trivially. To see the triangle inequality first note that

$$|x - y| < |x - z| + |z - y|$$

for any three real numbers. Taking square-roots of both sides (using the montonicity of  $\sqrt{\ }$  ) we find

$$d_2(x,y) = \sqrt{|x-y|} \le \sqrt{|x-z| + |z-y|}$$
$$= \sqrt{(d_2(x,z))^2 + (d_2(z,y)^2)^2} \le d_2(x,z) + d_2(z,y)$$

by the usual triangle inequality.

- (c)  $d_3$  is not a metric since  $d_3(x, -x) = 0$  for all x.
- (d)  $d_4$  is not a metric since  $d_4(1,2) \neq d_4(2,1)$ .
- (e)  $d_5$  is a metric. Certainly it is symmetric and  $d_5(x,y) = 0$  implies |x-y| = 0 and hence x = y. To get the triangle inequality we need to find the sign of

$$\frac{|x-z|}{1+|x-z|} + \frac{|y-z|}{1+|y-z|} - \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}.$$

Multplying by the product of the demoninators (which are all strictly positive) this is the same as the sign of

$$\begin{split} (1+|x-y|)(1+|y-z|)|x-z| + (1+|x-y|)(1+|x-z|)|y-z| \\ &- (1+|x-z|)(1+|y-z|)|x-y| \\ &= |x-y||y-z||x-z| + 2|y-z||x-z| + (|x-z|+|y-z|-|x-y|) \end{split}$$

All three terms here are non-negative, the last being the triangle inequality. Thus  $d_5$  does also satisfy the triangle inequality.

Remark: If d(x, y) is a metric then so is

$$\frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}.$$

The proof in general essentially the same.