

**BRIEF NOTES FOR AND AFTER 18.155 LECTURE 2**  
**12 SEPTEMBER 2017**

RICHARD MELROSE

- The topology of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continued.
  - Continuity in terms of the norms
  - Definition of  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
  - Embedding of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
  - Operations on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
  - $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- The Fourier transform is an isomorphism on Schwartz space of test functions
  - will be completed on Thursday.
  - For  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}\phi = \hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \phi(x) dx.$$

$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (bounded continuous functions)  
 Continuity of FT as a map on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$(2) \quad \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{\phi} = -i \widehat{x_j \phi}, \quad \xi_j \hat{\phi} = -i \widehat{\partial_{x_j} \phi}$$

I messed up the proof of this in class – it is in the lecture notes but here is the argument a little more cleanly:- By the FTC

$$(3) \quad e^{-ix_i s} - 1 = \int_0^{x_i} \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-its}\right) dt = -is \int_0^{x_i} e^{-its} dt$$

(even for  $x_i < 0$ ) so

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{e^{-ix_i s} - 1}{s} \right| \leq \left| \int_0^{x_i} \right| \leq |x_i|$$

This means that that if  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (in fact if  $(1 + |x|)u \in L^1$ )

$$(5) \quad \frac{e^{-ix \cdot (\xi + se_i)} - e^{-ix \cdot \xi}}{s} u(x) \rightarrow -ix_i u(x)$$

converges points wise and is bounded by  $(1 + |x_i|)|u(x)|$  so by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence

$$(6) \quad \frac{\hat{u}(\xi + se_i) - \hat{u}(\xi)}{s} \rightarrow -i \widehat{x_i u(x)}$$

shows that  $\hat{u}$ , for  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is differentiable, and in fact that the partial derivatives are bounded and continuous. Repeating the argument it follows that if  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then all derivatives of  $\hat{u}$  exist and are bounded.

I gave the similar argument by integration by parts that  $\xi_i \hat{u} = -i \widehat{\partial_i u}$  if  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Combining the two it follows that

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Schwartz functions vanishing at zero  
Translations  
Inversion formula

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} = \text{Id}, \quad \mathcal{G}\phi(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n}(\hat{\phi})(-\xi)$$

Coming up:

- Fourier transform of tempered distributions
- Density of test functions in square-integrable functions
- Fourier transform of square-integrable functions
- Sobolev spaces

#### REFERENCES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
*E-mail address:* `rbm@math.mit.edu`