

18.155 LECTURE 18
14 NOVEMBER, 2017

RICHARD MELROSE

As we saw last week a linear differential operator with smooth coefficients on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1) \quad P(x, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} p_\alpha(x) D_x^\alpha, \quad p_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U),$$

has a ‘principal symbol’

$$(2) \quad P_m(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} p_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*U)$$

which is a well-defined function on the cotangent bundle – the symbol ‘transforms as a function’ on T^*U if you change coordinates.

What we are aiming to prove is elliptic regularity in open sets. The differential operator gives a map

$$(3) \quad P : \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U)$$

Theorem 1. *If P is elliptic in U , i.e. $P_m(x, \xi) \neq 0$ if $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} P(x, D_x) : \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U) \text{ and} \\ P(x, D_x)u \in H_{\text{loc}}^s(U) &\iff u \in H_{\text{loc}}^{s+m}(U) \end{aligned}$$

Let’s recall the constant coefficient case. Then $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p_m(\xi)$ really is a polynomial. We defined a distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$(5) \quad \hat{b} = a(\xi) = \frac{1 - \phi(\xi)}{p(\xi)}.$$

Here $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equal to 1 on a large set so that the denominator is non-zero on the complement, as is possible by ellipticity. Then we showed some nice things about b . In fact, what we did was ‘encapsulate’ some estimates satisfied by a into the definition of a space of ‘symbols’

$$(6) \quad a \in S^M(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \|a\|_{M,p} = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq p} \langle \xi \rangle^{-M+|\alpha|} |D^\alpha a(\xi)| < \infty.$$

This means any derivative $D_\xi^\alpha a$ has absolute value bounded by a constant multiple of $(1 + |\xi|)^{M-|\alpha|}$. As usual, the $\|a\|_{M,p}$ give a Fréchet topology to $S^M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ – it is a complete metric space.

Now, what we showed about b , as the inverse Fourier transform of an element of $S^M(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in this case for $M = -m$, is that it is singular only at the origin and is

the sum of a compactly supported distribution and an element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. That is,

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{G} : S^M(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi \equiv 1$ in $B(0, \epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$(1 - \chi)\mathcal{G} : S^M(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

are continuous maps. Thus each $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm on $(1 - \chi)b$ is bounded by a multiple of some $\|a\|_{M,p}$.

Then we looked at the operator defined by convolution with b . The decay of b means that convolution with any element of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is well-defined and

$$(8) \quad P(b * f) = f + E * f, \quad b * (Pu) = u + E * u, \quad E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From this and a little playing with localization, namely showing that $b*$ is a ‘pseudolocal operator’

$$(9) \quad \text{singsupp}(b * u) \subset \text{singsupp}(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

the result (4) follows – this is the constant coefficient case.

So, how to generalize this; it will take us a little while.

First we can see that it is enough to work near a given point in U . We want to escape the problems related to the open set U and get back to that at the end. So, take $p \in U$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ which is supported very close to p and equal to 1 in a slightly smaller neighborhood of p . Then look at

$$(10) \quad P'(x, D) = \chi P(x, D) + (1 - \chi)P(p, D).$$

This has smooth coefficients which are constant outside a compact set and it is equal as an operator to $P(x, D)$ when applied to functions supported sufficiently close to p . Moreover if the support of χ is sufficiently small

$$P'(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} p'_\alpha(x) D^\alpha \text{ is elliptic globally.}$$

So we will proceed to discuss regularity for $P'(x, D)$ and then come back to $P(x, D)$ itself afterwards. I will drop the ‘prime’ and for the moment consider

$$(11) \quad P(x, D) = P_\infty(D) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} q_\alpha(x) D^\alpha, \quad q_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which certainly includes $P'(x, D)$. We will assume that P is elliptic, which implies that the constant coefficient operator at infinity, $P_\infty(D)$, is also elliptic.

This means that we actually have uniform ellipticity, that there is actually a constant C and a positive constant c such that

$$(12) \quad |p(x, \xi)| \geq c|\xi|^m \text{ in } |\xi| \geq C.$$

So, we can just use one cut-off $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to excise the zeros and look at the smooth function

$$(13) \quad a(x, \xi) = \frac{1 - \chi(\xi)}{p(x, \xi)}.$$

You could just use the principal part here in place of the whole ‘characteristic polynomial’ but let me follow the construction in the constant coefficient case closely. Clearly

$$(14) \quad p(x, \xi)a(x, \xi) = 1 - \chi(\xi)$$

as before.

Now, the idea is to ‘quantize’ a into an operator $a(x, D)$, generalizing the relationship between $p(x, D)$ and $p(x, \xi)$. Before doing that, let’s notice that a is a ‘variable coefficient symbol’ as one might expect. In fact this is just called a symbol anyway. We know what happens when we differentiate with respect to ξ and the same inductive argument really applies to derivatives with respect to x .

Lemma 1. *The function a in (13) satisfies*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= a_\infty + \tilde{a}, \quad a_\infty \in S^M(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{a}(x, \xi)| &\leq C_{N, \alpha, \beta} \langle x \rangle^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle^{M-|\beta|}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad N, M = -m. \end{aligned}$$

In fact in the case at hand, \tilde{a} has compact support in x so the decay in x is trivial and all we are saying is that derivatives with respect to x do not affect the decay in ξ .

Another way of describing these estimates is that

$$(16) \quad a \in S^M(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x^n; S^M(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad M = -m.$$

This is how we will think about it in fact, that the variable part is just a ‘symbol valued Schwartz function’.

Now, we want to turn $a(x, \xi)$ into an operator in a way which is consistent with how $p(x, \xi)$ is related to $P(x, D)$ and in the constant coefficient case to how $a(\xi)$ is related to $b^* = a(D)$. The way we have written out differential operators is with ‘coefficients on the left’ – first differentiate and then multipl. For a product of a function and a constant coefficient symbol this clearly means

$$(17) \quad f(x)a(\xi) \mapsto f(x)b(y-x), \quad \hat{b} = a.$$

We can do this in general:-

Proposition 1. *The partial Fourier transform*

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{F}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \ni b(x, z) \mapsto a(x, \zeta), \quad \mathcal{F}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b = a(x, \zeta) = \int e^{-iz \cdot \zeta} b(x, z) dz$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ which extends to an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Since the Schwartz Kernel Theorem tells us that operators $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with elements of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ we can certainly get this sort of operator by taking the partial inverse Fourier transform

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} a(x, \xi) &\mapsto b(x, y-x), \quad \mathcal{F}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b(x, z) = a(x, \zeta) \\ b(x, x-z) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i(y-x) \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

where the second formulation is more poetic perhaps, but of course it is fine on Schwartz functions.

Definition 1. A pseudodifferential operator $a(x, D_x) \in \Psi_S^M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (slightly special because of the restrictions on the symbol which is why I have added the subscript) is an operator $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with Schwartz kernel $b(x, y-z)$ where $b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is given by (19) with a as in (16)

This would be pretty useless unless we can find some good properties. The first two are:

$$(20) \quad a(x, D_x) \in \Psi_S^M(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies a(x, D_x) : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow H^{s-M}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is bounded } \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$(21) \quad a(x, D_x) \in \Psi_S^M(\mathbb{R}^n), P(x, D_x) \text{ as in (13)} \implies \\ P(x, D_x)a(x, D_x) = r(x, D_x) \in \Psi_S^{m+M}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ r(x, \xi) - P(x, D_x)a(x, D_x) \in S^{m+M-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n; S^{m+M-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

These, and other properties, are not so hard to prove. Before doing that, notice that (21) which holds if $a(x, D_x)$ happens to be a differential operator of the same type as $P(x, D)$ is pretty much what we want. It says that for the $a(x, \xi)$ in (13), constructed from an elliptic $P(x, D_x)$ we get

$$(22) \quad P(x, D_x)a(x, D_x) = \text{Id} - E * + R(x, D_x), \quad R(x, D_x) \in \Psi_S^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Combined with (20) this shows that

$$(23) \quad u \in H^{-N}(\mathbb{R}^n), P(x, D_x)u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies u \in H^{m+s}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
E-mail address: `rbm@math.mit.edu`