

18.155 LECTURE 16, 2015

RICHARD MELROSE

Up-coming:

- (1) Harmonic oscillator
- (2) Diffeomorphisms of open sets
- (3) Densities and duality
- (4) Distributions on manifolds
- (5) Sobolev spaces

- (1) Harmonic oscillator
- (2) Diffeomorphisms of open sets

A smooth map between open sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a map  $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  with components in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U_1)$ .

Pull-back is the continuous map given by composition:

$$(1) \quad F^* : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_1), \quad F^*\phi = \phi \circ F.$$

Notice this is ‘contravariant’. If  $G : U_2 \rightarrow U_3$  is smooth then  $(G \circ F)^* = F^* \circ G^*$ .

The continuity of  $F^*$  means we get a transpose map ‘push-forward’ on compactly supported distributions,  $\mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)'$  :

$$(2) \quad F_* : \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U_2), \quad (F_*u)(\psi) = u(F^*\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2).$$

Even if  $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_1) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U_1)$ ,  $F_*u$  will not usually be smooth. For instance if  $F(x) = y_0$  is a constant map then you will see that

$$(3) \quad F_*(u) = c\delta_{y_0}, \quad c = \int u(x)dx.$$

- (3) Now a smooth map  $F : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  is a diffeomorphism if it has a smooth inverse – it is a bijection and the inverse map  $G : U_2 \rightarrow U_1$  is also smooth (it is easy to find smooth bijections which do not have smooth inverses, such as  $x \mapsto x^3$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

For a diffeomorphism,  $F^* : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_1)$  is an isomorphism, with continuous inverse  $G^*$ .

In the case of a diffeomorphism several things happen but let’s concentrate on the *problem*. Namely in this case if  $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_1)$  then  $F_*u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_2)$  but it is not always equal to  $G^*u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_2)$ ! So we have at the very least a notational issue. What happens:-

$$(4) \quad F_*u(\psi) = u(F^*\psi) = \int u(x)\psi(F(x))dx = \int u(G(y))\psi(y)|J_G(y)|dy,$$

$$J_G(y) = \det \frac{\partial G_i(y)}{\partial y_j}.$$

So the issue is the change of variable formula for integration, which involves the absolute value of the determinant of the Jacobian matrix, giving us

$$(5) \quad F_*u = (G^*u)|J_G(y)|.$$

So there is a problem with coordinate transformations, but it is clearly a mild one. The problem can be traced back to our identification of  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$  with a subspace of  $\mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U) = (\mathcal{C}^\infty(U))'$ . Something has to give.

The solution is *not* to break this identification, at least not really. It is simply to ‘carry along the density factor with us’. So, we want to give the part of the integration formula  $f(x)dx = f(x)|dx|$  (where  $dx$  or, better  $|dx|$  is the Lebesgue density; the second notation is better than the first but not usually adhered to) an independent meaning, so that when use integration to get our pairing we think of it as

$$(6) \quad (f, g|dx|) \longrightarrow \int f(x)g(x)|dx|.$$

This is no longer symmetric. Of course on Euclidean space we always have Lebesgue measure at our disposal so we can think of  $g|dx|$  as just being  $g$ . When we change coordinates this does not work so well!

- (4) Densities and duality: Let’s go about this repair mission carefully. We are still working with open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  but now we think of them as manifolds and try keep more careful track of things.

On an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the tangent bundle of  $U$  is just

$$(7) \quad TU = U \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

What exactly is the tangent bundle? It is another manifold, constructed traditionally from curves in the given manifold,  $U$ . So consider for each point  $p \in U$  the smooth curves say  $\chi : (-1, 1) \longrightarrow U$  such that  $\chi(0) = p$ . Then the tangent space should be

$$(8) \quad T_pU = \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((-1, 1); U); \chi(0) = p\} / \simeq,$$

$\chi_1 \simeq \chi_2$  if they are equal to first order at 0.

Here of course the equivalence condition is vague. On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have several ways to interpret this. The easiest is to replace the general  $\chi$  by the linear (affine) maps through  $p$ . Obviously this depends on the linear structure. The second is to use the derivatives at 0, this uses the linear structure as well. A third, more general method is to look at the ideal of smooth functions which vanish at  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_p \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$  the composite,  $\chi^*f$  is a smooth function on  $(-1, 1)$  so we can say

$$(9) \quad \chi_1 \simeq \chi_2 \implies \chi_1^*(fg) - \chi_2^*(fg) = O(t^2) \forall f, g \in \mathcal{I}_p.$$

This only uses the linear structure on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty((-1, 1))$  and so makes sense much more generally. The finite linear span of the products of pairs of elements of  $\mathcal{I}_p$  is the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_p^2$  (by definition) so

$$(10) \quad \chi_1 \simeq \chi_2 \implies \chi_1^*(u) - \chi_2^*(u) = O(t^2) \forall u \in \mathcal{I}_p^2.$$

Now the derivative of the curve gives the standard identification

$$(11) \quad T_pU \ni [\chi] \longrightarrow \frac{d\chi}{dt}(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

which is (7). This is all pedantic stuff, but it pays to get it clear. It means that the Jacobian, also called the differential, of a smooth map is well-defined

$$(12) \quad F_* : T_p U_1 \longrightarrow T_{F(p)} U_2, \quad F_*[\chi] = [\chi \circ F]$$

since  $F^* \mathcal{I}_{F(p)} \subset \mathcal{I}_p \implies F^* \mathcal{I}_{F(p)}^2 \subset \mathcal{I}_p^2$

You should check carefully that according to the identification above, using the chain rule,

$$(13) \quad F_* : T_p U_1 = \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T_{F(p)} U_2 = \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is the Jacobian matrix } F_* = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}.$$

Now we recall some linear algebra. If  $V, W$  are finite dimensional vector spaces over the reals then the duals,  $V', W'$  are well-defined and

$$(14) \quad V \otimes W = \{B : V' \times W' \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bilinear}\}$$

is one possible definition of the tensor product. So  $v \otimes w \in V \otimes W$ , (the ‘dyadic product’) for  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$  is the element such that

$$(15) \quad (v \otimes w)(v', w') = v(v')w(w').$$

There is a natural isomorphism  $V \times W \longrightarrow W \otimes V$  given by switching the order. If  $V = W$  then the higher tensor powers  $V^{\otimes k}$  have an action of the permutation group  $\Sigma_k$  by order switching and the subspace

$$(16) \quad \lambda^k V \subset V^{\otimes k} \text{ of totally antisymmetric elements}$$

is of particular importance (so is the symmetric part, especially for  $k = 2!$ )

If  $L : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$  is linear then there are induced linear maps  $L_k : V_1^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow V_2^{\otimes k}$  and these restrict to  $L_k : \lambda^k V \longrightarrow \lambda^k V$ . Of particular importance for us for the moment is that

$$(17) \quad \text{if } k = \dim V, L_k = \det L : \lambda^k V \longrightarrow \lambda^k V$$

where the ‘maximal degree’  $\lambda^k V$  is one-dimensional. This is not so much a theorem as a definition.

Now for the slightly confusing part. On a manifold (of course for the moment I am only talking about open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  but I am doing it in such a way that it generalizes directly) the cotangent bundle is the dual of  $T_p$  but we can define it directly

$$(18) \quad T_p^* U = \mathcal{I}_p / \mathcal{I}_p^2$$

and see that it is naturally identified with the dual of  $T_p$  from the definition of the latter. One slightly confusing thing is that the form bundles have fibres at each point

$$(19) \quad \Lambda_p^k U = \lambda^k T_p^* U$$

which is why I was using a ‘little  $\lambda$ ’ above.

$$(5) \quad \text{Now, if } F : U_1 \longrightarrow U_2 \text{ is a smooth map then } F^*(\mathcal{I}_{F(p)}) \subset \mathcal{I}_p \text{ for any } p \in U_1 \text{ and so}$$

$$(20) \quad F^* : T_{F(p)}^* U_2 \longrightarrow T_p^* U_1$$

is also often called the differential – because it is the transpose of  $F_* : T_p U_1 \longrightarrow T_{F(p)} U_2$ .

Now you should do the little computation to see that the induced map on maximal forms

$$(21) \quad F^* : \Lambda_{F(p)}^n U_2 \longrightarrow \Lambda_p^n U_1 \text{ is } \det \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$$

the determinant of the Jacobian.

- (6) So the problem is that we need to get the *absolute value* of this determinant into the picture to handle the way our integrals transform. To do this we make the following observation. For any vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$(22) \quad \lambda^n V = (\lambda^n V)'$$

That is, the elements of  $\lambda^n V$  are just the linear maps

$$(23) \quad v : \lambda^n V' \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n = \dim V.$$

Now, this is just a one-dimensional vector space but there is another one-dimensional vector space which is very similar but not the same. Namely we can consider

$$(24) \quad \omega V = \{\mu : \lambda^n V' \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}; \mu(sw) = |s|\mu(w) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \lambda^n V'\}.$$

In higher dimensions this would not be a vector space, but in dimension one it is – the space of absolutely homogeneous functions of degree 1. Check it carefully! Notice that

$$(25) \quad v \in \lambda^n V \implies |v| \in \omega V.$$

This of course is not a linear map

On a manifold (open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ) we define

$$(26) \quad \Omega_p U = \omega(T_p^* U).$$

These fit together to form a smooth manifold  $\Omega U = U \times \mathbb{R}$ .

A very important point is that the Lebesgue measure gives a smooth section of this one dimensional (trivial) bundle.

Note that this ‘density bundle’ is trivial on any manifold, which is not the case for its close relative  $\Lambda^n M$  – which is trivial only when the manifold is orientable. Still  $\Omega M$  is not *canonically trivial*. Over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it is trivialized by the Lebesgue measure.

You can also write

$$(27) \quad \Omega M = |\Lambda^n M|, \quad n = \dim M.$$

A smooth  $n$ -form  $\nu$  on  $M$  does define a section  $|\nu|$  of  $\Omega M$  but it is not smooth unless the  $n$ -form is non-vanishing, in which case the manifold is orientable. Still,  $\Omega M$  *always* has a global positive (this makes sense) smooth section – it just does not have a natural one.

(7)

**Proposition 1.** *If  $F : U_1 \longrightarrow U_2$  is a diffeomorphism (it doesn't work for general smooth maps) between open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there is a natural pull-back map*

$$(28) \quad F^* : \Omega_{F(p)} U_2 \longrightarrow \Omega_p U_1$$

given by multiplication by  $|\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}|$  so taking smooth sections to smooth sections. If  $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U; \Omega U)$  is a smooth (even continuous) section of compact support then the integral

$$(29) \quad \int u \in \mathbb{R} \text{ is well-defined and } \int_{U_1} F^* u = \int_{U_2} u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_2; \Omega U_2).$$

(8) Distributions on manifolds:-

Let me write down formally what happens, all this can be deduced from the discussion above. On any smooth manifold,  $M$ , there is a well-defined, naturally oriented real line bundle, the density bundle  $\Omega M$ , such that there is an invariant integral

$$(30) \quad \int : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M; \Omega M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

(if we allow complex sections). This induces a pairing

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \times \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M; \Omega M) \ni (u, \phi) \longrightarrow u\phi \longrightarrow \int u\phi.$$

We use this map  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$  into the dual  $\mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(M) = (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M; \Omega))'$  (this is a definition of  $\mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(M)$ ). We also define  $\mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(M; \Omega) = (\mathcal{C}^\infty(M))'$  and the same pairing gives us an injection  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M; \Omega M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(M; \Omega M)$ .

Now, if  $F : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$  is a smooth map there are induced linear maps

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} F^* &: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1), \\ F_* &: \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(M_1; \Omega M_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(M_2; \Omega M_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_*((F^*u)v) = uF_*v \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_2), v \in \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(M_1; \Omega M_1).$$

If we choose a global smooth positive section  $0 < \nu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; \Omega M)$  then multiplication extends to isomorphisms

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\nu = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; \Omega M), \quad \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(M)\nu = \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(M; \Omega M).$$

Saying more might be confusing!

(9) Sobolev spaces