

# The origins of the Tate conjecture

Bjorn Poonen

MIT

March 18, 2025

## **Motivation I:**

$\text{III}$  over global function fields  
and the Tate conjecture

## 1. Finiteness of III

$k$ : global field

$A$ : abelian variety over  $k$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{III} \longrightarrow H^1(k, A) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, A)$$

Conjecture (Shafarevich? Tate? 1962)

III is finite.

Later, this was proved in many cases, such as  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \leq 1$  (Rubin 1987, Kolyvagin 1988, ...).

---

Tate 1994:

*“If III, or at least its  $\ell$ -primary part, were not finite, then the Galois cohomology of the abelian variety would be a mess. . .”*

## 2. III vs. Br

**Fibered surface setting:**

$X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  (assume  $X, B$  nice<sup>1</sup> of dimensions 2, 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ )

$X_\eta \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ : generic fiber (assume  $X_\eta$  is a nice curve over  $k$ )

$J :=$  Jacobian of  $X_\eta$

$\text{Br } X := H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$

Theorem (special case of Artin 1960s, Milne 1982)

$$\text{III}(J) \text{ is finite} \iff \text{Br } X \text{ is finite.}$$

This suggests. . .

Conjecture

$\text{Br } X$  is finite for every nice surface  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Artin: More generally, is  $\text{Br } X$  finite for every scheme  $X$  proper over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

---

<sup>1</sup>smooth, projective, geometrically integral

### 3. Br and cycle classes of divisors

$X$ : nice variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\bar{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ ,  $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$

$\ell \neq \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$

Take étale cohomology of  $1 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\ell\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mu_\ell) \longrightarrow (\text{Br } X)[\ell] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Do the same for  $\ell^n$  and take  $\varprojlim$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\text{torsion-free}} (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Theorem (Artin–Tate, announced 1962, details published 1966)

*The following conjectures are equivalent:*

1.  $(\text{Br } X)[\ell^\infty]$  is finite.
2.  $\varprojlim (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] = 0$ .
3.  $(\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.
4.  $(\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.

### 3. Br and cycle classes of divisors

$X$ : nice variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\bar{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ ,  $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$

$\ell \neq \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$

Take étale cohomology of  $1 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\ell\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mu_\ell) \longrightarrow (\text{Br } X)[\ell] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Do the same for  $\ell^n$  and take  $\varprojlim$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow \varprojlim (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

torsion-free

Theorem (Artin–Tate, announced 1962, details published 1966)

*The following conjectures are equivalent:*

1.  $(\text{Br } X)[\ell^\infty]$  is finite.
2.  $\varprojlim (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] = 0$ .
3.  $(\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.
4.  $(\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.  
 $= H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^G$ .

Why =? Hochschild–Serre & Frobenius eigenvalues on  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  are  $\neq 1$ .

### 3. Br and cycle classes of divisors

$X$ : nice variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\bar{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ ,  $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$

$\ell \neq \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$

Take étale cohomology of  $1 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\ell\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mu_\ell) \longrightarrow (\text{Br } X)[\ell] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Do the same for  $\ell^n$  and take  $\varprojlim$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow \varprojlim (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

torsion-free

Theorem (Artin–Tate, announced 1962, details published 1966)

*The following conjectures are equivalent:*

1.  $(\text{Br } X)[\ell^\infty]$  is finite.
2.  $\varprojlim (\text{Br } X)[\ell^n] = 0$ .
3.  $(\text{Pic } X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.
4.  $(\text{Div } X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is surjective.  
 $= H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^G$ .

Why =? Hochschild–Serre & Frobenius eigenvalues on  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  are  $\neq 1$ .

# Statements:

The Tate conjecture  
and related conjectures



## The Tate conjecture (1962 for divisors on surface/ $\mathbb{F}_q$ , 1965 in general)

$k$ : finitely generated field,  $\bar{k}$ : separable closure,  $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$

$X$ : nice variety of dimension  $d$  over  $k$ ,  $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$

$\mathcal{Z}^r(X)$  := free abelian group on

{codimension  $r$  closed integral subvarieties of  $X$ }

(Example:  $\mathcal{Z}^1(X) = \text{Div } X$ .)

### Conjecture $T^r$

For each  $\ell \neq \text{char } k$ , the cycle class map

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^G$$

Tate classes

is surjective (onto the Galois-invariant classes).

Compare with the **Hodge conjecture**: For a nice variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{r,r}$$

is surjective.

---

The **integral Hodge conjecture** ( $\mathbb{Z}$  in place of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and **integral Tate conjecture** ( $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  in place of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ) are false for  $r > 1$  (Atiyah–Hirzebruch, Kollár, Totaro, Hassett–Tschinkel, ...)

## Define subgroups

$$\text{rat} \subset \text{alg} \subset \text{hom}_\ell \subset \text{num} \subset \mathcal{Z}^r(X) :$$

- **rat** = rationally equivalent to 0  
(coming from rational functions on  $V \subset X$  of codim  $r - 1$ )
  - **alg** = algebraically equivalent to 0  
( $\exists$  family of cycles over a connected base connecting it to 0)
  - **hom** $_\ell$  = homologically equivalent to 0  
(maps to 0 in  $H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))$ )
  - **num** = numerically equivalent to 0  
( $Y$  satisfies  $Y \cdot Z = 0$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{d-r}(X)$ )
- 

By  $\text{rat} \subset \text{alg} \subset \text{hom}_\ell$  and the definition of  $\text{hom}_\ell$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(X) \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))$$

factors through any of

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{rat}}_{\text{CH}^r(X)}, \quad \mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{alg}, \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{hom}_\ell}_{\text{cl}(\mathcal{Z}^r(X))}.$$

When  $r = 1$ :    **Pic**  $X$ ,    **NS**  $X$ ,    **NS**  $X$ /torsion.

## Conjecture $E^r$

$\text{hom}_\ell = \text{num}$  for every  $\ell \neq \text{char } k$ .

$E^1$  is known.

## Conjecture $I^r$

$(\mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{hom}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^G$  is injective.

$I^r$  says:  $\mathbb{Z}$ -independent elements of  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{Z}^r(X))$  are  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -independent.

**Beilinson injectivity conjecture for  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :**

$\text{CH}^r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^G$  is injective.

## Conjecture $S^r$

Let  $V = H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))$ . Then  $V^G \rightarrow V_G$  is an isomorphism.

$S^r$  is **partial semisimplicity**: When  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $S^r$  is equivalent to  
1-generalized eigenspace of  $\text{Frob} =$  1-eigenspace

multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 =  $\dim H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^G$ .

---

**Folklore** (Tate, Katz, Messing, Jannsen, Milne):  $T^r + E^r \implies I^r, S^r$ .

**Proof:** Formal consequence of Poincaré duality & hard Lefschetz.

## **Motivation II:**

BSD over global function fields  
and the Tate conjecture

## 4. Tate conjecture and poles of zeta functions

$X$ : finite type  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme

$x$ : closed point of  $X$

$k(x)$ : residue field

$q_x := \#k(x)$

$$\zeta_X(s) := \prod_{\text{closed } x \in X} (1 - q_x^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The product converges when  $\operatorname{Re} s > \dim X$ ,

and conjecturally has a meromorphic continuation to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$X$  is a nice variety of dimension  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

$F: X \rightarrow X$  is the **relative Frobenius morphism**.

$$P_i(T) := \det(1 - TF^* | H^i(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

Theorem (some of the Weil conjectures; ..., Deligne 1974)

1.  $P_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$

2. 
$$\zeta_X(s) = \frac{P_1(q^{-s}) \cdots P_{2d-1}(q^{-s})}{P_0(q^{-s}) P_2(q^{-s}) \cdots P_{2d}(q^{-s})}$$

3. All complex roots  $\alpha$  of  $P_i(T)$  satisfy  $|\alpha| = q^{i/2}$ .

**Corollary:** For  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$- \text{ord}_{s=r} \zeta_X(s) = \text{ord}_{s=r} P_{2r}(q^{-s})$$

$$= \text{ord}_{T=q^{-r}} P_{2r}(T)$$

$$= \text{multiplicity of eigenvalue } q^r \text{ of } F^* | H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

$$= \text{multiplicity of eigenvalue } 1 \text{ of } F^* | H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))$$

$$\geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H^{2r}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(r))^{G^r} \quad (\text{equality} \iff S^r)$$

1-eigenspace

$$\geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{num}) \quad (\text{equality} \iff T^r + I^r + E^r).$$

## Summary:

Theorem (Tate 1965, modulo Weil conjectures at the time)

*For a nice variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T^r + E^r$  for any one  $\ell$
- (b)  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{Z}^r(X)/\text{num}) = \text{order of the pole of } \zeta_X(s) \text{ at } r.$

Tate also conjectured a generalization of (b)  
for a nice variety over **a finitely generated field  $k$**  instead of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 5. The BSD conjecture (rank part)

$k$ : global field

$J$ : abelian variety over  $k$

BSD conjecture (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer 1965  
for elliptic curves over number fields, Tate 1965 in general)

$$\text{rank } J(k) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(J, s)$$

The Artin–Tate program:

For a fibered surface  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

(with **irreducible fibers**, for simplicity),

express what **BSD for  $J := \text{Jac } X_\eta$**  says **in terms of  $X$** .



## 6. $NS(X)$ vs. $J(k)$

### Lemma

$$\text{rank } NS(X) = 2 + \text{rank } J(k).$$

### Proof.

Algebraic geometry gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic } B \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Pic } X \longrightarrow \text{Pic } X_\eta \longrightarrow 0.$$

Ranks are  $1$ ,  $\text{rank } NS(X)$ ,  $1 + \text{rank } J(k)$ .  $\square$

## 7. Poles of zeta functions vs. zeros of $L$ -functions

### Lemma

$$-\text{ord}_{s=1} \zeta_X(s) = 2 + \text{ord}_{s=1} L(J, s).$$

### Proof.

For closed  $b \in B$ , let  $X_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$ .

Take the product over  $b$  of 
$$\zeta_{X_b}(s) = \frac{P_{1, X_b}(q_b^{-s})}{(1 - q_b^{-s})(1 - q_b^{1-s})}$$

to get 
$$\zeta_X(s) = \frac{\zeta_B(s) \zeta_B(s-1)}{L(J, s)}.$$

Here 
$$\zeta_B(s) = \frac{P_{1, B}(q^{-s})}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{1-s})},$$

so both  $\zeta_B(s)$  and  $\zeta_B(s-1)$  contribute a simple pole at 1.  $\square$

Putting 4–7 together: BSD for  $J \iff T^1$  for  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 2 + \text{rank } J(k) & \xlongequal{\text{BSD}} & 2 + \text{ord}_{s=1} L(J, s) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \text{geometry} & & - \text{ord}_{s=1} \zeta_X(s) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \text{rank NS}(X) & \xlongequal{T^1} & \text{multiplicity of eigenvalue 1 of } F^* | H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \\
 & & \text{Weil conjectures}
 \end{array}$$

Artin and Tate also translated

full BSD for  $J$  (the leading coefficient statement)

into a conjectural formula about  $X$ .

To prove this conditionally on finiteness of III took four decades (mainly to finish dealing with the power of  $p$  in the formula):

Theorem (Tate, Milne, Schneider, Bauer, Kato–Trihan 2003)

*For a fibered surface  $X \rightarrow B$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the following are equivalent:*

- $T^1$  for  $X$  for all  $\ell$  (or for one  $\ell$ )
- $\text{Br } X$  is finite (or  $(\text{Br } X)[\ell^\infty]$  is finite for one  $\ell$ , possibly  $p$ )
- $\text{III}(J)$  is finite (or  $\text{III}(J)[\ell^\infty]$  is finite for one  $\ell$ , possibly  $p$ )
- rank part of BSD holds for  $J$ ,
- full BSD holds for  $J$ .

Moreover,  $T^1$  for all surfaces  $\implies T^1$  for all varieties

(de Jong unpublished, Morrow 2019, Kahn 2023).

Also,  $T^1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \implies T^1$  over any f.g.  $k$  (Ambrosi 2018).

## Motivation III:

Hom between abelian varieties  
and the Tate conjecture

## 8. Homomorphisms between abelian varieties

$k$ : finitely generated field

$A$ : abelian variety over  $k$

$\ell \neq \text{char } k$ ,  $T_\ell A := \varprojlim A(\bar{k})[\ell^n]$ ,  $V_\ell A := (T_\ell A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$

Theorem (Tate 1966 for  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with an idea from Lichtenbaum;  
Zarhin 1974 for  $\text{char } k = p$ ; Faltings 1983–84 for  $\text{char } k = 0$ )

For any abelian varieties  $A, B$  over  $k$ ,

$$\text{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell A, V_\ell B)^G$$

is an isomorphism.

- ▶ Theorem for  $k \iff T^1$  for all abelian varieties over  $k$ .
- ▶ Theorem for  $k$  implies that for all nice varieties  $X, Y$  over  $k$ ,
$$T^1(X \times Y) \iff T^1(X) + T^1(Y).$$
- ▶ Theorem for  $\mathbb{F}_q$  + Honda's construction (taking CM abelian varieties mod  $p$ ) yields the Honda–Tate explicit description of the category of abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  “up to isogeny”.
- ▶ Theorem for number fields  $\implies$  Mordell conjecture (Faltings).

# Proof of Hom theorem (simplified using Zarhin 1974)

## Lemma 1

For fixed  $g$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$\{g\text{-dimensional abelian varieties over } \mathbb{F}_q\} / \text{isom}$

is finite.

## Sketch of proof.

1. Each  $g$ -dimensional abelian variety  $A$  is a direct factor of an  $8g$ -dimensional **principally polarized** abelian variety  $P$ .  
(Zarhin's trick:  $A^4 \times \tilde{A}^4$  has a principal polarization.)
2. There are only finitely many possible  $P$ .  
( $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of a finite-type moduli space, up to twists)
3. Each  $P$  has only finitely many isom types of direct factors.  $\square$

## Proof of Hom theorem, page 2

Using  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \subset \text{End}(A \times B)$ , reduce to End case.

Let  $T = T_\ell A$  and  $V = V_\ell A$  and  $E = (\text{End } A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .

### Lemma 2

*Each  $G$ -stable subspace  $V' \subset V$  is  $u(V)$  for some  $u \in E$ .*

### Proof.

1. Let  $T' = V' \cap T$ .
2. Each sublattice  $T' + \ell^n T \subset T$  corresponds to an isogeny  $\phi_n: B_n \rightarrow A$ .
3. By Lemma 1, infinitely many  $B_n$  are isomorphic to one  $B$ .
4. In the compact group  $\text{Hom}(B, A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ , some subsequence of  $(\phi_n)$  converges, say to  $\phi$ .
5. Then  $\phi(T_\ell B) = T'$ , so  $\phi(V_\ell B) = V'$ .
6. Let  $u$  be the composition of an isogeny  $A \rightarrow B$  with  $\phi$ . □



## Proof of Hom theorem, page 3 (end)

Recall:  $E = (\text{End } A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  and  $V = V_\ell A$ .

### Theorem

$E \hookrightarrow (\text{End } V)^G$  is surjective.

### Proof.

Let  $f \in (\text{End } V)^G$ .

Lemma 2 produces  $u \in \text{End}(A \times A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell = M_2(E)$  such that

$$u(V \times V) = \text{graph}(f).$$

If  $c \in \text{End } V$  commutes with all elements of  $E$ , then

- $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  commutes with  $u$ .
- $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  maps  $\text{graph}(f)$  into itself.
- $f$  commutes with  $c$ .

That is,

$$f \in (\text{double commutant of } E \text{ in } \text{End } V) = E,$$

since  $E$  is semisimple. □

# Algorithms:

Computing Néron–Severi groups  
using the Tate conjecture

# Algorithmic aspects

## Theorem (P., Testa, van Luijk 2015)

There is an algorithm attempting to compute  $NS \bar{X}$  as a finitely generated abelian group with  $G$ -action; it terminates with success if and only if  $T^1$  holds.

- ▶ The main challenge is to compute  $\rho := \text{rank } NS(\bar{X})$ .
- ▶ Can similarly compute  $(Z^r(\bar{X})/\text{num}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  if  $T^r + E^r$  holds.

---

### Obstacle to an easy proof:

The image of  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is usually infinite.

What would it mean to compute it?

---

Let's set up notation for a different approach.

Let  $H_{\text{Tate}}^2 = \bigcup_{\text{finite-index } H \leq G} H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^H$ . Let  $\tau = \dim H_{\text{Tate}}^2$ .

Then  $\rho \leq \tau$ , with equality if  $T^1$  holds.

## Theorem (P., Testa, van Luijk 2015)

*There is an algorithm attempting to compute  $\rho := \text{rank NS}(\bar{X})$ ; it terminates with success if and only if  $T^1$  holds.*

**Sketch of proof:** Use  $H_n := H^2(\bar{X}, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\ell^n \mathbb{Z}}(1))$  instead of  $H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .

1.  $H_n$  is computable (PTvL 2015, Madore–Orgogozo 2015)
2. Enlarge  $k$  to assume that  $G$  acts trivially on  $H_1$  (use  $H_2$  if  $\ell = 2$ ). Then  $G$  acts trivially on  $H_{\text{Tate}}^2$  (Minkowski-type argument).
3. There exist  $c, C > 0$  with  $c$  computable such that, for all  $n$ ,

$$c\ell^{\tau n} \leq \#H_n^G \leq C\ell^{\tau n}.$$

4. By day, search for divisors and compute intersection numbers, to get eventually sharp lower bounds on  $\rho$ .
5. By night, compute  $\#H_n^G$  for larger and larger  $n$  to get eventually sharp upper bounds on  $\tau$ .
6. If  $T^1$  holds, the lower and upper bounds eventually match!