Lecture \# 5

Outline: 1) Connected Sums
2) Curves in Surfaces and Orientability
3) Preliminaries on Graphs
4) 2-dimension Poincare Conjecture
5) Classification of Surfaces

Section 1: Review

Definition: Given two surfaces $X$ and $Y$, the connect sum of $X$ and $Y$, denoted $X \neq Y$, is obtained via

1) Remove an open diste from both $X$ and $Y$ to create two surfaces w/ "boundaries"
2) Glue the resulting boundaries together to create the new surface $X * Y$.


Example:

1) $T^{2} \# T^{2}=$ genus 2 surface
2) $S^{2} \# S^{2}=S^{2}$
3) $S^{2} \# T^{2}=T^{2}$
4) $\left.T^{2} \# \ldots \# T^{2}\right\} g$-times $=$ genus $g$ surface

Proposition: $\quad x(X * Y)=\chi(X)+\chi(Y)-2$

Proof:

1) Recall, we can compute the Euler characteristic of a surface by using any polygonal cpo associated to it.
2) Pick poly coxes for $X$ and $Y$ that both have at least one face that is a 2 -polygon w/ unique edges and vertices.
3) Removing said 2 -polygons gives removal of disks from $X$ and $Y$
4) To glue, we glue together the boundaries of these removed 2 -polygons.
5) This gluing gives poly cox for $X \neq Y$ w/

- $\operatorname{Vertices}(X \# Y)=V(X)+V(Y)-2$
- Edges $=E(X)+E(Y)-2$
- Faces $=F(X)+F(Y)-2$

6) 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(X \# y)= & V(X)+V(Y)-2 \\
& -(E(X)+E(y)-2) \\
& +F(X)+F(Y)-2 \\
= & X(X)+X(Y)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Section 2: Curves in Surfaces and Orientability

Definition: - A closed curve in a surface $\Sigma$ is a continuous $)_{\text {map }} \gamma: S^{\prime}=$ circle $\rightarrow \Sigma$.
(1) We send every pt in $S^{\prime}$ to a point in $\Sigma$.
(2) "Continuous" = we send points infintesimally close together in $S^{\prime}$ to points infintesimally close together in $\Sigma$.
$\hookrightarrow$ We map $S^{\prime}$ into $\Sigma w /$ out ripping or cutting it

- A curve is simple if the image of the curve in $\Sigma$ does not cross/meet itself and the circle can be "pushed"/ deformed to look like a seq. of edges

Examples:

1) Constant curve
curve

2) Crossing curve
curves.

3) Simple closed curves
simple closed

4) Crazy curves


Definition: - A simple closed curve is 1-sided if a small thickening of the curve in $\sum$ is a Möbius band.

- A simple closed curve is 2 -sided if a small thickening of the curve in $\sum$ is a cylinder

Examples:
1)


L-sided curve
2)


2 - sided curve
3)

4) andre.

Remark: If there are 1 -sided curves on $\Sigma$, then we don't know what is up/down or in/out. We have no reference outward direction.

Definition: A surface is orientable if it has no 1 -sided curves.

Example:

1) Connect sums of tori $=$ orientable
2) Klein bottle is non-orientable

Definition: A surface is compact if it admits a polygonal complex structure w/ a finite \# of vertices, edges, and faces.

Theorem: Every compact orientable surface is homeomorphic to a connect sum $T^{2} \# \ldots \# T^{2} \# S^{2}$ for some \# of $T^{2}$ 's.

Section 3: Preliminaries on Graphs

Definition: - A graph is a polygonal complex composed of edges.

- A graph is a tree if every pair of vertices is connected via a unique sequence of edges.
$c$ A tree is a graph w/ no loops


Proposition: Let $\Gamma=$ connected graph. There exists a subcollection of edges of $T$ that form a tree $T$ that touches every vertex in $T$. $T$ is called a spanning tree for $T$

Remark: A graph can have multiple spanning trees.


Proof:

1) Buildup $\uparrow$ one edge at a time.

$$
\Gamma_{0} \xrightarrow[\text { edge }]{\text { Add }} \Gamma_{1} \xrightarrow[\text { edge }]{\text { Add }} \Gamma_{2} \xrightarrow[\text { edge }]{\text { Add }} \ldots \xrightarrow[\text { edge }]{\text { Add }} \Gamma_{n}=\Gamma
$$

2) We sequentially build spanning trees $T_{i}$ for $\Gamma_{i}$.
3) $\Gamma_{0}=$ edge, $T_{0}=\Gamma_{0}$
4) $\Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}:$ either
a) $A$ new vertex is added to $\Pi_{0}$ to create $\Pi_{1}$ $\leftrightarrow$ create new "step"
b) No new vertex is $\rightarrow$ create a loop
5) If $a) \Rightarrow \operatorname{set} T_{1}=T_{0} \cup$ new edge

If b) $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Set} T_{1}=T_{0}$
6) $\Gamma_{i} \rightarrow \zeta_{i+1}:$ either
a) A new vertex is added to $\Gamma_{i}$ to create $\tau_{i+1}$
b) No new vertex is
7) If a) $\Rightarrow \operatorname{set} T_{i+1}=T_{i} \cup$ new edge

If b) $\Rightarrow$ Set $T_{i r 1}=T_{i}$
8) By construction, each $T_{i}$ is a tree and touches every vertex of $r_{i}$. So repeated we obtain the result.


Lemma: Let $\Gamma=$ connected graph. We have

$$
x(\Gamma) \leq 1
$$

$w /$ equality iff $r$ is a tree.

Proof:

1) If $r=$ tree, then we claim that $\chi(\Gamma)=1$
i) Build up $\Gamma$ sequentially: $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}=\Gamma$.
ii) Since $\Gamma$ is a tree each time we add an edge, we also add another vertex

4 if not, we would conn. two vertices via at least 2 different seas of edges
iii) So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\text { edge } \Rightarrow X\left(r_{1}\right)=2-1=1 \\
& r_{2}=V\left(r_{1}\right)-E\left(r_{1}\right)+1-1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) Repeatedly, $\chi\left(\Gamma_{i+1}\right)=V\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)-E\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)+1-1=1$
$v) \Rightarrow \chi(r=$ tree $)=1$
2) Sase $\Gamma$ is not necessarily a tree.

Let $T=$ spanning tree for $T$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(\Gamma) & =V(\Gamma)-E(\Gamma) \\
& =V(T)-E(T)-E(\text { not in } T) \\
& =X(T)-E(\text { not in } T) \\
& \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Note, if $E(\operatorname{not}$ in $T)=0$, then $\Gamma=T$. $\Rightarrow X(\Gamma)=1$ if and only if $\Gamma=$ tree.

Theorem: Let $\Sigma=$ compact surface. Then $X(\Sigma) \leq 2$ and $X(\Sigma)=2$ if and only if $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$.

Proof: $\quad$ 1) Fix a polygonal $\operatorname{cpx}$ that gives $\Sigma$.
2) Let $T=$ spanning tree for the graph that is made up of the edges of $X$.
3) Define a graph $\sim$ (that can be drawn on $X J$ via:
a) place a vertex in the center of each face of $X$
b) Connect two vertices via an edge for each edge in $X$ that is not in $T$ that their faces share

4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(\Sigma) & =x(x) \\
2^{\prime \prime} & =V(x)-E(x)+F(X) \\
& =V(T)-E(T)-E(\Gamma)+V(\Gamma) \\
& =x(T)^{\prime}+x(\Gamma) \\
& \leq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leftrightarrow$ This gives the first claim
5) Suse $x(\Sigma)=2$, then $x(r)=1$
6) $\Rightarrow \Gamma$ is a tree
7) Thicken $T$ and $\Gamma$ into weird looking disks, which are trees, until they fill out $\Sigma$.
8) $\Rightarrow \Sigma$ is gluing of two distes along their boundaries
9) $\Rightarrow \Sigma$ is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$.

Lemma: If a surface $\sum$ has a 2 -sided curve that does
 not separate $\Sigma$ into two pieces, then $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma^{\prime} \not \not T^{2}$ for some surface $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Proof:

1) Let $\gamma=2$-sided curve in $\Sigma$.
2) Thicken $\gamma$ to cylinder in $\Sigma$.
3) Note, $\Sigma^{\prime} \# T^{2}$ can also be obtained via:
i) Remove two disjoint disks from $\Sigma^{\prime}$ '.
si) Connect these boundaries via gluing in a cylinder.
4) So removing $\gamma$ from $\Sigma$ and capping off the boundaries w/ distes undoes a connect sum.
5) Upshot, $\gamma$ let's us realize $E$ as comet sum w/ $T^{2}$.

Picture:


Proof:

1) Let $X=$ poly. cpu for $\Sigma$
2) Let $T$ and $T$ be defined as before.
3) If $\Gamma=$ tree, then as argued before $\Sigma=S^{2}$.
4) So we assume $r$ is not a tree.
$\Rightarrow \Gamma$ has a loop $\gamma=2$-sided curve
5) We claim that $\gamma$ does not separate $\Sigma$.
$\rightarrow$ If not, $\Sigma-\gamma=\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1}$ two separate pieces
4 If we remove the faces and edges that $\gamma$ touches in $X$, then this divides $X$ into poly. coxes $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ for $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{1}$
\& $\gamma$ doesn't meet $T$
$\Rightarrow T$ is completely contained in, say, $X$.
$\leftrightarrow$ But $T$ contains all the vertices of $X$.
$\Rightarrow X_{1}$ has no vertices and thus no polygons
$\Rightarrow X_{1}$ is empty, a contradiction.
6) By previous lemma, $\Sigma=\Sigma^{\prime} \# T^{2}$ for some surface $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

$$
x(x+y)=\frac{x(x)+x(y)}{-2}
$$

7) $\quad x\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=x(\Sigma)+2$
8) $\Rightarrow$ Repeating this setup w/ $\Sigma$ replaced by $\Sigma^{\prime}$ realizes $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma^{\prime \prime \#} T^{2}$.
9) Eventually, this will terminate as $x\left(\Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=x\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+2$, ie, eventually $X\left(\Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$ and thus $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}=S^{2}$.

Nextime: • ?? ?? ?


