

# MONOTONE SUBSEQUENCES IN HIGH-DIMENSIONAL PERMUTATIONS

NATHAN LINIAL AND MICHAEL SIMKIN

ABSTRACT. This paper is part of the ongoing effort to study high-dimensional permutations. We prove the analogue to the Erdős–Szekeres Theorem: For every  $k \geq 1$ , every order- $n$   $k$ -dimensional permutation contains a monotone subsequence of length  $\Omega_k(\sqrt{n})$ , and this is tight. On the other hand, and unlike the classical case, the longest monotone subsequence in a random  $k$ -dimensional permutation of order  $n$  is asymptotically almost surely  $\Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$ .

The study of monotone subsequences in permutations began with the famous Erdős–Szekeres Theorem [5]. Since then numerous proofs and generalizations have emerged (see Steele’s survey [14]). We recall the theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Every permutation in  $S_n$  contains a monotone subsequence of length at least  $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$ , and this is tight: for every  $n$  there exists some permutation in  $S_n$  in which all monotone subsequences are of length at most  $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$ .*

In order to derive a high-dimensional analogue of Theorem 1 we need to define high-dimensional permutations and their monotone subsequences. If we view a permutation as a sequence of distinct real numbers, it is suggestive to consider sequences of points in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , with coordinatewise monotonicity. The following argument is attributed by Kruskal [9] to de Bruijn: Repeatedly apply Theorem 1 to conclude that every sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$  must have a coordinatewise monotone subsequence of length  $n^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$ , and this is tight up to an additive constant. In [9] one considers projections of the points to a line and defines the length of the longest monotone subsequence according to the line with the longest such subsequence. Szabó and Tardos [15] consider sequences in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  that avoid at least one of the  $2^k$  coordinatewise orderings.

Here we adopt the perspective of [11] of a high-dimensional analogue of permutation matrices, and monotone subsequences are defined by strict coordinatewise monotonicity. We show (Theorem 4) that every  $k$ -dimensional permutation of order  $n$  has a monotone subsequence of length  $\Omega_k(\sqrt{n})$ , and this is tight up to the implicit multiplicative constant.

A related question, posed by Ulam [16] in 1961, concerns the distribution of  $H_n^1$ , the length of the longest increasing subsequence in a random member of  $S_n$ . In 1972 Hammersley [6] showed that there exists some  $C > 0$  s.t.  $H_n^1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  converges to  $C$  in probability. In 1977 Logan and Shepp [12] showed that  $C \geq 2$  and Vershik and Kerov [17] demonstrated that  $C \leq 2$ . This yields the next theorem.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05B15.

Supported by ERC grant 339096 "High-Dimensional Combinatorics".

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $H_n^1$  be the length of the longest increasing subsequence in a uniformly random member of  $S_n$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n^1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 2$  in probability.*

This result was famously refined in 1999 by Baik, Deift, and Johansson [1] who related the limiting distribution of  $H_n^1$  to the Tracy–Widom distribution.

Using coordinatewise monotonicity Bollobás and Winkler [3] extended Theorem 2 to show that the longest increasing subsequence among  $n$  independently random points in  $[0, 1]^k$  is typically of length  $c_k n^{\frac{1}{k}}$  for some  $c_k \in (0, e)$ . We show (Theorem 13) that the longest monotone subsequence of a typical  $k$ -dimensional permutation of order  $n$  has length  $\Theta_k \left( n^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \right)$ . A  $k$ -dimensional permutation can be viewed as a set of  $n^k$  points in  $[0, 1]^k$ , and it is interesting to note this asymptotic match with Bollobás and Winkler’s result.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

**Note:** Throughout the paper all asymptotic expressions are in terms of  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k$  fixed.

As discussed in [11] and [10], we equate a permutation with the corresponding permutation matrix, i.e., an  $n \times n$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix in which each row or column (henceforth, *line*) contains a single 1. We correspondingly define an *order- $n$   $k$ -dimensional permutation* as an  $[n]^{k+1}$   $(0, 1)$ -array in which each line contains precisely one 1. A *line* in an  $[n]^{k+1}$  array is comprised of all the positions obtained by fixing  $k$  coordinates and varying the remaining coordinate. We denote the set of order- $n$   $k$ -dimensional permutations by  $L_n^k$ .

For a given  $A \in L_n^k$  and  $\alpha \in [n]^k$ , there is a unique  $t \in [n]$  s.t.  $A(\alpha, t) = 1$ . Since  $t$  is uniquely defined by  $\alpha$ , we can write  $t = f_A(\alpha)$ . The function  $f_A$  has the property that if we fix  $k - 1$  coordinates and vary the remaining coordinate, the result is a permutation of  $[n]$ . In fact, the mapping  $A \mapsto f_A$  is a bijection between  $L_n^k$  and the family of  $[n]^k$  arrays in which every line is a permutation of  $[n]$ . In dimension one this is exactly the identification between permutation matrices and permutations. This shows in particular that two-dimensional permutations, i.e., members of  $L_n^2$ , are order- $n$  *Latin squares*.

We denote by  $G_A$  the *support* of  $A \in L_n^k$ , i.e., the set of  $\alpha \in [n]^{k+1}$  s.t.  $A(\alpha) = 1$ .

The next definition generalizes monotonicity to higher dimensions.

**Definition 3.** A length- $m$  monotone subsequence in  $A \in L_n^k$  is a sequence  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in G_A$  s.t. for every  $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$  the sequence  $\alpha_j^1, \alpha_j^2, \dots, \alpha_j^m$  is *strictly* monotone.

In dimension one this clearly coincides with the definition of a monotone subsequence in a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ .

We are now ready to state a high-dimensional analogue of the Erdős–Szekeres Theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Every member of  $L_n^k$  contains a monotone subsequence of length  $\Omega_k(\sqrt{n})$ . The bound is tight up to the implicit multiplicative constant: for every  $n$  and  $k$  there exists some  $A \in L_n^k$  s.t. every monotone subsequence in  $A$  has length  $O_k(\sqrt{n})$ .*

The next theorem is a high dimensional analogue of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $H_n^k$  be the length of the longest monotone subsequence in a uniformly random element of  $L_n^k$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[H_n^k] = \Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$  and  $H_n^k = \Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$  a.a.s.*

*Remark 6.* Aside from *strong monotonicity* as in definition 3 it is interesting to consider *weak monotonicity*. A sequence of pairwise distinct  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m$  in  $[n]^{k+1}$  is called weakly monotone if it is weakly monotone in every coordinate. In the spirit of the Hales–Jewett Theorem one may also consider the case where every coordinate is either strictly monotone or constant.

We strive throughout to deal with the harder of the two cases, namely prove large lower bounds for strongly monotone subsequences and small upper bounds for the weakly monotone case. The one exception is that the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 4, applies only to the strongly monotone case. It remains an interesting open problem to determine the correct upper bound for weakly monotone subsequences.

*Remark 7.* Note the following symmetries of high-dimensional permutations:

- (1)  $S_{k+1}$  acts on  $L_n^k$  by permuting the coordinates.
- (2) For each  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ , the group  $S_n$  acts on  $L_n^k$  by permuting the values of the  $i$ -th coordinate of each  $A \in L_n^k$ . Actions on different coordinates commute, and so this defines an  $S_n^{k+1}$ -action on  $L_n^k$ .
- (3) A special case of (2), is reversal, i.e. applying the map  $a \mapsto n+1-a$  on the  $i$ -th coordinate.

Note that actions (1) and (3) preserve monotonicity.

## 2. A HIGH-DIMENSIONAL ANALOGUE OF THE ERDŐS–SZEKERES THEOREM

We begin by proving Theorem 4. Due to the Erdős–Szekeres Theorem it suffices to consider the case  $k \geq 2$ .

We define two partial orders on  $[n]^{k+1}$ : Let  $\alpha, \beta \in [n]^{k+1}$ . We write  $\alpha <_1 \beta$  if for all  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ,  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ , and we write  $\alpha <_2 \beta$  if for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$  and  $\alpha_{k+1} > \beta_{k+1}$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in [n]^k$  we write  $\alpha < \beta$  if for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ .

Recall that the *height*  $h(P)$  of a poset  $P$  is the size of the largest chain in  $P$  and its *width*  $w(P)$  is the size of its largest anti-chain. The next lemma is an easy consequence of Dilworth’s Theorem [4] or Mirsky’s Theorem [13].

**Lemma 8.** *For every finite poset  $P$  there holds  $h(P) \cdot w(P) \geq |P|$ .*

We use Lemma 8 to show that if  $A$  has no long monotone subsequences, then there is a large  $S \subseteq G_A$  that is an anti-chain in both  $<_1$  and  $<_2$ . On the other hand, the next two lemmas give an upper bound on the size of anti-chains common to  $<_1$  and  $<_2$ . This yields the theorem.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X$  be an  $M \times N$  matrix in which every two entries in the same column are distinct. Let  $S$  be a set of positions in  $X$  such that  $X_a = X_b$  for every  $a, b \in S$  with  $a$  to the left and above  $b$ . Then  $|S| \leq M + 2N$ .*

*Proof.* If either  $M = 1$  or  $N = 1$ , this is obvious. We prove the claim inductively by showing that either  $S$  has at most two positions in the rightmost column of  $X$  or at most one element in the topmost row of  $X$ . Indeed, if  $S$  has at least three entries in the rightmost column, then at least two of them, say  $a$  and  $b$ , are not

in the top row. But there are no repetitions in the same column, so  $X_a \neq X_b$ . It follows that the only element  $S$  may have in the top row is at the top-right corner, for any other such element must equal both  $X_a$  and  $X_b$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.

*Proof.* For the lower bound, let  $A \in L_n^k$  and consider the  $n \times n$  matrix  $X$  defined by  $X_{a,b} = f_A(a, b, b, \dots, b)$ . We define two partial orders on  $[n]^2$ : Let  $\alpha, \beta \in [n]^2$ . We write  $\alpha <_1 \beta$  if  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $X_\alpha < X_\beta$ . We write  $\alpha <_2 \beta$  if  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $X_\alpha > X_\beta$ . Clearly, a sequence  $\alpha^1 <_1 \alpha^2 <_1 \dots <_1 \alpha^m$  corresponds to a monotone subsequence in  $A$ , and similarly for  $<_2$ .

Assume that  $[n]^2$  contains no  $<_1$ -monotone subsequences of length  $r = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3} \rfloor$ .

By Lemma 8 there is an  $<_1$ -anti-chain  $S_1 \subseteq [n]^2$  of size at least  $\frac{n^2}{r}$ . Order  $S_1$  by  $<_2$  and let  $S \subseteq S_1$  be an anti-chain.  $S$  is an anti-chain w.r.t. both  $<_1$  and  $<_2$ , hence if  $\alpha \in S$  is above and to the left of  $\beta \in S$  we have  $X_\alpha = X_\beta$ . Every column in  $X$  is a permutation of  $[n]$ , so  $X$  and  $S$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 9 and therefore  $|S| \leq 3n$ . This is true for every anti-chain in  $S_1$  and so  $w(S_1) \leq 3n$ . Applying Lemma 8 again we conclude:  $h(S_1) \geq \frac{|S_1|}{w(S_1)} \geq \frac{n^2}{3nr} \geq r = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3} \rfloor$ . The height of  $S_1$  is realized by a monotone subsequence of length  $h(S_1)$  in  $A$ , yielding the lower bound.

For the second part of the theorem, for every  $n$  and  $k$  we construct  $A \in L_n^k$  with all monotone subsequences having length  $O(\sqrt{n})$ . We first assume  $n$  is prime, and use a simple construction similar to one that shows the tightness of the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem. We later modify the construction to deal with composite  $n$ . Assuming  $n$  is prime, let  $M = \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}} \rfloor$ , and define  $A$  as follows:

$$A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = 1 \iff M \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i + \alpha_{k+1} = 0 \pmod{n}$$

Since  $n$  is prime it follows easily that  $A$  is a  $k$ -dimensional permutation.

We'll show that if  $\alpha, \beta \in G_A$  differ in every coordinate then  $\|\alpha - \beta\|_1 \geq M$ . This is sufficient, since if  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in G_A$  is a monotone subsequence, then for every  $1 \leq j < m$ ,  $\alpha^j, \alpha^{j+1}$  differ on every coordinate and so  $M(m-1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\alpha^{j+1} - \alpha^j\|_1$ . On the other hand, by monotonicity we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\alpha^{j+1} - \alpha^j\|_1 = \|\alpha^m - \alpha^1\|_1 \leq (k+1)n$ . It follows that  $m \leq \sqrt{(k+1)n} + 1 = O(\sqrt{n})$ .

Assume  $\alpha, \beta \in G_A$  differ in every coordinate. We have:

$$M \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i - \beta_i) + (\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}) = 0 \pmod{n}$$

Now  $Mx + y = 0 \pmod{n}$  implies either  $|y| \geq M$ ,  $|x| \geq \frac{n}{M} - 1 \geq M$  or  $x = y = 0$ . Setting  $x = \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i - \beta_i)$  and  $y = (\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1})$ , we have by assumption  $y \neq 0$  and so  $\|\alpha - \beta\|_1 \geq |x| + |y| \geq M$ .

In this construction we need  $M$  and  $n$  to be relatively prime. For composite  $n$  this isn't necessarily the case, and we offer two remedies: The first is an appeal to number theory to produce  $M \approx \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}$  coprime to  $n$ . It is known [2] that for large  $x$ , there is always a prime in the interval  $[x - x^{0.525}, x]$ . Therefore, we can

find three distinct primes in an interval  $\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}, (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}\right]$ . At least one of these must be coprime to  $n$ , since their product exceeds  $n$  for large  $n$ . This implies that all monotone subsequences have length  $\leq (2+o(1))\sqrt{(k+1)n}$ .

The second approach is easy to generalize, as done in the proof of Theorem 12. Take  $M = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}} \right\rfloor$  as before. Let  $g = \gcd(M, n)$  and define the permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  as follows (all values are taken modulo  $n$ ):

$$\pi = \left( M, 2M, \dots, \frac{n}{g}M, 1+M, \dots, 1+\frac{n}{g}M, \dots, g-1+M, \dots, g-1+\frac{n}{g}M \right)$$

Set  $f_A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) = -\pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i\right)$ . Note that if  $\gcd(M, n) = 1$ , this coincides with the construction above. As before, we show that if  $\alpha, \beta \in G_A$  differ on all coordinates then  $\|\alpha - \beta\|_1 \geq M$ , which is enough.

Assume  $\alpha, \beta \in G_A$  differ on all coordinates. We then have:

$$M \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i - \beta_i) + (\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}) = r \pmod{n}$$

for some  $|r| < g \leq M$ . If  $r = 0$  we have the same situation as before and we may conclude  $\|\alpha - \beta\|_1 \geq M$ . Otherwise, by definition of  $\pi$ , we must have either  $\|\alpha - \beta\|_1 \geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \right| \geq \frac{n}{g} - 1 \geq \frac{n}{M} - 1 \geq M$  or else  $|\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}| \geq M$ .  $\square$

Most proofs of Theorem 1 actually yield the following, more general, statement.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $r, s$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $rs < n$ . Then every permutation in  $S_n$  contains either an increasing subsequence of length  $r+1$ , or a decreasing subsequence of length  $s+1$ . The bound is tight: if  $rs \geq n$  then there is a permutation in  $S_n$  with neither an increasing subsequence of length  $r+1$  nor a decreasing subsequence of length  $s+1$ .*

It is possible to extend Theorem 4 in a similar fashion. To this end we refine our notion of monotonicity. In dimension one we distinguish between ascending and descending subsequences, and we need something similar in higher dimensions.

**Definition 11.** A vector  $\vec{c} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$  induces a partial order  $x <_{\vec{c}} y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  as follows:  $x <_{\vec{c}} y$  if for every  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$  s.t.  $c_i = 1$ ,  $x_i < y_i$ , and  $y_i < x_i$  otherwise.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\vec{c}, \vec{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$  differ in exactly one coordinate. Let  $rs < \frac{n}{3(k-1)}$ . Then every  $A \in L_n^k$ , contains either a  $<_{\vec{c}}$ -monotone subsequence of length  $r$  or a  $<_{\vec{d}}$ -monotone subsequence of length  $s$ .*

*The bound is tight up to the multiplicative constants: If  $r, s \geq 9(k+10)$  and  $rs > 5kn$ , then there exists  $A \in L_n^k$  with neither a  $<_{\vec{c}}$ -monotone subsequence of length  $r$  nor a  $<_{\vec{d}}$ -monotone subsequence of length  $s$ .*

*Proof.* Using the symmetries from Remark 7 we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\vec{c} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\vec{d} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ .

The proof of the lower bound is similar to the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 4, and we provide only a sketch. As in the proof of Theorem 4, consider the matrix  $X$  and the partial orders  $<_1, <_2$ . Lemma 9 gives an upper bound of  $3n$  on the size of any anti-chain under both  $<_1$  and  $<_2$ . Two applications of Lemma 8 yield the lower bound.

For the upper bound, assume w.l.o.g. that  $r \geq s$ . We construct  $\pi \in S_n$  and  $A \in L_n^k$  as before, with  $M = \lfloor \frac{s}{2k} \rfloor$ . Let  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in G_A$  be a  $<_{\vec{c}}$ -monotone subsequence. Then the sequence is increasing in every coordinate. For all  $j$ , if  $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_k^j < M$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j) \geq \frac{n}{g} \geq \frac{n}{M}$ . Thus

$$m \leq \frac{n}{M} + \frac{kn}{\frac{n}{M}} + 1 = \frac{n}{M} + kM + 1 \leq \frac{2kn}{s} \left(1 + \frac{2k}{s}\right) + \frac{s}{2} + 1$$

Using the assumptions that  $\frac{r}{5k} > \frac{n}{s}$  and  $r \geq s \geq 9(k+10)$ , we have:

$$m \leq r \left( \frac{2}{5} \left(1 + \frac{2}{9}\right) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \leq r$$

Now, let  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in G_A$  be a  $<_{\vec{d}}$ -monotone subsequence. For  $1 \leq j \leq m$  define  $s_j = M \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^j$ . This is an increasing sequence, and  $s_{j+1} - s_j \geq M$  for all  $j$ . By definition of  $A$ ,  $\alpha_{k+1}^j = s_j \pmod{n} + r_j$  for some  $0 \leq r_j < M$ . Because  $\alpha_{k+1}^1, \alpha_{k+1}^2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}^m$  is decreasing, if for some  $j$ ,  $s_j$  and  $s_{j+1}$  fall in the same interval of the form  $[dn+1, (d+1)n]$  (for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), then  $s_j + r_j > s_{j+1} \implies s_{j+1} - s_j < r_j < M$ , a contradiction. Therefore the  $s_j$ 's fall into distinct intervals of the form  $[dn+1, (d+1)n]$ . But for every  $j$ ,  $0 < s_j \leq Mkn$ . Since  $[0, Mkn]$  contains only  $\lfloor \frac{Mkn}{n} \rfloor \leq Mk+1$  intervals of length  $n$ , we have  $m \leq Mk+1 \leq \frac{s}{2} + 1 < s$ .  $\square$

### 3. MONOTONE SUBSEQUENCES IN RANDOM HIGH-DIMENSIONAL PERMUTATIONS

As mentioned in the introduction, the longest monotone subsequence of a random permutation is typically of length  $2\sqrt{n}$ . In view of the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem this means that the random case and the worst case are of the same order of magnitude and differ by only a constant factor. In higher dimensions this is no longer the case. The longest monotone subsequence of a typical element in  $L_n^k$  has length  $\Theta_k \left( n^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \right)$ .

We define the random variable  $H_n^k$  - the length of the longest monotone subsequence in a uniformly random element of  $L_n^k$ , and prove the next theorem.

**Theorem 13.** *For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :*

- (1) *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \in \left[ \frac{1}{k+1}, e + \varepsilon \right]$  asymptotically almost surely.*
- (2)  $1 - \frac{\ln k+1}{k+1} - o_k(1) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \right] \leq e + o_k(1)$ .

There are  $2^{k+1}$  distinct order types of monotone subsequences, indexed by binary vectors  $\vec{c} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ . By reversing some of the coordinates (operation 3 in Remark 7) we see that the distribution of the longest  $<_{\vec{c}}$ -monotone subsequence in a random element of  $L_n^k$  is independent of  $\vec{c}$ . Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 13 for  $<_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ -monotone subsequences. For brevity of notation we write  $<$  in place of  $<_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ .

The following lemmas are useful in dealing with uniformly random elements of  $L_n^k$ .

**Lemma 14.** *Given  $A \in L_n^k$  and  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{k+1}) \in S_n^{k+1}$ , let  $\pi(A) \in L_n^k$  be the  $k$ -dimensional permutation given by*

$$\pi(A)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) = A(\pi_1(x_1), \pi_2(x_2), \dots, \pi_{k+1}(x_{k+1}))$$

(equivalently,  $\pi(A)$  is obtained by permuting the  $i$ th coordinate of  $G_A$  according to  $\pi_i^{-1}$ ). If  $A$  is chosen uniformly at random from  $L_n^k$  and  $\pi$  is independently chosen from any distribution on  $S_n^{k+1}$ , then  $\pi(A)$  is uniformly distributed in  $L_n^k$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the fact that  $S_n^{k+1}$  acts on  $L_n^k$  in the way described.  $\square$

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in [n]^{k+1}$  be a weakly monotone sequence of positions. For a uniformly drawn  $A \in L_n^k$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}[A(\alpha^1) = A(\alpha^2) = \dots = A(\alpha^m) = 1] \leq \frac{(n-m)!}{n!}$$

*Proof.* Assume w.l.o.g. that the sequence is weakly monotone according to  $<$ .

We define a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $S_n^{k+1}$  s.t. if  $\pi \sim \mathcal{D}$  and  $A$  is drawn independently and uniformly from  $L_n^k$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[\pi(A)(\alpha^1) = \pi(A)(\alpha^2) = \dots = \pi(A)(\alpha^m) = 1] \leq \frac{(n-m)!}{n!}$ . The conclusion follows from Lemma 14.

In order to define  $\mathcal{D}$  we construct distributions  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_m$  on  $S_n^{k+1}$ , and we let  $\pi = \pi_m \pi_{m-1} \dots \pi_1$  where for each  $i$ ,  $\pi_i$  is drawn independently from  $\mathcal{D}_i$ . We then define  $\pi(A)$  via

$$A \rightarrow A_1 = \pi_1(A) \rightarrow A_2 = \pi_2(A_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_m = \pi_m(A_{m-1}) = \pi(A)$$

We'll define the distributions  $\mathcal{D}_i$  s.t. the following properties hold:

- For all  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  $A_j(\alpha^i) = A_i(\alpha^i)$ , so the value at position  $\alpha^i$  remains fixed from stage  $i$  onward.
- For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[A_i(\alpha^1) = A_i(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_i(\alpha^i) = 1] \leq \frac{(n-i)!}{n!}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be uniformly distributed on  $S_n \times \{I\}^k$ , where  $I \in S_n$  is the identity element. There is a unique  $x$  s.t.  $A(x, \alpha_2^1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}^1) = 1$ , and therefore  $\mathbb{P}[A_1(\alpha^1) = 1] = \mathbb{P}[A(\pi_1(\alpha_1^1), \alpha_2^1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}^1) = 1] = \mathbb{P}[\pi_1(\alpha_1^1) = x] = \frac{1}{n}$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_i$  are already defined and have the properties above. The sequence  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m$  is weakly increasing so there exists some coordinate  $1 \leq j \leq k+1$  s.t.  $\alpha_j^i < \alpha_j^{i+1}$ . Let  $T \subseteq S_n$  be the set of permutations that fix  $\{\alpha_j^1, \alpha_j^2, \dots, \alpha_j^i\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}_{i+1}$  be the uniform distribution on  $\{I\}^{j-1} \times T \times \{I\}^{k+1-j}$ . We write  $\pi_{i+1} = (I, \dots, I, \tau, I, \dots, I)$  and verify the properties above:

- For  $1 \leq \ell \leq i$ , by definition  $A_{i+1}(\alpha^\ell) = A_i(\alpha_1^\ell, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}^\ell, \tau(\alpha_j^\ell), \alpha_{j+1}^\ell, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}^\ell)$ . But  $\tau$  fixes  $\alpha_j^\ell$ , so  $A_{i+1}(\alpha^\ell) = A_i(\alpha^\ell) = A_\ell(\alpha^\ell)$  where the last equality follows by induction.
- We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[A_i(\alpha^1) = A_i(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^{i+1}) = 1] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[A_{i+1}(\alpha^{i+1}) = 1 | A_{i+1}(\alpha^1) = A_{i+1}(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^i) = 1] \times \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}[A_{i+1}(\alpha^1) = A_{i+1}(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^i) = 1] \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[A_{i+1}(\alpha^1) = A_{i+1}(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^i) = 1] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[A_i(\alpha^1) = A_i(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_i(\alpha^i) = 1] \leq \frac{(n-i)!}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\alpha_{i+1}^j \notin \{\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \dots, \alpha_i^j\}$ , so that  $\tau(\alpha_{i+1}^j)$  is distributed uniformly on a set of cardinality  $\geq n-i$ , and is independent of  $A_{i+1}(\alpha^1), A_{i+1}(\alpha^2), \dots, A_{i+1}(\alpha^i)$ . Thus:

$$\mathbb{P}[A_{i+1}(\alpha^{i+1}) = 1 | A_{i+1}(\alpha^1) = A_{i+1}(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^i) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n-i}$$

We conclude:

$$\mathbb{P}[A_i(\alpha^1) = A_i(\alpha^2) = \dots = A_{i+1}(\alpha^{i+1}) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n-i} \frac{(n-i)!}{n!} = \frac{(n-(i+1))!}{n!}$$

as desired.  $\square$

We first prove the upper bounds in Theorem 13.

**Proposition 16.**

- (1) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there holds  $\mathbb{P}[H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} > e + \varepsilon] = o(1)$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{E}[H_n^k] n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \leq e + o(1)$ .

*Proof.* We bound the expected number of length- $m$  (weakly) monotone subsequences in a random  $k$ -dimensional permutation. For every increasing sequence of positions  $\alpha = \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^m \in [n]^{k+1}$  and  $A \in L_n^k$  we define

$$X_\alpha(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & A(\alpha^1) = A(\alpha^2) = \dots = A(\alpha^m) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 15  $\mathbb{E}[X_\alpha(A)] = \mathbb{P}[X_\alpha(A) = 1] \leq \frac{(n-m)!}{n!}$  for a uniform  $A \in L_n^k$ . Let  $S$  be the set of all length- $m$  increasing sequences of positions in  $[n]^{k+1}$ . Clearly,  $|S| \leq \binom{n+m-1}{m}^{k+1}$  so by linearity of expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[H_n^k \geq m] &= \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha(A) > 0\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha(A)\right] \\ &\leq \binom{n+m-1}{m}^{k+1} \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \leq \left(\frac{e(n+m)}{m}\right)^{(k+1)m} \frac{1}{(n-m)^m} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c = e + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $m = \lceil cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \rceil$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} > c\right] &= \mathbb{P}[H_n^k \geq m] \leq \left((1+o(1))e^{k+1} \frac{n^k}{m^{k+1}}\right)^m \\ &\leq \left((1+o(1))\frac{e}{c}\right)^{(k+1)cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}}} = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

proving the first claim in the proposition. Further:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[H_n^k] n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} &\leq (m\mathbb{P}[H_n^k < m] + n\mathbb{P}[H_n^k \geq m]) n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \\ &\leq c + n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^{(k+1)cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}}} + o(1) = c + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second claim.  $\square$



The proof of the lower bounds is more intricate. Fix some  $C > 0$  and let  $m = \lceil Cn^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \rceil$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$ , let  $D_i = [(i-1)m+1, im]^{k+1}$  be the diagonal subcubes of  $[n]^{k+1}$ . For a uniformly random  $A \in L_n^k$  let  $Z_i$  be the indicator random variable of the event that  $A$  is not all zero on  $D_i$ . Clearly,  $H_n^k \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$ , since  $\alpha < \beta$  if  $\alpha \in D_i, \beta \in D_j$ , and  $i < j$ . Indeed we prove lower bounds on  $H_n^k$  by bounding  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$ . It is convenient to express everything in terms of the random variable  $Y_n = n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$ . We show that for an appropriate choice of  $C$  (see below)  $Y_n$  converges in probability to a constant in  $(0, 1)$ . These are our main steps:

- (1) Note that  $Y_n \leq \frac{1}{C} + o(1)$  (trivially).
- (2) Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$  (Proposition 18).
- (3) Show that if  $C < 1$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[Y_n > C^{k+1} + \varepsilon] = o(1)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  (Corollary 21).
- (4) By letting  $1 > C > 0$  be the unique solution to  $\frac{C^k}{1+C^{k+1}} = C^{k+1}$ , conclude that  $\mathbb{P}[Y_n < C^{k+1} - \varepsilon] = o(1)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  (Proposition 22). Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = C^{k+1}$  in probability.

In step 1 we assume only that  $C > 0$ . The claim in step 2 applies to all  $C > 0$ , and we optimize the bound on  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$  by a particular choice of  $C$ . Step 3 applies to all  $1 > C > 0$ . Finally in step 4 we assign a value to  $C$  to derive the conclusion that  $Y_n$  converges in probability to  $C^{k+1}$ .

We start with step 2, a lower bound on  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ :

**Lemma 17.** For  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[Z_i = 1] \geq \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X_i = \sum_{\alpha \in D_i} A(\alpha)$  be the number of non-zero entries in  $D_i$ . Note that  $X_i > 0 \iff Z_i = 1$ . We prove a lower bound on the probability of this event by a second moment argument.

Clearly,  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{|D_i|}{n} = C^{k+1} + o(1)$ , since  $\mathbb{P}[A(\alpha) = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$  for every  $\alpha \in [n]^{k+1}$ .

We next seek an upper bound on  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in D_i} \mathbb{E}[A(\alpha)A(\beta)] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in D_i} \mathbb{P}[A(\alpha)A(\beta) = 1]$$

There are  $m^{k+1}$  terms with  $\alpha = \beta$ , each being  $\frac{1}{n}$ . For  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , Lemma 15 gives  $\mathbb{P}[A(\alpha)A(\beta) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ . There are fewer than  $m^{2(k+1)}$  such pairs  $\alpha, \beta \in D_i$ , so

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in D_i} \mathbb{P}[A(\alpha)A(\beta) = 1] \leq m^{k+1} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m^{k+1}}{n(n-1)} \right) = \frac{m^{k+1}}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{m^{k+1}}{n-1} \right)$$

Noting that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{m^{k+1}}{n} = C^{k+1} + o(1)$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_i] \left( 1 + \frac{n}{n-1} \mathbb{E}[X_i] \right) = C^{k+1} \left( 1 + \frac{n}{n-1} C^{k+1} \right) + o(1)$$

The second moment method yields:

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_i = 1] = \mathbb{P}[X_i > 0] \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i]^2}{\mathbb{E}[X_i^2]} = \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1} + 1 + o(1)} \geq \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1} + 1} - o(1)$$

□

**Proposition 18.**

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1} + 1} - o(1), \text{ consequently } \mathbb{E}\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq 1 - \frac{\ln k + 1}{k + 1} - o(1).$$

*Proof.* As observed earlier:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i\right] = n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor \mathbb{P}[Z_i = 1]$$

So, by Lemma 17:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1} + 1} - o(1)$$

For all  $C$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ . The optimal bound is attained when  $C = k^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$ , yielding:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq \frac{k^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}{k + 1} - o(1) \geq 1 - \frac{\ln k + 1}{k + 1} - o(1)$$

□

To prove the lower bound in Theorem 13 part (1), we apply a Chernoff bound to the events  $\{Z_i = 1\}_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}}$ . To overcome the dependencies among these events we utilize the following version of the Chernoff inequality from [7] (Theorem 1.1).

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in [N]}$  be Boolean random variables such that for all  $S \subseteq [N]$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\left[\prod_{i \in S} X_i = 1\right] \leq \alpha^{|S|}$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i \in [N]} X_i \geq \beta N\right] \leq e^{-ND(\beta \parallel \alpha)}, \text{ where } D(\beta \parallel \alpha) = \beta \ln\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) + (1 - \beta) \ln\left(\frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \alpha}\right)$$

*is the relative entropy function.*

**Lemma 20.** *Assume  $C < 1$ . Let  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq \alpha^{|S|}$  for all  $C^{k+1} < \alpha < 1$  and large enough  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $Z_i = 1$  for all  $i \in S$  iff there exist positions  $\{\beta^i\}_{i \in S}$  s.t.  $\beta^i \in D_i$  for all  $i \in S$  and  $A_{\beta^i} = 1$  for all  $i$ . We bound the probability of this occurrence using a union bound.

Let  $\{\beta^i\}_{i \in S}$  be positions s.t.  $\beta^i \in D_i$  for all  $i \in S$ . If the indices in  $S$  are taken in order this is a monotone subsequence, and so by Lemma 15  $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{i \in S} A(\beta^i) = 1\right] \leq \frac{(n - |S|)!}{n!}$ . There are  $m^{(k+1)|S|}$  such coordinate sequences, and so, by a union bound:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq m^{(k+1)|S|} \frac{(n - |S|)!}{n!} \leq \left(\frac{m^{k+1}}{n - |S|}\right)^{|S|}$$

We have:  $|S| \leq \frac{n}{m} = \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{k}{k+1}} + o(1)$ . Thus:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq \left((1 + o(1)) \frac{C^{k+1} n}{n - \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}\right)^{|S|} = ((1 + o(1)) C^{k+1})^{|S|}$$

and the result follows. □

Lemma 20 allows us to apply Theorem 19 to the variables  $\{Z_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}}$  to obtain the next corollary.

**Corollary 21.** *For all  $\beta > C^{k+1}$ , for large enough  $n$  it holds:*

$$\mathbb{P}[Y_n > \beta] \leq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \gamma\right)$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ .

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 13.

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $1 > C > 0$  be the unique solution to the equation  $C(1 + C^{k+1}) = 1$ .*

*Then  $\mathbb{P}\left[Y_n < \frac{1}{k+2}\right] = o(1)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 18

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1} + 1} - o(1) = C^{k+1} - o(1)$$

For an integer  $n$  and  $0 < x < C^{k+1}$ , let  $p_n = \mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq x]$ . Since  $Y_n \leq \frac{1}{C} + o(1)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_n] \leq p_n x + (1 - p_n)(C^{k+1} + \varepsilon) + \left(\frac{1}{C} + o(1)\right) \mathbb{P}[Y_n \geq C^{k+1} + \varepsilon]$$

Corollary 21 yields:

$$\mathbb{P}[Y_n \geq C^{k+1} + \varepsilon] = o(1)$$

Combining inequalities 3.1 and 3.2 and rearranging:

$$p_n (C^{k+1} - x) \leq \varepsilon (1 - p_n) + o(1)$$

But this holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = 0$ .

The result follows by taking  $x = \frac{1}{k+1} < C^{k+1}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

- As mentioned in section 1, we do not know what the analogous statement of Theorem 4 is for weakly monotone subsequences.
- What are the best constant factors in Theorems 4 and 12? For the sake of clarity we have neglected to optimize the constants, and our bounds can certainly be somewhat improved with some additional effort. However, we suspect that getting the correct bounds would require some new ideas. While we find the correct exponent of  $n$  in the problems addressed here, we are still unable to determine the dependency of the relevant coefficients on the dimension  $k$ . Perhaps the most pressing question of this sort is to derive a sharp result on the existence of long monotone subsequences in Latin squares.
- For  $A \in L_n^k$  and  $\vec{c} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$ , let  $\ell_{\vec{c}}(A)$  be the length of the longest  $<_{\vec{c}}$ -monotone subsequence in  $A$ . Let  $\ell(A) = (\ell_{\vec{c}}(A))_{\vec{c} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}}$ . We seek a better description of the set  $\ell_n^k = \{\ell(A) : A \in L_n^k\}$ . By Theorem 4 we know that  $\min_{x \in \ell_n^k} \|x\|_{\infty} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ . Theorem 12 gives fairly tight sufficient conditions under which we can conclude that  $x_{\vec{c}} \geq r \vee x_{\vec{d}} \geq s$  for  $\vec{c}, \vec{d} \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1}$  that differ in precisely one coordinate.

- The proof of Theorem 13 uses only a very limited amount of randomness. Recall that  $L_n^k$  splits into *isotopy classes* where permutations are reachable from each other by applications of symmetries (2) in Remark 7. That theorem applies even when the high-dimensional permutation is drawn uniformly from a particular isotopy class, rather than from all of  $L_n^k$ . Beyond the randomness inherent in these symmetries, we have little insight concerning the structure of random high-dimensional permutations. In our view, it's a major challenge in this field to understand (fully) random high-dimensional permutations. In particular, we do not know how to uniformly sample elements of  $L_n^k$ . Even for Latin squares, the best known method is Jacobson and Matthews' Markov chain [8], which is not known to be rapidly mixing.
- We believe Theorem 13 can be strengthened, and there exist constants  $c_k$  s.t.  $H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \rightarrow c_k$  in probability. This is borne out by numerical experiments, which indicate that  $H_n^2 n^{-\frac{2}{3}}$  is concentrated in a small interval. We do not know how to prove this, but perhaps an approach based on super-additive ergodic theorems à la Hammersley [6] may apply. If these constants  $c_k$  do, in fact, exist, their dependence on  $k$  is of interest. We note that analogous results for random points in  $[0, 1]^k$  are known [3].

**Acknowledgments.** The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for her thorough review and insightful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Jinho Baik, Percy Deift, and Kurt Johansson. On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(4):1119–1178, 1999.
- [2] Roger C Baker, Glyn Harman, and János Pintz. The difference between consecutive primes, ii. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 83(03):532–562, 2001.
- [3] Béla Bollobás and Peter Winkler. The longest chain among random points in euclidean space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 103(2):347–353, 1988.
- [4] Robert P Dilworth. A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 161–166, 1950.
- [5] Paul Erdős and George Szekeres. A combinatorial problem in geometry. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2:463–470, 1935.
- [6] JM Hammersley. A few seedlings of research in proc. of the sixth berkeley symp. math. statist. and probabability, vol. 1 (1972), 345–394, 1972.
- [7] Russell Impagliazzo and Valentine Kabanets. Constructive proofs of concentration bounds. In *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques*, pages 617–631. Springer, 2010.
- [8] Mark T Jacobson and Peter Matthews. Generating uniformly distributed random latin squares. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 4(6):405–437, 1996.
- [9] Joseph B Kruskal. Monotonic subsequences. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 4(2):264–274, 1953.
- [10] Nathan Linial and Zur Luria. On the vertices of the d-dimensional birkhoff polytope. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 51(1):161–170, 2014.
- [11] Nathan Linial and Zur Luria. An upper bound on the number of high-dimensional permutations. *Combinatorica*, 34(4):471–486, 2014.
- [12] Benjamin F Logan and Larry A Shepp. A variational problem for random young tableaux. *Advances in mathematics*, 26(2):206–222, 1977.
- [13] Leon Mirsky. A dual of dilworth's decomposition theorem. *American Mathematical Monthly*, pages 876–877, 1971.

- [14] J Michael Steele. Variations on the monotone subsequence theme of erdős and szekeres. In *Discrete probability and algorithms*, pages 111–131. Springer, 1995.
- [15] Tibor Szabó and Gábor Tardos. A multidimensional generalization of the erdos–szekeres lemma on monotone subsequences. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 10(06):557–565, 2001.
- [16] Stanislaw M Ulam. Monte carlo calculations in problems of mathematical physics. *Modern Mathematics for the Engineers*, pages 261–281, 1961.
- [17] Anatoly M Vershik and Sergei V Kerov. Asymptotics of plancherel measure of symmetrical group and limit form of young tables. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 233(6):1024–1027, 1977.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL.

*E-mail address:* `nati@cs.huji.ac.il`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND FEDERMANN CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF RATIONALITY, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL.

*E-mail address:* `menahem.simkin@mail.huji.ac.il`