

Some Remarks on Homotopy Commutativity of  
The Classical Groups

by Franklin P. Peterson  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Interim Technical Report  
Department of Army Project No. DA-5B99-01-004  
Ordnance R and D Project No. TB2-0001  
OOR Project No. 2246M  
Contract No. DA-19-020-ORD-4851

Requests for additional copies by Agencies of the Department of Defense, their contractors, and other Government agencies should be directed to:

Armed Services Technical Information Agency  
Arlington Hall Station  
Arlington 12, Virginia

Department of Defense contractors must be established for AST 1 A services or have their "need-to-know" certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract.

Some Remarks on Homotopy Commutativity of  
The Classical Groups

by Franklin P. Peterson

1. Introduction.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a topological group  $G$ . We define  $A$  and  $B$  to homotopy commute in  $G$  if  $\phi : A \times B \rightarrow G$  is homotopic to the constant map at the identity of  $G$ , where  $\phi(a, b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ . Various results are known; in particular, James and Thomas [2] study the case where  $A = SO(m)$ ,  $B = SO(n)$ , and  $G = SO(t)$  and prove that  $A$  and  $B$  do not homotopy commute in  $G$  when  $t = m + n - 1$  for most values of  $m$  and  $n$ . They solve the unitary and symplectic cases completely.

In this paper we derive some mixed results. Let  $G(m) = SO(2m + 1)$  or  $Sp(m)$ . We want to prove the following statement:  $G(m)$  and  $G(n)$  do not homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n - 1)$ , where  $m, n \geq 1$ . Unfortunately, we only prove this statement for many values of  $m$  and  $n$  (see corollaries 3.3 and 3.4). We conjecture that it is true for all values of  $m$  and  $n$ .

2. Notations.

For a topological group  $G$ , let  $B(G)$  denote the base space of the universal bundle for  $G$ . Let  $\tau : \pi(SX; B(G)) \rightarrow \pi(X; G) = \pi(X; {}^1B(G))$  be the usual isomorphism, where  ${}^1Y$  denotes the 1-fold space of loops on  $Y$ . For any space  $X$ , let  $X^{(q)}$  denote the  $q^{\text{th}}$  part of the Postnikov system of  $X$ ; i.e. there is a map  $\pi_q : X \rightarrow X^{(q)}$  such that  $\pi_{q\#} : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{(q)})$  is an isomorphism for  $1 \leq q$  and  $\pi_1(X^{(q)}) = 0$  for  $1 > q$ .

In [3], it is shown that  $B(U(t))^{(2t)} = B(U(\infty))^{(2t)} = {}^2_{B(U(\infty))} (2t+2)$

We denote by  $\gamma: B(U(t))^{(2t)} \times B(U(t))^{(2t)} \rightarrow (B(U(t)))^{(2t)}$  the induced multiplication. Recall that  $H^*(B(U(t)); Z)$  is a polynomial ring on generators  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ , where  $c_i \in H^{2i}(B(U(t)); Z)$ . Also recall that  $H^*(G(m); Z_p)$  is an exterior algebra on generators  $X_i \in H^{4i-1}(G(m); Z_p)$ , for  $i=1, \dots, m$ , and  $p$  an odd prime.

Let  $i_A: A \rightarrow G$ ,  $i_B: B \rightarrow G$  be inclusions. Let  $\nabla$  denote the folding map. Stasheff [4] proves the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. A and B homotopy commute in G if and only if  
 $\nabla(\tau^{-1}(i_A) \vee \tau^{-1}(i_B)): SA \vee SB \rightarrow B(G)$  can be extended to  $SA \times SB$ .

Our study will be based on this theorem.

### 3. The Main Theorems.

The following lemma is implicit in [3].

Lemma 3.1. There is a unique element  $\bar{c}_{t+1} \in H^{2t+2}(B(U(t))^{(2t)}; Z_p)$   
 $= H^{2t+2}(B(U(\infty))^{(2t)}; Z_p)$  for  $p \leq t$ , p a prime, such that  
 $\pi_{2t}^*(\bar{c}_{t+1}) = c_{t+1} \pmod{p} \in H^{2t+2}(B(U(\infty)); Z_p)$ .

Our main theorem is the following one and is proved in section 4.

Theorem 3.2. Let p be an odd prime,  $p < 2m + 2n$ . Assume that  
there is no map  $f: SG(m) \# SG(n) \rightarrow B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)}$  such that  
 $f^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = \pm \sigma^*(X_m) \otimes \sigma^*(X_n) \in H^{4m+4n}(SG(m) \# SG(n); Z_p)$ .  
Then  $G(m)$  and  $G(n)$  do not homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n - 1)$ .

In order to derive corollaries of theorem 3.2, we study cohomology operations in  $H^*(B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)}; Z_p)$ ; in particular we wish to find operations  $\theta$  such that  $\theta(u) = \bar{c}_{2m+2n}$  for some  $u$  such that  $\theta(f^*(u)) = 0$  for all  $f$ . In section 4 we do this and give proofs for the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. Let p be an odd prime, let  $r \geq 0$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
m + n &= 1 + (1 + p + \dots + p^r) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \underline{\text{or}} \\
&2 + 3(1 + p + \dots + p^r) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \underline{\text{for } p > 3 \text{ or}} \\
&2 + [3 + 2(1 + p + \dots + p^r)] \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \underline{\text{for } p > 3 \text{ or}} \\
&2 + [2 + 1 + p + \dots + p^r] \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \underline{\text{or}} \\
&3 + 5(1 + p + \dots + p^r) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \quad \underline{\text{for } p > 5.}
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $G(m)$  and  $G(n)$  do not homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n - 1)$ .

Corollary 3.4. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that  
if  $m + n > N$ , and  $G(m)$  and  $G(n)$  homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n - 1)$ ,  
then either  $m$  or  $n \leq \epsilon(m + n)$ .

Corollary 3.5.  $G(m)$  does not commute with itself in  $U(4m - 1)$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

Let  $i_m: G(m) \rightarrow U(2m + 2n - 1)$ ,  $i_n: G(n) \rightarrow U(2m + 2n - 1)$ ,  
 $m, n \geq 1$ , be the standard inclusions. Assume there is a map  
 $F: S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)) \rightarrow B(U(2m + 2n - 1))$  extending  
 $\nabla(\tau^{-1}(i_m) \vee \tau^{-1}(i_n))$ . Then  $\pi_{4m + 4n - 2}^F$  extends  
 $\pi_{4m + 4n - 2}^{\nabla(\tau^{-1}(i_m) \vee \tau^{-1}(i_n))}: S(G(m)) \vee S(G(n)) \rightarrow B(U(2m + 2n - 1))^{(4m + 4n - 2)}$ .  
 Note that  $(\pi_{4m + 4n - 2}^F)^*(\bar{c}_{2m + 2n}) = F^*(\pi_{4m + 4n - 2})^*(\bar{c}_{2m + 2n}) =$   
 $F^*(0) = 0$ . On the other hand, we shall now prove that any extension  
 of  $\pi_{4m + 4n - 2}^{\nabla(\tau^{-1}(i_m) \vee \tau^{-1}(i_n))}$  sends  $\bar{c}_{2m + 2n}$  into a  
 non-zero element of  $H^{4m + 4n}(S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

Lemma 4.1. Let  $\nu$  be the multiplication in  $B(U(\infty))^{(4m + 4n - 2)}$ .  
Let  $c_1 \in H^{2i}(B(U(\infty))^{(4m + 4n - 2)}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  denote the class such that  
 $(\pi_{4m + 4n - 2})^*(c_1)$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Chern class mod  $p$ ,  $i=1, \dots, 2m + 2n - 1$ .  
 Then  $\nu^*(\bar{c}_{2m + 2n}) = \bar{c}_{2m + 2n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{c}_{2m + 2n} + \sum_{i=1}^{2m + 2n - 1} c_i \otimes c_{2m + 2n - i}$ .

Proof: Apply  $(\pi_{4m+4n-2})^* \otimes (\pi_{4m+4n-2})^*$  to the formula in the lemma and one obtains

$$\mathcal{V}^*(c_{2m+2n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2m+2n} c_i \otimes c_{2m+2n-i} \in H^{4m+4n}(B(U(\infty)) \times B(U(\infty)); \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the multiplication in  $B(U(\infty))$ . This equation is well known to be true. To conclude the lemma, we remark that  $(\pi_{4m+4n-2})^*$  is an isomorphism mod  $p$  in dimensions  $\leq 4m+4n$ .

Let  $i: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of topological groups. Let  $B(i): B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$  be the induced map on their classifying spaces. Let  $P_i \in H^{4i}(B(G(\infty)); \mathbb{Z}_p)$  denote the Pontrjagin classes reduced mod  $p$ . The statements in the following lemma are proved in [1].

Lemma 4.2.  $B(i_m)^*(c_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is odd.  
 $B(i_m)^*(c_{2i}) = \pm P_i$  if  $i \leq m$ .

The following lemma is easy to prove and its proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.3. Let  $l: G \rightarrow G$ . Let  $u \in H^*(B(G); R)$ . Then  $\tau^{-1}(l)^*(u) = \pm \sigma^*(l_u)$ , where  $l_u \in H^*(G; R)$  is the space of loops suspension of  $u$ , and  $\sigma^*: H^*(G; R) \rightarrow H^*(S(G); R)$  is the usual suspension isomorphism.

We now return to the proof of theorem 3.2.

$$\pi_{4m+4n-2} \nabla(\tau^{-1}(i_m) \vee \tau^{-1}(i_n)) = \nabla(\pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_m) \vee \pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_n)).$$

This map has an obvious extension, namely

$$\mathcal{V}(\pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_m) \times \pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_n)) = g. \text{ We now compute } g^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = g^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_m))^* \otimes (\pi_{4m+4n-2} \tau^{-1}(i_n))^* (\bar{c}_{2m+2n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{c}_{2m+2n}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2n-1} c_i \otimes c_{2m+2n-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2n-1} \tau^{-1}(i_m)^*(c_i) \otimes \tau^{-1}(i_n)^*(c_{2m+2n-i}). \end{aligned}$$

By lemmas 4.2 and 4.3,  $\tau^{-1}(1_m)^*(c_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is odd, and  $\tau^{-1}(1_m)^*(c_{2i}) = \tau^{-1}(1)^* B(1_m)^*(c_{2i}) = \pm \sigma^*(1_{P_i})$  which is 0 if  $i > m$  and not zero if  $i \leq m$ . Thus, the only non-zero term is when  $i = 2m$ , and we have  $g^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = \pm \sigma^*(1_{P_m}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_n}) \neq 0$  as  $1_{P_i}$  can be taken to be  $X_i \in H^{4i-1}(G(m); Z_p)$  for  $i \leq m$ .

Recall that  $\pi(S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)); B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \pi(S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)); B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \pi(S(G(m)) \vee S(G(n)); B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)})$  is exact. Also,  $\beta([g]) = \beta([\pi_{4m+4n-2}^F])$ , hence there is an  $f \in \pi(S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)); B(U(\infty))^{(4m+4n-2)})$  such that  $[\pi_{4m+4n-2}^F] = [g] + \alpha([f])$ . Therefore,  $(\pi_{4m+4n-2}^F)^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = (\nu(g \times \alpha(f)) \Delta)^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = \Delta^*(g \times \alpha(f))^* \nu^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = \pm \sigma^*(1_{P_m}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_n}) + \alpha(f)^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2n-1} g^*(c_i) \cup \alpha(f)^*(c_{2m+2n-i})$ .

Note that  $\alpha(f)^*(c_{2m+2n-i}) = \sum u_j \otimes v_j$ , where  $\dim u_j > 0$ ,  $\dim v_j > 0$ . Hence  $g^*(c_i) \cup \alpha(f)^*(c_{2m+2n-i}) = 0 \in H^*(S(G(m)) \times S(G(n)); Z_p)$ .

By hypothesis,  $\alpha(f)^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) \neq \pm \sigma^*(1_{P_m}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_n})$ . Hence

$(\pi_{4m+4n-2}^F)^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) \neq 0$  which is a contradiction.

### 5. Proofs of 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5.

We are going to need the following lemma which is proved in [5].

Lemma 5.1. In  $H^*(B(U(\infty)); Z_p)$ , we have

$P^s(c_k) = \binom{k-1}{s} c_k + s(p-1) +$  a polynomial in lower Chern classes.

In  $H^*(B(G(\infty)); Z_p)$ , we have  $P^s(P_k) = \pm \binom{2k-1}{s} P_k + s \binom{p-1}{2} +$  a polynomial in lower Pontrjagin classes.

In order to prove corollary 3.3, we shall show that

$\bar{c}_{2m+2n} = P^I(u) +$  a polynomial in lower classes, where  $P^I(u) \neq 0$

for any map  $f: S(G(m)) \# S(G(n)) \rightarrow B(U(\infty))^{(4m + 4n - 2)}$ . Since  $S(G(m)) \# S(G(n)) = S[G(m) \# S(G(n))]$ ,  $f^*(\text{polynomial}) = 0$ . The corollary will then follow from theorem 3.2.

When  $m + n = 1 + (1 + p + \dots + p^r) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ , we have  $\bar{c}_{2m+2n} = p^{p^r} p^{p^{r-1}} \dots p^p p^1(c_2) + \text{a polynomial}$ .  $f^*(c_2) = 0$  as  $H^4(S(G(m)) \# S(G(n)); Z_p) = 0$ . When  $m+n = 2 + 3(1 + p + \dots + p^r) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ , we have  $\bar{c}_{2m+2n} = p^{3p^r} p^{3p^{r-1}} \dots p^{3p} p^3(c_4) + \text{a polynomial}$  if  $p > 3$ .  $f^*(c_2)$  is a multiple of  $\sigma^*(1_{P_1}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_1})$  and  $P^3(\sigma^*(1_{P_1}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_1})) = P^2(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) \otimes P^1(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) + P^1(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) \otimes P^2(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) = \sigma^*(P^2(1_{P_1})) \otimes P^1(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) + P^1(\sigma^*(1_{P_1})) \otimes \sigma^*(P^2(1_{P_1})) = 0$ . The other three cases are similar and the proofs are omitted.

We could give more values of  $m + n$  such that  $G(m)$  and  $G(n)$  do not homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n - 1)$  by similar techniques, however, this does not seem to lead to a proof for all  $m + n$  so we forego this. The lowest value of  $m + n$  not covered by this corollary is  $m + n = 18$ .

We now turn to the proof of corollary 3.4. By lemma 5.1.

$$P^1(c_{2m+2n-p+1}) = (2m + 2n) c_{2m+2n} + \text{a polynomial}.$$

If  $m + n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $f^*(\bar{c}_{2m+2n}) = \sigma^*(1_{P_m}) \otimes \sigma^*(1_{P_n})$  for some  $f$ , we must have either  $4m - 2(p-1) \geq 4$  or  $4n - 2(p-1) \geq 4$ . That is, either  $2m \geq p + 1$  or  $2n \geq p + 1$  where  $p + 1 \leq 2m + 2n$ . Using the prime number theorem we can prove that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find an  $N$  such that there is a prime with  $2(1 - \epsilon)(m + n) \leq p + 1 \leq 2m + 2n$  if  $m + n > N$ . We can take

$\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $m + n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then if  $2m \geq p + 1$ , we have  $2m + 2n \equiv \epsilon(2m + 2n) \leq 2m$ . Hence  $2n \leq 2\epsilon(m + n)$  or  $n \leq \epsilon(m + n)$ . To prove corollary 3.5, we note that for  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ , we may take  $N = 10$ .

6. Concluding Remarks.

Since  $Sp(m)$  and  $Sp(n)$  homotopy commute in  $Sp(m + n)$ , they also do in  $U(2m + 2n)$ . Hence our result is best possible. Similarly,  $SO(2m + 1)$  and  $SO(2n + 1)$  homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n + 2)$ , thus leaving two cases undecided. For  $SO(2m + 2)$  and  $SO(2n + 2)$ , our technique only gives the same results as for  $SO(2m + 1)$  and  $SO(2n + 1)$ . Since  $SO(2m + 2)$  and  $SO(2n + 2)$  homotopy commute in  $U(2m + 2n + 4)$ , this leaves four cases undecided. For very low values of  $m$  and  $n$ , one can obtain further ad hoc results using dimensional arguments. For example, our theorem shows  $SO(3)$  and  $SO(4)$  do not homotopy commute in  $U(3)$ . One can show that they do homotopy commute in  $U(5)$ , leaving only one case undecided.



### Bibliography

1. A. Borel and J. P. Serre, "Groupes de Lie et Puissances Reduites de Steenrod", Amer. Jour. Math., vol. 75 (1953), pp. 409-488.
2. I. M. James and E. Thomas, "Homotopy-commutativity in Rotation Groups", to appear.
3. F. P. Peterson, "Some Remarks on Chern Classes", Ann. of Math., vol. 69 (1959), pp. 414-420.
4. J. Stasheff, "On Homotopy Abelian H-spaces", Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., vol. 57 (1961), pp. 734-745.
5. I. Yokota, "On the Homology of Classical Lie Groups", Jour. Inst. of Polytechnics, Osaka City Univ., vol. 8 (1957), pp. 93-120.