

$V_{n,r}$ Bundles and Secondary Characteristic Classes⁻¹
by
William S. Massey and Franklin P. Peterson¹

§ 1. Introduction.

Let \mathcal{G} be an n -plane bundle over B .

~~Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be the associated bundle with fibre $V_{n,r}$. Assume that the mod two Stiefel-Whitney classes~~

~~$w_{n-r+1}(\mathcal{G}), \dots, w_n(\mathcal{G})$ are all zero.~~

Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be the associated bundle with fibre $V_{n,r}$, the Stiefel manifold of r -frames in R^n . Assume that the mod two Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_{n-r+1}(\mathcal{G}), \dots, w_n(\mathcal{G})$ are all zero. Then the fibre $V_{n,r}$ of p is totally non-homologous to zero with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients.

We study the ~~structure~~ structure of $H^*(E)^2$ as a ring and as a module over the Steenrod algebra. ~~Analogously~~

~~This leads to the introduction of invariants of the bundle \mathcal{G} , in a way similar to that in [2] and [3].~~

In section 6, we show that these invariants

determine all "secondary characteristic classes"
with mod 2 coeff.

defined when $W_{n-r+1}(\xi) = 0, \dots, W_n(\xi) \stackrel{?}{=} 0$. This
generalizes the results in [4], where the case $r=1$
was treated. In section 7, we show how these
invariants behave when a trivial s -plane bundle
is added to ξ .

§ 2. Some Numbers³

Let $s \geq r$ be positive integers. Define $\lambda(s, r)$ as that number $r \leq \lambda(s, r) \leq s$ such that there exists a number t with $2^t \mid \lambda(s, r)$ and ~~for all i, j with $r \leq i \leq s$ and $j \neq \lambda(s, r)$~~ $2^{t+1} \nmid i - j$ for all j with $r \leq j \leq s$.

THEOREM 2.1. $\binom{\lambda(s, r) - 1}{i - \lambda(s, r)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all i with $\lambda(s, r) \leq i \leq s$. Also, ~~for all k with $r \leq k < \lambda(s, r)$~~ , $\binom{k-1}{\lambda(s, r)-k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof: For simplicity, we write $\lambda(s, r) = \lambda$. Let t be such that $2^t \mid \lambda$, $2^{t+1} \nmid \lambda$. Then $\lambda + 2^t > s$. The diadic expansion of $\lambda - 1$ is $\lambda - 1 = \left(\sum_{q=t+1}^{\infty} a_q 2^q \right) + 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-2} + \dots + 1$.

Furthermore $i - \lambda \leq s - \lambda < 2^t$. ~~hence $(i - \lambda) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$~~

Hence its diadic expansion is $i - \lambda = \sum_{q=0}^{t-1} b_q 2^q$.

Thus $\binom{\lambda-1}{i-\lambda} = \prod_{q=0}^{t-1} \binom{1}{b_q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ (see, [1]).

the appendix of

Let $k < \lambda$ with $r \leq k$. Since $2^t \nmid k$, we have

$$k-1 = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} c_g 2^g \text{ with some } c_g = 0 \text{ with } g < t.$$

Let \bar{g} be the smallest g with $c_g = 0$. Then k

$$= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} d_g 2^g \text{ with } d_{\bar{g}} = 1, d_g = 0 \text{ for } g < \bar{g}. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\lambda - k = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} e_g 2^g \text{ with } e_{\bar{g}} = 1. \text{ Thus } \binom{k-1}{\lambda-k} = 0 \text{ (2).}$$

REMARK. Note that λ is the smallest integer, $\lambda \geq r$, with $\binom{\lambda-1}{i-\lambda} \neq 0 \text{ (2)}$ for all i with $\lambda \leq i \leq s$.

Let $1 \leq r \leq n$. Define $\lambda_0(n, n-r+1) = n+1$.

By induction, define $\lambda_i(n, n-r+1) = \lambda(\lambda_{i-1}(n, n-r+1) - 1)$,

This process stops when $\lambda_j(n, n-r+1) = n-r+1$.

Define $\mu(n, r)$ as that j . Also, define $\gamma_i = \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i - 1$.

We close this section by translating the results of theorem 2.1 into statements about $H^*(V_n, r)$ and $H^*(\mathcal{G}_n)$.

PROOF. Let $v_i \in H^i(V_n, r)$ be the simple ^{simple subgroups of} generators, $n-r \leq i \leq n-1$. ~~and the multiplication of \mathcal{G}_n is the~~

~~is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension t~~ We have

$$Sq^t(v_i) = \binom{i}{t} v_{i+t} \text{ if } i+t \leq n-1. \text{ fibres bundles with fibres } V_n, r \text{ and group } O(n), \text{ } v_i \text{ transg.}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(n, n-r+1)$.

$$Sq^l(v_{\lambda_i-1}) = v_{\lambda_i-1+l} \quad \text{for } l=0, \dots, \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i - 1 = \overset{3}{\cancel{\lambda_i}},$$

where $i=1, \dots, \mu(n, r)$.

Proof: $Sq^l(v_{\lambda_i-1}) = \binom{\lambda_i-1}{l} v_{\lambda_i-1+l} = \binom{\lambda_i-1}{j-\lambda_i} v_{j-1}$

where $j = l + \lambda_i$. ~~Recall~~ To apply theorem 2.1,

we note that $\lambda_i \leq j \leq \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i - 1 + \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1} - 1$.

Let $W_i \in H^i(\mathbb{G}_m)$ denote the ~~universal~~ universal Stiefel-Whitney classes, $i=1, \dots, n$. $H^*(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is a polynomial ring on W_1, \dots, W_n . Similar to proposition 2.2 we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.3. $Sq^l(W_{\lambda_i}) = W_{\lambda_i+l} \pmod{\text{ideal generated by } W_{\lambda_i}, \dots, W_{\lambda_i+l-1}}$ for $l=0, \dots, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1} - 1 = \overset{3}{\cancel{\lambda_i}} v_i$,

where $i=1, \dots, \mu(n, r)$.

Proof: Recall the Wu formula: $Sq^t(W_i) = \sum_{s=0}^t \binom{i-t+s-1}{s}$

$W_{t-s} \cup W_{i+s}$. Thus proposition 2.3 is true if

$\binom{\lambda_i-1}{l} \neq 0$ in the stated range. This was proved in the

proof of proposition 2.2.

§ 3. $V_{n,r}$ Bundles

Let ξ be an n -plane bundle over B , with group $O(n)$. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be the associated bundle with fibre $V_{n,r}$. Let $P_T: E_T \rightarrow B$ be the associated bundle with fibre $T(V_{n,r})$, the cone on $V_{n,r}$. E_T may be considered as the mapping cylinder of p . ~~P_T~~ is a homotopy equivalence and we will study E by first considering the pair (E_T, E) .

The universal bundle for this situation is when ξ is the canonical bundle over $BO(n)$, the Grassmann manifold of n -planes in R^∞ . Here E can be taken to be $BO(n-r)$ and p the map induced by the inclusion $BO(n-r) \subset BO(n)$. Recall that $H^*(BO(n))$ is a polynomial ring on generators W_1, \dots, W_n and

$$p^*(W_i) = \begin{cases} W_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-r \\ 0 & \text{if } n-r < i \end{cases}$$

Consider the exact sequence of the pair $(BO(n-r)_+, BO(n-r))$:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^*(BO(n-r)_+, BO(n-r)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^*(BO(n-r)_+) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(BO(n)) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^*(BO(n))$$

$\uparrow p_T^*$

p_T^* is an isomorphism, p^* is an epimorphism, hence
 i^* is an epimorphism, $\delta^* = 0$, and j^* is a monomorphism.

Thus, for $i=1, \dots, \mu$, we may choose $U_i \in H^{\lambda_i}(BO(n-r), BO(n))$
such that $j^*(U_i) = p_T^{**}(W_{\lambda_i})$.

For ~~this bundle~~ an arbitrary bundle ξ , we may
define $U_i(\xi) \in H^{\lambda_i}(E_T, E)$, for $i=1, \dots, \mu$, by

~~$\delta^*(U_i(\xi)) = f_\xi^*(U_i)$~~ , where $f_\xi: (E_T, E) \rightarrow$
 $(BO(n-r)_T, BO(n-r))$ is the classifying map.

Let $j_1: V_{n,r} \rightarrow E$ and $j_2: (T(V_{n,r}), V_{n,r}) \rightarrow (E_T, E)$
be the inclusion maps. Let δ' be the coboundary in the
exact sequence of the pair $(T(V_{n,r}), V_{n,r})$.

THEOREM 3.1. $\delta'(U_{\lambda_i-1}) = j_2^*(U_i(\xi))$.

This theorem is an immediate consequence of the following
more general lemma which is of interest in itself.

Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre space, $b_0 \in B$, $F = p^{-1}(b_0)$.

The suspension homomorphism, ~~is a homeomorphism~~
~~is the kernel of~~ $\delta: \text{Ker } p^* \rightarrow \text{Coker } j_1^*$, $j_1: F \rightarrow E$,
is of degree -1 and is, roughly speaking, the inverse

of the transgression. Let E_T be the mapping cylinder of P , $P_T : E_T \rightarrow B$, and $T(F) = P_T^{-1}(b_0)$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & H^8(B) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow P_T^* & & & & \\ H^{8-1}(E) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^8(E_T, E) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^8(E_T) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^8(E) \\ \downarrow \delta_1^* & & \downarrow \delta_2^* & & & & \\ H^{8-1}(F) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & H^8(\text{DT}(F), F). & & & & \end{array}$$

Then $\delta'^{-1} \delta_2^* j^* i^* P_T^*$ defines $\sigma : \text{Ker } p^* \rightarrow \text{Coker } j_1^*$.

LEMMA 3.2. $\sigma = -s$.

This lemma is proved by applying a rather general argument, valid for any triad, to the triad $(E_T; E, T(F))$.

§ 4. Steenrod Operations, in $BO(n)$.

Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ be an admissible sequence, i.e.

$i_j \geq \ell \geq i_{j+1}$. We define a set of polynomials $Q_i(I, k, \ell)$

in w_1, \dots, w_n , whenever $I \neq (l)$, $\ell = 0, \dots, \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i - 1 = \gamma_i$
(give range of k)

so as to satisfy

$$q_i) \quad Sg^I(w_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{\ell=0}^{\gamma_i} Q_i(I, k, \ell) \cup Sg^\ell(w_{\lambda_n}).$$

In order to do this, one first writes $w_{\lambda_k + \ell}$, for $\ell = 0, \dots, \gamma_k$, $k = 1, \dots, i$,

as a linear combination of $\{Sq^m(W_{\lambda_k})\}$, $m = 0, \dots, l$,

with coefficients which are polynomials in W_1, \dots, W_n .

This can be done by proposition 2.3 using induction on l .

Then, using induction on t and the Wu formulae ~~(4.1)~~,

~~we~~ define polynomials $R_i(I, j)$ by

$$4.2) \quad \text{S}q^I(W_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{j=\lambda_i}^n R_i(I, j) \cup W_j.$$

Finally, substitute the above expressions for $W_{\lambda_k} + l = W_j$ into 4.2) to obtain 4.1). ~~Defin~~ Also, denote by

$Q(i, I)$ the polynomial $Q_i(I, k, l)$ when $\lambda_k + l = \lambda_i + n(I)$.

If such k and l exist, denote them by $k(i, I)$ and $l(i, I)$ respectively. If such k and l do not exist, let $Q(i, I) = 0$.

Note that $Q(i, I) = 0$ or 1.

Since $j^*(U_i) = p'^*(W_{\lambda_i})$, 4.1) implies that the Steenrod operations in $H^*/BO(n-r)_+, BO(n-r))$ are given by:

$$4.3) \quad Sq^I(U_i) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p_i^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) \cup Sq^l(U_k).$$

§5. $V_{n,r}$ Bundles with ~~the Fibre is totally non-~~
~~homologous to zero~~
 $W_{n-r+1} = 0, \dots, W_n = 0.$

Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be the associated bundle to E with fibre $V_{n,r}$ and group $O(n)$. Assume that $W_{n-r+1}(\xi) = 0, W_{n-r+2}(\xi) = 0, \dots, W_n(\xi) = 0$. (This is equivalent to the statement that the fibre is totally non-homologous to zero with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients.) On the cohomology sequence of the pair (E_T, E) , p^* is a monomorphism, i^* is a monomorphism, $j^* = 0$, and δ^* is an epimorphism.

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\mu)$, $a_i \in H^{\lambda_i-1}(E)$ be such that

$\delta^*(a_i) = v_i(\xi)$. Since $\delta' j_1^*(a_i) = j_2^* \delta^*(a_i) = j_2^*(v_{\lambda_i-1})$
 $= \delta'(v_{\lambda_i-1})$ by theorem 3.1, and δ' is an isomorphism,
~~we see that~~
 $j_1^*(a_i) = v_{\lambda_i-1}.$

Now $\{ v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_s} \}$, $n-r+1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq n-1$

form a base for $H^*(V_{n,r})$ as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -module. Using proposition 2.2 and the fact that ~~the~~ $V_{n,r}$ is totally non-homologous to zero in E , we see that ~~any~~ $a \in H^*(E)$ ~~as a free module over $H^*(B)$~~ can be written

uniquely in the form

$$5.1) u = p^*(u_0) + \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_s \leq \mu} \sum_{l_j=0}^{r_{k_j}} p^*(u(k, l, a)) \cup Sq^l(a_{k_j}) \cup \dots$$

$\cup Sq^{l_s}(a_{k_s})$, where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$ and $l = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$.

If we assume that $H^*(B)$ is known as an algebra over the Steenrod algebra, then in order to know $H^*(E)$ as an algebra over the Steenrod algebra, it suffices to know the expansion of $Sq^I(a_i)$. $\delta^*(Sq^I(a_i)) =$

$$Sq^I(\delta^*(a_i)) = Sq^I(U_{\lambda_i}(\xi)) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p_T^*(Q_i(I, k, l)(\xi)) \cup Sq^l(U_k(\xi))$$

Dropping the symbol ξ we have, $\delta^*(Sq^I(a_i)) =$

$$\sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p_T^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) \cup \delta^*(Sq^l(a_{k})) = \delta^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) \cup\right.$$

$Sq^l(a_{k})\right)$. By exactness of the cohomology sequence of (E_T, E) ,

we define $\alpha_i(I, a) \in H^*(B)$ by

$$5.2) Sq^I(a_i) = \cancel{\alpha_i(I, a)} \cancel{+} p^*(\alpha_i(I, a)) +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) \cup Sq^l(a_{k}).$$

Note that $s=1$ in the expansion of $Sq^I(a_i)$.

However, a is not unique. If $\delta^*(a_i) = \delta^*(a'_i)$ 10

$= U_i(\xi)$, then there exists $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $b_i \in H^{\lambda_i-1}(B)$, such that $a' = a + p^*(b)$. Substituting in 5.2), we easily see that

$$5.3) \quad \alpha_i(I, a') = \alpha_i(I, a) + Sq^I(b_i) + \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} Q_i(I, k, l) \cup Sq^l(b_k).$$

$$Q_i(I, k, l) \cup Sq^l(b_k).$$

Hence, we may define an invariant of the bundle ξ

by $\alpha_i(I, \xi) = \{ \alpha_i(I, a) \} \in H^{\lambda_i-1+n(I)}(B) \quad \cancel{A_i(I, \xi)}$,

where $A_i(I, \xi) = \left(Sq^I + \sum_{l=0}^{r_i} Q_i(I, i, l) \cup Sq^l \right) (H^{\lambda_i-1}(B)) + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{r_k} Q_i(I, k, l) \cup Sq^l \right) (H^{\lambda_k-1}(B)).$ (Here, as above,

$Q_i(I, k, l)$ denotes $Q_i(I, k, l)(\xi)$.)
 It is clear that these invariants are natural with respect to bundle maps.

REMARK:

We conclude this section with a property of

$$\alpha_i(I, \xi).$$

THEOREM 5.4. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ has a cross-section, then $\alpha_i(I, \xi) = 0$.

Proof: Let $a_i' = a_i + p^* s^*(a_i)$, where $s: B \rightarrow E$

is a cross-section. Then $s^*(a_i') = 0$ and

$$s^*(Sq^I(a_i')) = Sq^I(s^*(a_i')) = 0 = \alpha_i(I, a') + 0 \text{ by 5.2).}$$

§ 6. The Universal Example.

The classes $\alpha_i(I, \xi)$ are secondary characteristic classes defined whenever $W_{n-r+1}(\xi) = 0, \dots, W_n(\xi) = 0$. We now study the universal example of such bundles ξ and show that any secondary characteristic class is determined by the ones already defined.

Let $W: BO(n) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_i)$ be defined by

$W^*(\bar{\iota}(i)) = W_{\lambda_i}$, where $\bar{\iota}(i) \in H^{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_i)$ is the canonical

generator. Define $\pi: K_n^{(n)} \rightarrow BO(n)$ to be the fibre space

induced by W from the path space over $\prod_{i=1}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_i)$,

and let $h: F = \prod_{i=1}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_i - 1) \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$ be the inclusion of the fibre in the total space.

Let $f = f(\xi): B \rightarrow BO(n)$ be the classifying map for

bundle ξ . If $W_n(\xi) = f^*(W_n) = 0, \dots, W_{n-n+1}(\xi) = 0$,

then there exists an $\bar{f}: B \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$ such that $\pi \bar{f} \simeq f$.

~~Then~~ π is a principal fibre space; let $\mu: F \times K_n^{(n)} \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$ be the operation of the fibres on the total space. Then if $\bar{f}_i: B \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$ are such that $\pi \bar{f}_i \simeq f$, $i=1, 2$, then there exists $u: B \rightarrow F$ such that $\mu(u, \bar{f}_1) \simeq \bar{f}_2$ (c.f. [5]).

If $\phi \in H^*(K_n^{(n)})$, we define a secondary characteristic class $\Phi(\xi) = \{\bar{f}^*(\phi)\} \subset H^*(B)$, where \bar{f} runs through all maps \bar{f} such that $\pi \bar{f} \simeq f$.

We now study $H^*(K_n^{(n)})$. Consider the cohomology spectral sequence of the fibre-map π . Let τ denote

the transgression $\tau: \mathcal{L}(i) \in H^{\lambda_i-1}(Z_2, \lambda_i-1)$ denote the canonical generators. Then $\tau(\mathcal{L}(i)) = W_{\lambda_i}$. Hence,

$$\tau(S_q^I(\mathcal{L}(i))) = S_q^I(W_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} Q_i(I, k, l) \cdot S_q^l(W_{\lambda_k})$$

(by 4.1). If $I \neq (l)$, $l=0, \dots, r_i$, and $n(I) + \lambda_i \neq l + \lambda_k$, then the corresponding term on the right

left side is $d_{l+\lambda_k} (Q_i(I, l, l) \otimes Sg^l(\zeta(l)))$

with $l + \lambda_k < n(I) + \lambda_i$. Thus, in $E_{n(I)+\lambda_i} >$

$$d_{n(I)+\lambda_i} (Sg^I(\zeta(i))) = d_{n(I)+\lambda_i} (Q(i, I) \cup Sg^{l(i, I)}(\zeta(\kappa(i, I))))$$

Hence we have proved the following proposition.

For every admissible sequence I ,

PROPOSITION 6.1. There exists $\phi_i(I) \in H^{\lambda_i-1+n(I)}(K_n^{(n)})$

such that $h^*(\phi_i(I)) = Sg^I(\zeta(i)) + Q(i, I) \cup Sg^{l(i, I)}(\zeta(\kappa(i, I)))$.

We now compute the structure of $H^*(K_n^{(n)})$.

THEOREM 6.2. Let $\phi_i(I)$ satisfy 6.1. Then $H^*(K_n^{(n)})$ is a polynomial algebra on generators $\pi^*(w_1), \dots, \pi^*(w_{n-2})$, and $\{\phi_i(I)\}$, where (i, I) runs through all pairs satisfying the following conditions :

- 1) $i = 1, \dots, \mu$,
- 2) for each i , I is admissible,
- 3) for each i , $e(I) < \lambda_i - 1$ except as in 5),
- 4) for each i , do not include $I = \boxed{\phi_{i+1}(l)}, l=1, \dots, \gamma_i$,
- 5) for each i , include $I = (\lambda_i - 1)$ and $(\lambda_i - 1 + l, l)$ for $l = 1, \dots, \gamma_i$.

Proof: Consider the spectral sequence discussed above.

Using the known structure of $H^*(\mathbb{Z}_2, \lambda_i - 1)$, we see that

E_2 is a polynomial algebra on generators w_1, \dots, w_n ,
 $\in Sg^I(\zeta(i))\}$, where (i, I) runs through all pairs with

$i=1, \dots, \mu$, I admissible, and $e(I) < \lambda_i - 1$. The proof
is based on successive applications of the following easy
lemma.

LEMMA 6.3. Let A be an algebra over \mathbb{Z}_2 with
differentiation d . If A is a polynomial algebra on
generators x_1, x_2, \dots , and $d(x_1) = x_2$, $d(x_g) = 0$ if $g > 1$,
then $H(A)$ is a polynomial algebra on x_1^2, x_3, \dots .

The first non-trivial d in the spectral sequence
is d_{n-r+1} and $d_{n-r+1}(\zeta(\mu)) = w_{n-r+1} = w_{\lambda\mu} \cdot d_{n-r+1}$
is zero on all other generators and thus E_{n-r+2} is a
polynomial algebra on $w_1, \dots, w_{n-r}, w_{n-r+2}, \dots, w_n$,
 $\{Sg^I(\zeta(i))\}$, where (i, I) run through all pairs
with $i=1, \dots, \mu$, I admissible, $e(I) < \lambda_i - 1$
except (μ, ϕ) which corresponds to $\zeta(\mu)$ and

we must add the pair $(\mu, (\lambda_\mu - 1))$ which corresponds to $\ell(\mu)^2 \cdot d_{n-r+2} (Sq^1(\ell(\mu))) = Sq^2(d_{n-r+1}, (\ell(\mu))) = Sq^1(W_{n-r+1}) = W_1 \cdot W_{n-r+1} + W_{n-r+2} = \{W_{n-r+2}\}$, and d_{n-r+2} is zero on all other generators.

Thus E_{n-r+3} is a polynomial algebra on $W_1, \dots, W_{n-r}, W_{n-r+3}, \dots, W_n, \{Sq^I(\ell(i))\}$, where (i, I) run through all pairs with $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, I admissible, $e(I) < \lambda_i - 1$ except (μ, \emptyset) and $(\mu, (1))$ and we must add $(\mu, (\lambda_\mu - 1))$ and $(\mu, (\lambda_\mu, 1))$. Continue in this manner.

~~until we reach $E_{n-r+3} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu=1}^{n-r} (d(\mu-1) = W_\mu)$ and~~

~~proceed as above~~ starting with ~~E_{n-r+3}~~ , however, it is possible that more than one generator goes into the same element under d . In order to avoid this, we take a new set of

generators: $'Sq^I(\ell(i)) = Sq^I(\ell(i)) + Q(i, I) \circ Sq^{l(i, I)}(\ell(k(i, I)))$ instead of $Sq^I(\ell(i))$. Then $d(Sq^{l(i, I)}(\ell(k(i, I)))) \neq 0$ but $d('Sq^I(\ell(i))) = 0$ and we may continue

the process as ~~do~~ before. ~~Other cases~~

Thus, E_∞ is a polynomial algebra on generators $w_1, \dots, w_{n-r}, \{S^I(\iota(i))\}$, where (i, I) run through all pairs satisfying conditions 1) to 5) of theorem 6.2. If $\phi_i(I)$ satisfies 6.1, then it projects to $S^I(\iota(i))$ in E_∞ . Hence there are no polynomial relations between $\pi^*(w_1), \dots, \pi^*(w_{n-r}), \{\phi_i(I)\}$ in $H^*(K_n^{(n)})$. By counting elements in each dimension, we see that there can be no other elements and the theorem is proved.

Now let ξ be the n -plane bundle over $K_n^{(n)}$ induced by π from the canonical bundle over $BO(n)$. Let $p(\xi)$ ~~be~~: $E_n^{(n)} \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$ be the associated bundle with fibre $V_{n,n}$.

THEOREM 6.4. There exists ~~a~~ $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_i \in H^{\lambda_i - 1}(E_n^{(n)})$ such that $\phi_i(I) = \alpha_i(I, a) \in H^{\lambda_i - 1 + n(I)}(K_n^{(n)})$ satisfies 6.1.

As an immediate corollary of theorem 6.4, we

have the following.

COROLLARY 6.5. Any secondary characteristic class is a polynomial in W_1, \dots, W_{n-r} , and those described in theorem 6.2.

Proof of theorem 6.4: Let $\pi_1: (K_n^{(n)}, F) \rightarrow (BO(n), *)$,

$$\pi_2: (E_{n-T}^{(n)}, E_n^{(n)}) \rightarrow (BO(n-r)_T, BO(n-r))$$

$$P_T: BO(n-r)_T \rightarrow BO(n)$$

and $P_T^{(n)}: E_{n-T}^{(n)} \rightarrow K_n^{(n)}$. $\pi_3: E_n^{(n)} \rightarrow BO(n-r)$,

F , $h^{(n)}: F \rightarrow E_n^{(n)}$ is the inclusion of the fibre in the total space and $p(h)h^{(n)} = h$. It is easy to check that the following two compositions are homotopic.

$$(E_{n-T}^{(n)}, F) \xrightarrow{h_1^{(n)}} (E_{n-T}^{(n)}, E_n^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (BO(n-r)_T, BO(n-r))$$

$$\text{and } (E_{n-T}^{(n)}, F) \xrightarrow{P_T^{(n)}} (K_n^{(n)}, F) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (BO(n), *) \xrightarrow{P_T^{-1}} (BO(n-r)_T, *)$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad} (BO(n-r)_T, BO(n-r))$ where P_T^{-1} is the "zero" cross-section of P_T . $P_T^{(n)}$ and P_T^{-1} induce isomorphisms on cohomology. Let $\delta: H^{\lambda_i-1}(F) \rightarrow H^{\lambda_i}(E_{n-T}^{(n)}, F)$.

By definition of transgression in the fibre space $\pi: K_n^{(n)} \rightarrow$

○ $BO(n)$ and the fact that ~~$j^*(U_i) = W_{\lambda_i}$~~ $j^*(U_i) =$

W_{λ_i} , we have $p_T^{(n)*} \pi_1^* p_T^{-1*} j^*(U_i) = \delta(\zeta(i))$. Thus,

$h_1^{(n)*} \pi_2^*(U_i) = \delta(\zeta(i))$. Let $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$ be such

that $\delta^*(a'_i) = \pi_2^*(U_i)$. Then $h^{(n)*}(a'_i)$ is such that

$\delta(h^{(n)*}(a'_i)) = h_1^{(n)*} \delta^*(a'_i) = h_1^{(n)*} \pi_2^*(U_i) = \delta(\zeta(i))$. Let

$j_1^{(n)}: E_n^{(n)} \rightarrow E_{nT}^{(n)}$ and $j_1: F \rightarrow E_{nT}^{(n)}$. ~~then there exists~~

an element $b_i \in H^{\lambda_i-1}(E_{nT}^{(n)})$ such that $\zeta(i) = h^{(n)*}(a'_i) +$

$j_1^*(b_i)$. Set $a_i^* = a'_i + j^{(n)*}(b_i)$ and we have

$h^{(n)*}(a_i^*) = \zeta(i)$ and $\delta^*(a_i^*) = \pi_2^*(U_i)$. By 5.2),

$$Sq^I(a_i^*) = p(\xi)^*(\alpha_i(I, a)) + \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{l=0}^{r_k} p(\xi)^* \pi^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) \circ Sq^l(a_k).$$

Apply $h^{(n)*}$ to this equation. $h^{(n)*} p(\xi)^* \pi^*(Q_i(I, k, l))$

$$= h^{(n)*} \pi_3^* p^*(Q_i(I, k, l)) = 0 \text{ unless the dimension of}$$

○ $Q_i(I, k, l)$ is zero. Thus $h^{(n)*}$ of the above equation

becomes $Sq^I(\zeta(i)) = h^*(\alpha_i(I, a)) + Q(i, I) \circ Sq^{l(i, I)}(\zeta(k(i, I)))$.

$$\text{as } h^{(n)*} p(\xi)^* = h^*.$$

§7. Stability Properties.

In this section we show that, modulo a possibly larger subgroup, the secondary characteristic classes $\alpha_i(I, \xi)$ are invariants of the stable class of the bundle ξ .

Let ξ be an n -plane bundle over B with $W_{n-r+1}(\xi) = 0, \dots, W_n(\xi) = 0$. Let Θ^s denote the trivial s -plane bundle over B . Let $\eta = \xi \oplus \Theta^s$. Clearly, $W_{n-r+1}(\eta) = 0, \dots, W_{n+s}(\eta) = 0$. Let $p(\xi) : E(\xi) \rightarrow B$ and $p(\eta) : E(\eta) \rightarrow B$ be the associated $V_{n,r}$ and $V_{n+s, r+s}$ bundles respectively. ~~Clearly, there~~ Clearly, there is a fibre-preserving map $g : E(\xi) \rightarrow E(\eta)$ which is the usual inclusion on ~~the~~ fibre. Let $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(n, n-r+1)$, $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i(n+s, n-r+1)$, $\mu = \mu(n, r)$, $\mu' = \mu(n+s, r+s)$. ~~Let~~

~~Clearly, there exists t such that~~ Let $t = \mu' - \mu$. Then

$$\lambda_i = \lambda'_{i+t} \quad \text{if } i=1, \dots, \mu.$$

LEMMA 7.1. We can choose $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{\mu'})$,

$a_i \in H^{\lambda_i' - 1}(E(\eta))$ so that $g^*(a_i) = 0$ if $i = 1, \dots, t$

and so that $g^*(a) = (g^*(a_{t+1}), \dots, g^*(a_{\mu'}))$ is an
a for $H^*(E(\xi))$.

Proof: We will denote $BO(n-r)_T$ for the bundle
 $p: BO(n-r) \rightarrow BO(n)$ by $BO(n)$. Let $\kappa: (BO(n), BO(n-r))$
 $\rightarrow (BO(n+s), BO(n-r))$. Then $\kappa^*(U_i') = 0$ if $i = 1, \dots, t$
and $\kappa^*(U_i') = U_{i-t}$ if $i = t+1, \dots, \mu'$. Consider
the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}^*(E(\eta))_T & \xrightarrow{i(\eta)^*} & H^*(E(\eta)) & \xleftarrow{f_\eta^*} & H^*(BO(n+s), BO(n-r)) \\
\downarrow \approx & \downarrow g^* & \downarrow g_i^* & & \downarrow \kappa^* \\
H^*(E(\xi)) & \xrightarrow{i(\xi)^*} & H^*(E(\xi)) & \xleftarrow{f_\xi^*} & H^*(BO(n), BO(n-r)).
\end{array}$$

$\delta g^*(a_i) = g_i^* \delta(a_i) = g_i^* f_\eta^*(U_i') = f_\xi^* \kappa^*(U_i') = f_\xi^*(U_{i-t})$
if $i = t+1, \dots, \mu'$. If $i = 1, \dots, t$, then $\delta g^*(a_i) = 0$ and
 $g^*(a_i) = i(\xi)^*(b_i)$. Set $a_i' = a_i + i(\eta)^*(b_i)$ and the lemma
is proven.

Recall that $\alpha_i(I, \xi) \in H^{\lambda_i - 1 + n(I)}(B) / A_i(I, \xi)$,
where $A_i(I, \xi) = (Sg^I + \sum_{l=0}^{v_i} Q_i(I, i, l)(\xi) \circ Sg^l)(H^{\lambda_i - 1}(B)) +$

$\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{Y_k} Q_i(I, k, l)(\xi) \cup S_g^l \right) (H^{\lambda_k^{i-1}}(B))$. Also,

$\alpha_i(I, \eta) \in H^{\lambda_i^{i-1+n}(I)}(B) / A_i(I, \eta)$, where

$$A_i(I, \eta) = \left(S_g^I + \sum_{l=0}^{Y_k'} Q_i'(I, i, l)(\eta) \cup S_g^l \right) (H^{\lambda_i^{i-1}}(B)) +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{Y_k'} Q_i'(I, k, l)(\eta) \cup S_g^l \right) (H^{\lambda_k^{i-1}}(B)). \text{ Since}$$

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+t}' \quad \text{and} \quad \cancel{Q_i(I, k, l)(\xi)} \quad Q_i(I, k, l)(\xi) =$$

$Q_{i+t}'(I, k+t, l)(\eta)$, we have $A_i(I, \xi) \subset A_{i+t}(I, \eta)$ for $i=1, \dots, \mu$.

THEOREM 7.2. $\{\alpha_i(I, \xi)\} = \alpha_{i+t}(I, \eta) \in H^{\lambda_i^{i-1}}(B) / A_{i+t}(I, \eta)$

Proof: Choose a as in lemma 7.1. Then

$$S_g^I(a_{i+t}) = p(\eta)^*(\alpha_{i+t}(I, a)) + \sum_{k=1}^{i+t} \sum_{l=0}^{Y_k'} p(\eta)^* Q_{i+t}'(I, k, l)(\eta) \cup S_g^l(a_k).$$

Apply g^* to this equation ~~and~~ to obtain

$$S_g^I(g^*(a_{i+t})) = p(\xi)^*(\alpha_{i+t}(I, a)) + \sum_{k=t+1}^{i+t} \sum_{l=0}^{Y_k'} p(\xi)^* Q_i(I, k-t, l)(\xi) \cup S_g^l(g^*(a_k)).$$

Thus $\alpha_i(I, g^*(a)) = \alpha_{i+t}(I, a)$. This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 7.3. If ξ and ξ' are two
 n-plane bundles over B with $W_{n-r+1}(\xi) = W_{n-r+1}(\xi')$
 $= 0, \dots, W_n(\xi) = W_n(\xi') = 0$ which are stably
 equivalent, then $\{\alpha_i(I, \xi)\} = \{\alpha_i(I, \xi')\} \in$
 $H^{\lambda_i-1}(B)/A_{i+1}(I, \eta)$, where $\eta = \xi \oplus \theta^s = \xi' \oplus \theta^s$.

Yale University and

M. I. T.

Bibliography

- 1. J. Adem, "The Relations on Steenrod Powers of Cohomology Classes," Algebraic Geometry and Topology, A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, Princeton University Press, 1957, 191-238.
- 2. W.S. Massey, "On the cohomology ring of a sphere bundle," J. Math. Mech., 7(1958), 265-280.
- 3. W.S. Massey, "On the imbeddability of the real projective spaces in Euclidean space," Pacific J. Math., 9(1959), 783-789.
- 4. F.P. Peterson and N. Stein, "Secondary characteristic classes," Ann. of Math.,
- 5. F.P. Peterson and E. Thomas, "A note on non-stable cohomology operations," Boletin de la Sociedad Matematica Mexicana, 3(1958), 13-18.

Footnotes

¹ During the preparation of this paper,

the first-named author was partially supported by N.S.F. Grant G-18995;

~~2~~ the second named author is an Alfred P. Sloan fellow and was partially supported by the U. S. Army Research Office.

² Throughout this paper, all cohomology groups and rings have \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients.

³ Some of the formalism is made more complicated by the numbers introduced in this section; however, the analysis of the universal example is simplified.