

Contents

1 The unitary group G	1
2 Jacquet-Langlands correspondence	3
3 Clozel's base change	3

Quick recap

Rough idea of [HT]:

unitary group G + moduli problem involving $G \Rightarrow$ Shimura variety X
 $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ is a $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \times \text{Gal}(F^{ac}/F)$ -module and

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}_\xi) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi \otimes R_\xi^i(\pi)),$$

where the sum is over irreducible admissible representations π of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ and R_ξ^i is a finite dimensional continuous representation of $\text{Gal}(F^{ac}/F)$.

$$R_\xi(\pi) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_i (-1)^i [R_\xi^i(\pi)].$$

Relate $[R_\xi(\pi)]$ to other things.

1 The unitary group G

- E - imaginary quadratic field in which p splits
- u - a prime of E above p
- c - complex conjugation in $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$
- F^+/\mathbb{Q} - a totally real field of degree d
- $F = EF^+$
- $w = w_1, \dots, w_r$ places of F above u
- $\mathbb{A}^\infty = \prod_{q \nmid \infty} \mathbb{Q}_q$

Let B be a division algebra over F of dimension n^2 such that

- F is the center of B
- $B^{\text{op}} \cong B \otimes_{E,c} E$
- B is split at w , i.e., $B_w \cong M_n(F_w)$ **for one w or for all w_1, \dots, w_r ?**
- at any place x of F which is not split over F^+ , B_x is split
- at any place x of F which is split over F^+ , B_x is either split or a division algebra
- if n is even then $1 + dn/2$ is congruent mod 2 to the number of places of F^+ above which B is ramified, i.e. not split. **This condition is used in the proof of Lemma I.7.1**

where are these conditions used? Pick a positive involution of second kind $*$ on B (i.e. $*|_F = c$ and $\text{tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}}(xx^*) > 0$ for all $0 \neq x \in B$).

Let V denote the $B \otimes_F B^{\text{op}}$ module B . We want to look at $*$ -hermitian alternating pairings $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $(V \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty) \times (V \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\infty$) (i.e., $\langle bx, y \rangle = \langle x, b^*y \rangle$ for all $b \in B$). Such pairings are of the form

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\beta = \text{tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}}(x\beta y^*)$$

for some $\beta \in B^{*-1}$ (resp. $B^{*-1} \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty$). Define the action $\#_\beta$ to be $x^{\#_\beta} = \beta x^* \beta^{-1}$, then $\#_\beta$ is an involution of the second kind on B (resp. $B \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty$). We have

$$\langle (b_1 \otimes b_2)x_1, x_2 \rangle_\beta = \langle x_1, (b_1^* \otimes b_2^{\#_\beta})x_2 \rangle_\beta.$$

Let G_β/\mathbb{Q} (resp. $G_\beta/\mathbb{A}^\infty$) be the algebraic group (**general unitary group**) whose R -points are

$$G_\beta(R) = \{(\lambda, g) \in R^\times \times (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R)^\times \mid gg^{\#_\beta} = \lambda\}.$$

Let $G_{\beta,1}$ (**unitary group**) be the kernel of the map $G_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ given by $(\lambda, g) \rightarrow \lambda$. The structure map $G_{\beta,1} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. \mathbb{A}^∞) factors through $\text{Spec } F^+$ (resp. $\text{Spec } (F^+ \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty)$), so $G_{\beta,1}$ can be seen as an algebraic group over F^+ . **Action of $\#_\beta$ on B^{op} ? Also why use B^{op} instead of B ?**

Lemma 1. *For any embedding $\tau : F^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists $0 \neq \beta \in B^{*-1}$ such that*

1. *if x is a rational prime not split in E , then $G_{\beta,1}$ and G_β are quasi-split at x ,*
2. *and the pairing \langle, \rangle_β on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ has invariants $(1, n-1)$ at τ and $(0, n)$ at any embedding $\tau' \neq \tau$.*

Proof. Parametrize pairings by some H^1 , then use some local-global exact sequence of cohomologies. \square

Fix some choice of τ , we choose some β as in the lemma and write $\langle, \rangle, \#, G, G_1$ for the corresponding objects arising from β . By part (2) of the lemma, the pairing \langle, \rangle has a well-defined extension

$$\langle, \rangle : (V \otimes \mathbb{A}) \times (V \otimes \mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$$

with invariants $(1, n-1)$ at τ and $(0, n)$ at all other infinite places. Thus we get an involution $\#_\tau$ on $B^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ and groups $G_\tau, G_{\tau,1}$ over \mathbb{A} . Up to equivalence, the involution $\#_\tau$ and groups $G_\tau, G_{\tau,1}$ only depends on τ and not β .

Some properties of G_τ that will be used later. For an E -algebra R ,

$$G_\tau(R) \cong \{(g_1, g_2) \in (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_E R) \times (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{E,c} R) \mid g_1 g_2^{\#_\tau}, g_2 g_1^{\#_\tau} \in R^\times\}.$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\tau(R) &\cong (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_E R)^\times \times R^\times \\ (g_1, g_2) &\mapsto (g_1, g_1 g_2^{\#_\tau}) \\ (g, \nu g^{-\#_\tau}) &\mapsto (g, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

When a place x of \mathbb{Q} splits into $x = yy^c$ in E , we can identify \mathbb{Q}_x and E_y as E -algebras and identify

$$G(\mathbb{Q}_x) = (B_y^{\text{op}})^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_x^\times.$$

2 Jacquet-Langlands correspondence

Let K be a p -adic field. Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $D_{K,g}$ be a division algebra with center K and rank g^2 over K .

Rogawski, Deligne–Kazhdan–Vigneras showed that there exists a unique bijection

JL: {irreducible admissible representations of $D_{K,g}^\times$ } \rightarrow {square integrable irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_g(K)$ } that satisfy certain properties of characters.

For a supercuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$, we denote by $Q(\pi, s)$ the unique irreducible quotient of $n\text{-Ind}(\pi \times \cdots \times \pi \otimes |\det|^{s-1})$. cf. [Ze]p197 Denote by $Z(\pi, s)$ the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $n\text{-Ind}(\pi \times \cdots \times \pi \otimes |\det|^{s-1})$ cf. [Ze]p180.

$Q(\pi, s)$ (resp. $Z(\pi, s)$) is $Sp_s(\pi)$ (resp. $\pi \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi \otimes |\det|^{s-1})$) in the notation of [HT]

Let $S(B)$ be the set of places of F at which B ramifies (which we assumed to be division algebra).

Theorem 2. (a) If ρ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$, then there exists a unique irreducible automorphic representation $JL(\rho)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, which occurs in the discrete spectrum and for which

$$JL(\rho)^{S(B)} \cong \rho^{S(B)}.$$

(b) If $x \in S(B)$ and $JL(\rho_x) = Q(\pi_x, s_x)$, then

- either $JL(\rho)_x \cong Q(\pi_x, s_x)$
- or $JL(\rho)_x \cong Z(\pi_x, s_x)$

The image of JL is the set of irreducible automorphic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that

- π occurs in the discrete spectrum
- and for every $x \in S(B)$ there exist $s_x | n$ and an irreducible supercuspidal representations π'_x of $\mathrm{GL}_{n/s_x}(F_x)$ such that $\pi_x \cong Sp_{s_x}(\pi'_x)$ or $\pi_x \cong \pi'_x \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi'_x \otimes |\det|^{s_x-1})$

If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are two irreducible automorphic representations of $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$ such that $\rho_{1x} = \rho_{2x}$ for all but finitely many places x of F , then $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. (strong multiplicity one)

Corollary 3. Suppose that ρ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $JL(\rho)$ is cuspidal.
2. For one place $x \notin S(B)$ the component ρ_x is generic.
3. For all places $x \notin S(B)$ the component ρ_x is generic.

3 Clozel's base change

Goal: give a surjective (Theorem 8) map (Theorem 5)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible automorphic representations} \\ \pi \text{ of } G_\tau(\mathbb{A}) \text{ such that } \pi_\infty \text{ is cohomological} \\ \text{for } \xi' \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{BC} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\Pi, \psi), \Pi \text{ irreducible automorphic representation} \\ \text{of } (B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times, \psi \text{ character of } \mathbb{A}_E^\times / E^\times, \\ \text{satisfying conditions (4)-(7) in Theorem 5} \end{array} \right\}$$

that is compatible with local base change.

Some notations:

- Fix an embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- ξ - an irreducible representation of G on a \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} -vector space ($l \neq p$).
- Fix an embedding $\iota : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $\xi' = \iota(\xi)$, then ξ' is an irreducible algebraic representation of G_τ over \mathbb{C} .
- For a representation π , let ψ_π denote its central character.

Note that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^E(G_\tau \times E) \times \mathbb{C} \cong (G_\tau \times \mathbb{C}) \times_{\mathbb{C}} (G_\tau \times \mathbb{C}),$$

where the first factor corresponds to $\tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the second to $\tau \circ c$. Let ξ'_E denote the representation $\xi' \otimes \xi'$ of $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^E(G_\tau \times E)$ over \mathbb{C} . Note that $G_\tau(E_\infty) = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^E(G_\tau \times E)(\mathbb{R})$. We will denote also by ξ'_E the restriction of the representation to $\text{GL}_n(F_\infty) \subset E_\infty^\times \times \text{GL}_n(F_\infty) \cong G_\tau(E_\infty)$. **I don't understand details in this paragraph**

Definition 4. We say an irreducible admissible representation π_∞ of $G_\tau(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. Π_∞ of $\text{GL}_n(F_\infty)$) is cohomological for ξ' (resp. ξ'_E) if for some i ,

$$H^i((\text{Lie } G_\tau(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U_\tau, \pi_\infty \otimes \xi') \neq 0$$

(resp.

$$H^i(M_n(F_\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(0, n)^{[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]}, \Pi_\infty \otimes \xi'_E) \neq 0.)$$

What are U_τ and $U(0, n)^{[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]}$?

Let x be a place of \mathbb{Q} . Next we define (under some conditions) the local base change from representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_x)$ to representations of $G(E_x)$.

First suppose x **splits** into $x = yy^c$ in E . Recall that we have $G(\mathbb{Q}_x) = (B_y^{\text{op}})^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_x^\times$. So we can decompose irreducible admissible representations π of $G(\mathbb{Q}_x)$ into

$$\pi \cong \pi_y \otimes \psi_{\pi, y^c}.$$

Replacing y by y^c , we get $\pi_{y^c} = \pi_y^\#$ and $\psi_{\pi, y} = \psi_{\pi_y} \psi_{\pi, y^c}$, where $\pi_y^\#$ is defined by $\pi_y^\#(g) = \pi_y(g^{-\#})$. **what does $-\#$ mean?** We define $BC(\pi)$ to be the representation

$$\pi_y \otimes \pi_{y^c} \otimes (\psi_{\pi, y^c} \circ c) \otimes (\psi_{\pi, y} \circ c)$$

of

$$G(E_\tau) \cong (B_x^{\text{op}})^\times \times E_x^\times \cong (B_y^{\text{op}})^\times \times (B_{y^c}^{\text{op}})^\times \times E_y^\times \times E_{y^c}^\times.$$

Now suppose that x is **inert** in E and

- x is unramified in F ,

- $(B_x^{\text{op}}, \#) \cong (M_n(F_x), \dagger)$, where $g^\dagger = w(g^c)^t w^{-1}$ with $w = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$.

These two conditions only exclude finitely many places of \mathbb{Q} . Then $G(\mathbb{Q}_x)$ is quasi-split and split over an unramified extension. Let B_x be a Borel subgroup of $G \times F_x$ so that $B_x(F_x)$ corresponds to the set of upper triangular matrices in $M_n(F_x)$. Let T_x be a maximal torus in B_x such that $T_x(F_x)$ corresponds to the set of diagonal elements in $M_n(F_x)$. Then **why?**

$$T_x(\mathbb{Q}_x) \cong \{(d_0; d_1, \dots, d_n)\} \in \mathbb{Q}_x^\times \times (F_x^\times)^n \mid d_0 = d_i d_{n+1-i}^{-1}, \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For a character ψ of $T_x(\mathbb{Q}_x)$ we define a character $BC(\psi)$ of $E_x^\times \times (F_x^\times)^n$ by

$$BC(\psi)(d_0; d_1, \dots, d_n) = \psi(d_0 d_0^c, d_0 d_1 / d_n^c, \dots, d_0 d_n / d_1^c).$$

Let B denote the Borel subgroup of upper triangular elements of GL_n . If π is an unramified representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_x)$ which is a subquotient of $\text{n-Ind}_{B_x(\mathbb{Q}_x)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_x)} \psi$, we define $BC(\pi)$ to be the unique unramified subquotient of $\text{n-Ind}_{E_x^\times \times B(F_x)}^{E_x^\times \times GL_n(F_x)} BC(\psi)$.

If Π is an irreducible automorphic representation of $(B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A})^\times$, we define $\Pi^\#$ by $\Pi^\#(g) = \Pi(g^{-\#})$. Strong multiplicity one implies that

$$JL(\Pi^\#) = JL(\Pi)^\vee \circ c.$$

Theorem 5. *Suppose that π is an irreducible automorphic representation of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$ such that π_∞ is cohomological for ξ' . Then there is a unique irreducible automorphic representation $BC(\pi) = (\psi, \Pi)$ of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times \times (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A})^\times$ such that*

1. $\psi = \psi_\pi|_{\mathbb{A}_E^\times}^c$, *how is \mathbb{A}_E^\times contained in the center of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$?*
2. if x is a place of \mathbb{Q} that splits in E then $BC(\pi)_x = BC(\pi_x)$,
3. for almost all places x of \mathbb{Q} (which are inert in E) we have $BC(\pi)_x = BC(\pi_x)$,
4. Π_∞ is cohomological for ξ'_E ,
5. $\psi_\infty^c = \xi'|_{E_\infty^\times}^{-1}$ (where $E_\infty^\times \subset G_\tau(\mathbb{R})$),
6. $\psi_\Pi|_{\mathbb{A}_E^\times} = \psi^c / \psi$,
7. $\Pi^\# \cong \Pi$.

I'm not sure if the cohomological condition parts of the theorem below is correct.

Theorem 6 (Clozel-Labesse, 1999). *Let π be an automorphic representation of $G_{\tau,1}(\mathbb{A})$ such that π is cohomological for ξ' and one of the following conditions hold:*

- at a finite place v of F , π_v is the Steinberg representation
- at a place v of F , U_v is obtained from a division algebra.

Then there exists an automorphic representation Π of $(B^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$ such that $\Pi_v = BC(\pi_v)$ almost everywhere and Π is cohomological for ξ'_E .

Proof idea. Comparison of trace formulas. □

Proof sketch of Theorem 5. Let $T = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^E \mathbb{G}_m$ and $T^1 = \ker T \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{G}_m$. We want to construct an irreducible automorphic representation π' of $(T \times G_{\tau,1})(\mathbb{A})$ such that

- $\pi'|_{T^1(\mathbb{A})} = 1$,
- if x is a place of \mathbb{Q} that splits in E , then $\pi'_x = \pi_x|_{(T \times G_1)(\mathbb{Q}_x)}$,
- for almost all places x of \mathbb{Q} that are inert in E , π'_x is the unique unramified subquotient of $\pi_x|_{(T \times G_1)(\mathbb{Q}_x)}$,
- $\pi'_\infty|_{E_\infty^\times} = \xi'|_{E_\infty^\times}^{-1}$,
- and for some i we have

$$H^i(\mathrm{Lie} G_{\tau,1}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U_\infty, (\pi'_\infty \otimes \xi')|_{G_{\tau,1}(\mathbb{R})}) \neq 0.$$

Such π' is of the form $\psi^c \otimes \pi'_1$ for some character ψ of $E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times$ and automorphic representation π'_1 of $G_{\tau,1}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\psi|_{T^1(\mathbb{A})} = \psi|_{T^1(\mathbb{A})}^{-1}$. Apply [Theorem 6](#) to π'_1 , we obtain a representation Π of $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$ such that $(\psi|_{\mathbb{A}_E^\times}, \Pi)$ satisfies properties (1)-(6) in the theorem. Uniqueness and property (7) follows from strong multiplicity one ([Theorem 6](#)).

We construct the π' as follows. Note that there is a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow T^1 \rightarrow T \times G_{\tau,1} \rightarrow G_\tau \\ t \mapsto (t, t^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last map is surjective on geometric points. If π is an automorphic representation of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$, its “restriction” to $(T \times G_{\tau,1})(\mathbb{A})$ is a semisimple admissible representation. [then we want to make local components irreducible and automorphic](#)

When x is a place of \mathbb{Q} that splits in E , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^1(\mathbb{Q}_x) \rightarrow (T \times G_1)(\mathbb{Q}_x) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q}_x) \rightarrow 0.$$

If π_x is an irreducible admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_x)$, then the restriction $\pi_x|_{(T \times G_1)(\mathbb{Q}_x)}$ is also irreducible. [\(With the embedding \$T^1 \rightarrow T \times G_{\tau,1}\$ above, the restriction of \$\pi_x\$ to \$T^1\(\mathbb{Q}_x\)\$ is trivial. So we can reconstruct subrepresentations of \$\pi_x\$ from subrepresentations of \$\pi_x|_{\(T \times G_1\)\(\mathbb{Q}_x\)}\$.](#)

Other cases can be done using similar exact sequences. (Conjugating irreducible subquotients of $\pi_x|_{(T \times G_1)(\mathbb{Q}_x)}$ by appropriate elements in $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$ can make them into automorphic representations.) \square

Corollary 7. *If π and π' are irreducible automorphic representations of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$ such that π_∞ and π'_∞ are cohomological for ξ' and such that $\pi_x \cong \pi'_x$ for almost all places x of \mathbb{Q} , then $\pi_x \cong \pi'_x$ for all places x of \mathbb{Q} which split in E .*

Proof. [Corollary 3 + Theorem 5.](#) \square

Theorem 8. *Suppose that Π is an irreducible automorphic representation of $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$ and ψ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times/E^\times$ satisfying conditions (4)-(7) in [Theorem 5](#). (all ψ appearing in the image of BC are invariant under E^\times by the proof of [Theorem 5](#)) Then there is an irreducible automorphic representation π of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$ such that*

1. $BC(\pi) = (\psi, \Pi)$,
2. and π_∞ is cohomological for ξ' .

Moreover, $\dim[R_{\iota^{-1}(\xi')}(\iota^{-1}\pi^\infty)] \neq 0$.

Proof sketch. Clozel: there exists a representation π_1 of $G_{\tau,1}(\mathbb{A})$ that is cohomological and compatible with local base change. Then $\psi^c \otimes \pi_1$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $(T \times G_{\tau,1})(\mathbb{A})$ which is trivial on $T^1(\mathbb{A})$. Thus $\psi^c \times \pi_1$ is a subrepresentation of the restriction of some automorphic representation π of $G_\tau(\mathbb{A})$ to $(T \times G_{\tau,1})(\mathbb{A})$. This π satisfies the required conditions. \square