# **Quivers and Perverse Sheaves**

- 9.1. The Complexes  $L_{\nu}$
- **9.1.1.** By definition, a (finite) graph is a pair consisting of two finite sets **I** (vertices) and H (edges) and a map which to each  $h \in H$  associates a two-element subset [h] of **I**.

We say that h is an edge joining the two vertices in [h]. We assume given a finite graph  $(\mathbf{I}, H, h \mapsto [h])$ . An *orientation* of our graph consists of two maps  $H \to \mathbf{I}$  denoted  $h \mapsto h'$  and  $h \mapsto h''$  such that for any  $h \in H$ , the two elements of [h] are precisely h', h''. We assume given an orientation of our graph. Thus we have an oriented graph (=quiver). Note that

- (a) for any  $h \in H$ , we have  $h' \neq h''$ .
- **9.1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the category of finite dimensional I-graded k-vector spaces  $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{V_i}$ ; the morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}$  are isomorphisms of vector spaces compatible with the grading.

For each  $\nu = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \nu_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  we denote by  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{V}$  whose objects are those  $\mathbf{V}$  such that  $\dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = \nu_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ . Then each object of  $\mathcal{V}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu}$  for a unique  $\nu \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  and any two objects of  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu}$  are isomorphic to each other. Moreover,  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu}$  is non-empty for any  $\nu \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$ .

Given  $V \in V$ , we define  $G_{V} = \{g \in GL(V) | g(V_i) = V_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}$  and

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_{h'}, \mathbf{V}_{h''}).$$

Then  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$  is an algebraic group (isomorphic to  $\prod_{\mathbf{i}} GL(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}})$ ) acting naturally on the vector space  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  by

$$(g,x)\mapsto gx=x'$$
 where  $x_h'=g_{h''}x_hg_{h'}^{-1}$  for all  $h\in H.$ 

**9.1.3. Flags.** A subset I' of I is said to be *discrete* if there is no  $h \in H$  such that  $[h] \subset I'$ .

If  $\nu \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$ , we define the support of  $\nu$  as  $\{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} | \nu_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0\}$ . We say that  $\nu$  is discrete if its support is a discrete subset of  $\mathbf{I}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all sequences  $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu^1,\nu^2,\ldots,\nu^m)$  in  $\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  such that  $\nu^l$  is discrete for all l. Now let  $\mathbf{V}\in\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathcal{X}$  be such that  $\dim\mathbf{V_i}=\sum_l \nu_i^l$  for all  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}$ . A flag of type  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  in  $\mathbf{V}$  is by definition a sequence

(a) 
$$f = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supset \mathbf{V}^1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathbf{V}^m = 0)$$

of I-graded subspaces of V such that, for  $l=1,2,\ldots,m$ , the graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}^{l-1}/\mathbf{V}^l$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_{\nu^l}$ . If  $x\in\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , we say that f is x-stable if  $x_h(\mathbf{V}^l_{h'})\subset\mathbf{V}^l_{h''}$  for all  $l=0,1,\ldots,m$  and all h.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\nu}$  be the variety of all flags of type  $\nu$  in  $\mathbf{V}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu}$  be the variety of all pairs (x, f) such that  $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu}$  is x-stable. Note that  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$  acts (transitively) on  $\mathcal{F}_{\nu}$  by  $g: f \to gf$  where f is as in (a) and  $gf = (\mathbf{V} = g\mathbf{V}^0 \supset g\mathbf{V}^1 \supset \cdots \supset g\mathbf{V}^m = 0)$ . Hence  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$  acts on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu}$  by  $g: (x, f) \to (gx, gf)$ .

Let  $\pi_{\nu}: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu} \to \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  be the first projection. We note the following properties which are easily checked.

(b)  $\mathcal{F}_{\nu}$  is a smooth, irreducible, projective variety of dimension

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}:l < l'} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}^{l};$$

the second projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu} \to \mathcal{F}_{\nu}$  is a vector bundle of dimension

$$\sum_{h:l'< l} \nu_{h'}^{l'} \nu_{h''}^l.$$

(c)  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  is a smooth, irreducible variety of dimension

$$f(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{h}; l' < l} \nu_{\boldsymbol{h'}}^{l'} \nu_{\boldsymbol{h''}}^{l} + \sum_{\mathbf{i}; l < l'} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}^{l}.$$

(d)  $\pi_{\nu}$  is a proper  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -equivariant morphism.

Let  $\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = (\pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})_! \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}})$ . By (c),(d) and by 8.1.5,  $\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  is a semisimple complex on  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . Let  $L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}[f(\boldsymbol{\nu})]$ . Since  $D(\mathbf{1}[f(\boldsymbol{\nu})]) = \mathbf{1}[f(\boldsymbol{\nu})]$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  (see (c)) we have  $D(L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) = L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}})$  consisting of perverse sheaves which are direct sums of simple perverse sheaves L that have the following property: L[d] appears as a direct summand of  $L_{\nu}$  for some  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$  and some  $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$  such that dim  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{l} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}^{l}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ .

We denote by  $Q_{\mathbf{V}}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}})$  whose objects are the complexes that are isomorphic to finite direct sums of complexes of the form L[d'] for various simple perverse sheaves  $L \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and various  $d' \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Any complex in  $Q_{\mathbf{V}}$  is semisimple and  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -equivariant. From 8.1.4, we see that  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $Q_{\mathbf{V}}$  are stable under Verdier duality.

**9.1.4.** Let  $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu^1,\nu^2,\ldots,\nu^m)\in\mathcal{X}$ . Assume that for some j we write  $\nu^j=\nu^j_1+\nu^j_2$  where  $\nu^j_1,\nu^j_2\in\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  have disjoint support. Let  $\boldsymbol{\nu}'=(\nu^1,\nu^2,\ldots,\nu^{j-1},\nu^j_1,\nu^j_2,\nu^{j+1},\ldots,\nu^m)\in\mathcal{X}$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}$  and  $f(\boldsymbol{\nu})=f(\boldsymbol{\nu}')$ . Hence  $L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}$ . Thus, in the definition of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , we may restrict ourselves to sequences  $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu^1,\nu^2,\ldots,\nu^m)\in\mathcal{X}$  such that each  $\nu^j$  is of the form  $n\mathbf{i}$  for some  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}$  and some n>0. Since there are only finitely many such  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  (subject to dim  $\mathbf{V_i}=\sum_l \nu_i^l$  for all  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}$ ) we see that  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  has only finitely many simple objects, up to isomorphism.

- **9.1.5.** In the special case where V is such that  $\sum_{i} \dim V_{i}i$  is discrete, we have  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  has exactly one simple object up to isomorphism, namely 1.
- **9.1.6.** Let  $K, K' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . The following two conditions are equivalent:
  - (a)  $K \cong K'$ ;
- (b) dim  $\mathbf{D}_j(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}, G_{\mathbf{V}}; K, DB) = \dim \mathbf{D}_j(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}, G_{\mathbf{V}}; K', DB)$  for all simple objects  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

It is clear that (a) implies (b). Assume now that K, K' are not isomorphic. Now K is a direct sum of complexes L[n] where L runs over the isomorphism classes of simple objects L of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ; let  $m(L, n) \in \mathbf{N}$  be the number of times that L[n] appears in this direct sum. We define similarly m'(L, n) by replacing K by K'. Since K, K' are not isomorphic, we can find  $L_0, n_0$  such that  $m(L_0, n_0) \neq m'(L_0, n_0)$  and such that m(L, n) = m'(L, n) for all L and all  $n < n_0$ . By (b), we have

$$\sum_{L,n} m(L,n) \dim \mathbf{D}_{j+n}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}},G_{\mathbf{V}};L,DB)$$

$$= \sum_{L,n} m'(L,n) \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{D}_{j+n}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}},G_{\mathbf{V}};L,DB)$$

for all simple objects  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Using 8.1.10(d), we rewrite this as follows:

$$m(B, -j) + \sum_{L} \sum_{n; n < -j} m(L, n) \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{D}_{j+n}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}, G_{\mathbf{V}}; L, DB)$$

(c) 
$$= m'(B, -j) + \sum_{L} \sum_{n; n < -j} m'(L, n) \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{D}_{j+n}(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}, G_{\mathbf{V}}; L, DB).$$

We apply this to  $B = L_0$  and  $j = -n_0$ . Since by our assumption, m(L, n) = m'(L, n) for  $n < n_0$ , we see that (c) implies  $m(L_0, n_0) = m'(L_0, n_0)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus the equivalence of (a),(b) is proved.

#### 9.2. THE FUNCTORS IND AND RES

**9.2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W}$  be two objects of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We can form  $\mathbf{E_T}, \mathbf{E_W}$  and their product  $\mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W}$ . This has an action of  $G_{\mathbf{T}} \times G_{\mathbf{W}}$  (product of actions as in 9.1.2).

We define a full subcategory  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W})$  and a full subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W})$ , as a special case of the definitions of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$  in 9.1.3; indeed,  $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W}$  are special cases of  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{E_V}$  where the oriented graph in 9.1.1 has been replaced by the disjoint union of two copies of that oriented graph.

From the definitions it is clear that any simple object  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  is the external tensor product  $B' \otimes B''$  of two simple objects  $B' \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $B'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$  (and conversely). Note that any complex in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  is semisimple and  $G_{\mathbf{T}} \times G_{\mathbf{W}}$ -equivariant.

**9.2.2.** We assume that we are given V, T, W in V, that W is a subspace of V and that T = V/W. We also assume that the obvious maps  $W \to V$  and  $V \to T$  preserve the I-grading. Let Q be the stabilizer of W in  $G_V$  (a parabolic subgroup of  $G_V$ ). We denote by U the unipotent radical of Q. We have canonically  $Q/U = G_T \times G_W$ .

Let F be the closed subvariety of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  consisting of all  $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  such that  $x_h(\mathbf{W}_{h'}) \subset \mathbf{W}_{h''}$  for all  $h \in H$ . We denote by  $\iota : F \to \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  the inclusion. Note that Q acts on F (restriction of the  $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -action on  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ).

If  $x \in F$ , then x induces elements  $x' \in \mathbf{E_T}$  and  $x'' \in \mathbf{E_W}$ ; the map  $x \mapsto (x', x'')$  is a vector bundle  $\kappa : F \to \mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W}$ . Now Q acts on  $\mathbf{E_T} \times \mathbf{E_W}$  through its quotient  $Q/U = G_T \times G_W$ . The map  $\kappa$  is compatible with the Q-actions.

We set  $G_{\mathbf{V}} = G, Q/U = \bar{G}, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = E, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}} \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} = \bar{E}$ . We have a diagram

$$\bar{E} \stackrel{\kappa}{\leftarrow} F \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} E.$$

Let  $E'' = G \times_P F$ ,  $E' = G \times_U F$ . We have a diagram

$$\bar{E} \stackrel{p_1}{\longleftarrow} E' \stackrel{p_2}{\longrightarrow} E'' \stackrel{p_3}{\longrightarrow} E$$

where  $p_1(g, f) = \kappa(f)$ ;  $p_2(g, f) = (g, f)$ ;  $p_3(g, f) = g(\iota(f))$ . Note that  $p_1$  is smooth with connected fibres,  $p_2$  is a  $\bar{G}$ -principal bundle and  $p_3$  is proper.

Let A be a complex in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  and let B be a complex in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . We can form  $\kappa_!(\iota^*B) \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{E})$ . Now  $p_1^*A$  is a  $\bar{G}$ -equivariant semisimple complex on E'; hence  $(p_2)_b p_1^*A$  is a well-defined semisimple complex on E'' (see 8.1.7(c)). We can form  $(p_3)_!(p_2)_b p_1^*A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{V})$ .

## **Lemma 9.2.3.** $(p_3)_!(p_2)_{\flat}p_1^*A \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ .

The general case can be immediately reduced to the case where A is a simple perverse sheaf in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  and this is immediately reduced to the case where  $A = L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}''}$ . (Note that a direct summand of a complex in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ .) Thus, it suffices to prove that  $(p_3)_!(p_2)_\flat p_1^*(\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'} \otimes \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}''}) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\nu}' = (\nu_1', \nu_2', \dots, \nu_{m'}') \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nu}'' = (\nu_1'', \nu_2'', \dots, \nu_{m''}'') \in \mathcal{X}$  satisfy dim  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}' = \sum_{l} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}'^{l}$ , dim  $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{l} \nu_{\mathbf{i}}''^{l}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ .

Let  $\nu'\nu''$  be the sequence of elements in N[I] formed by the elements of the sequence  $\nu'$  followed by the elements of the sequence  $\nu''$ . Recall that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu''}$  consists of pairs (x,f) where  $x\in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and f is a flag of type  $\nu'\nu''$  in  $\mathbf{V}$  which is x-stable. Now the subspace with index m' in  $f=(\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}^0\supset \mathbf{V}^1\supset\dots)$  is in the G-orbit of  $\mathbf{W}$ . The pairs (x,f) for which this subspace is equal to  $\mathbf{W}$  form a closed subvariety  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu'',0}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu''}$ ; for such (x,f) we have  $x\in F$ , hence  $(x,f)\to x$  defines a (proper) morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu'',0}\to F$ . This morphism is Q-equivariant (for the natural actions of Q). Hence it induces a proper morphism  $u:G\times_Q\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu'',0}\to G\times_QF=E''$ . Since  $G\times_Q\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu'\nu'',0}$  is smooth, the complex  $\tilde{L}=u_!1\in\mathcal{D}(E'')$  is semisimple. (See 8.1.5.) It is clear from the definitions that  $p_2^*\tilde{L}=p_1^*(\tilde{L}_{\nu'}\otimes\tilde{L}_{\nu''})$  and  $(p_2)_!p_1^*(\tilde{L}_{\nu'}\otimes\tilde{L}_{\nu''})\cong\tilde{L}$ .

It remains to show that  $(p_3)_!\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , or equivalently, that  $(p_3u)_!\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . We may identify in a natural way  $G \times_Q \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}'',0} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}''}$ ; then  $p_3u = \pi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}''}$ . It follows that  $(p_3u)_!\mathbf{1} = \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}''}$  which is in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$  by definition. The lemma is proved.

#### Lemma 9.2.4. $\kappa_!(\iota^*B) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$ .

We may assume that B is a simple perverse sheaf in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . Since a direct summand of a complex in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  we see that it suffices to prove that  $\kappa_!(\iota^*\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{X}$  satisfies dim  $\mathbf{V_i} = \sum_l \nu_i^l$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ .

Let  $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu}$  be the inverse image of  $F \subset E$  under  $\pi_{\nu}$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{F} \to F$  be the restriction of  $\pi_{\nu}$ . We have  $\iota^*\tilde{L}_{\nu} = \tilde{\pi}_! \mathbf{1}$ ; hence

$$\kappa_!(\iota^*\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) = \kappa_!\tilde{\pi}_!\mathbf{1} = (\kappa\tilde{\pi})_!\mathbf{1}.$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\nu^1,\nu^2,\ldots,\nu^m).$  For any  $\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\omega}\in\mathcal{X}$  of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau^1, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^m), \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^1, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^m)$$

such that  $\tau^l + \omega^l = \nu^l$  for all l, we define a subvariety  $\tilde{F}(\tau, \omega)$  of  $\tilde{F}$  as the set of all pairs (x, f) where  $x \in F$  and  $f = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^0 \supset \mathbf{V}^1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathbf{V}^m = 0) \in$ 

 $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu}$  is x-stable and is such that the graded vector space  $(\mathbf{V}^{l-1} \cap \mathbf{W})/(\mathbf{V}^{l} \cap \mathbf{W})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_{\omega^{l}}$  for l = 1, 2, ..., m.

If (x, f) is as above, then there are induced elements  $(x', f') \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tau}$  and  $(x'', f'') \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ ; here x'' is deduced from x by restriction to  $\mathbf{W}$  and x' is deduced from x by passage to quotient; f' is given by the images of the subspaces in f under the projection  $\mathbf{V} \to \mathbf{T}$  and f'' is given by the intersections of the subspaces in f with  $\mathbf{W}$ . Thus we have a morphism  $\alpha: \tilde{F}(\tau, \omega) \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tau} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$egin{array}{cccc} ilde{F}( au,oldsymbol{\omega}) & \longrightarrow & ilde{F} \ & & & & & & & & & \\ lpha & & & & & \kappa ilde{\pi} & & & \\ ilde{\mathcal{F}}_{oldsymbol{ au}} imes ilde{\mathcal{F}}_{oldsymbol{\omega}} & \longrightarrow & ar{E} \,. \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal arrow is the obvious inclusion and the lower horizontal arrow is  $\pi_{\tau} \times \pi_{\omega}$ .

It is not difficult to verify (as in [9, 4.4]) that  $\alpha$  is a (locally trivial) vector bundle of dimension  $M(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{h:l' < l} \tau_{h'}^{l'} \omega_{h''}^{l} + \sum_{i:l < l'} \tau_{i}^{l'} \omega_{i}^{l}$ .

It is clear that the locally closed subvarieties  $\tilde{F}(\tau,\omega)$  form a partition of  $\tilde{F}$ . Let  $\tilde{F}_j$  be the union of all subvarieties  $\tilde{F}(\tau,\omega)$  of fixed dimension j. Let  $Z_j$  be the disjoint union of the varieties  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tau} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$  (union over those  $(\tau,\omega)$  such that  $\tilde{F}(\tau,\omega) \subset \tilde{F}_j$ ). The maps  $\alpha$  above can be assembled together to form a vector bundle  $\tilde{F}_j \to Z_j$ . The maps  $\pi_{\tau} \times \pi_{\omega}$  can be assembled together to form a (proper) morphism  $Z_j \to \bar{E}$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\tilde{F}_{j} \longrightarrow \tilde{F}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \kappa_{\tilde{\pi}} \downarrow$$

$$Z_{i} \longrightarrow \bar{E}$$

We may therefore use 8.1.6 to conclude that  $(\kappa \tilde{\pi})_! \mathbf{1}$  is a semisimple complex and that, for any i and j, there is a canonical exact sequence (in  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{E})$ ):

(a) 
$$0 \to H^n(f_j)_! \mathbf{1} \to H^n(f_{\le j})_! \mathbf{1} \to H^n(f_{\le j-1})_! \mathbf{1} \to 0$$

where  $f_j: \tilde{F}_j \to \bar{E}$  and  $f_{\leq j}: \cup_{j':j'\leq j} \tilde{F}_{j'} \to \bar{E}$  are the restrictions of  $\kappa \tilde{\pi}$ . The earlier arguments show that

(b) 
$$(f_j)_! \mathbf{1} = \oplus (\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \otimes \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}})[-2M(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega})]$$

where the direct sum is taken over all  $(\tau, \omega)$  such that  $\tilde{F}(\tau, \omega) \subset \tilde{F}_j$ .

From (a),(b) we see by induction on j that all composition factors of  $H^n(f_{\leq j})_!\mathbf{1}$  are in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . Taking j large enough we see that all composition factors of  $H^n(\kappa\tilde{\pi})_!\mathbf{1}$  are in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . Since  $(\kappa\tilde{\pi})_!\mathbf{1}$  is semisimple, it follows that  $(\kappa\tilde{\pi})_!\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$ . The lemma is proved.

9.2.5. By Lemmas 9.2.3, 9.2.4, we have well-defined functors

$$\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}: \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}} \to \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \quad (A \mapsto (p_3)_!(p_2)_{\flat}p_1^*A)$$

and

$$\tilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}: \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \to \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}} \quad (B \mapsto \kappa_!(\iota^*B)).$$

Since  $\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}$  is defined using a direct image under a proper map and inverse images under smooth morphisms with connected fibres, it commutes with Verdier duality up to shift (see 8.1.1, 8.1.4); more precisely,

$$D(\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(A)) = \tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(D(A))[2d_1 - 2d_2]$$

where  $d_1$  is the dimension of the fibres of  $p_1$  and  $d_2$  is the dimension of the fibres of  $p_2$ . We have

$$d_1 - d_2 = \sum_h \dim \mathbf{T}_{h'} \dim \mathbf{W}_{h''} + \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

We set

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} = \tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}[d_1 - d_2].$$

Then

$$D(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(A)) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(D(A)).$$

The functor  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}$  is called *induction*.

**9.2.6.** From the proof of 9.2.3 and of 9.2.4, we see that

(a) 
$$\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}\otimes\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}''})=\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}''}$$

(b) 
$$\tilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} \tilde{L}_{\nu} \cong \oplus (\tilde{L}_{\tau} \otimes \tilde{L}_{\omega})[-2M(\tau,\omega)]$$

where the sum is taken over all  $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau^1, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^m), \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^1, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^m)$  such that dim  $\mathbf{T_i} = \sum_l \tau_i^l$ , dim  $\mathbf{W_i} = \sum_l \omega_i^l$  for all  $\mathbf{i}$  and  $\tau^l + \omega^l = \nu^l$  for all l.

**9.2.7.** We have  $f(\nu'\nu'') = f(\nu) + f(\nu') + d_1 - d_2$ ; hence from 9.2.6(a) we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}\otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}''})=L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}'\boldsymbol{\nu}''}.$$

**9.2.8.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a smooth irreducible variety with a free action of G. Let  $\overline{\Gamma} = U \backslash \Gamma$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is a smooth irreducible variety with a free action of  $\overline{G}$  induced by that of G. Consider the diagram

$$E \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} \Gamma \times E \stackrel{t}{\rightarrow} G \backslash (\Gamma \times E)$$

with the obvious maps s, t. As in 8.1.9,  $s^*A$  is a semisimple G-equivariant complex on  $\Gamma \times E$  and, since t is a principal G-bundle, the semisimple complex  $t_{\flat}s^*B \in \mathcal{D}(G\backslash(\Gamma \times E))$  is well-defined. In particular, we can replace B by  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$  and we obtain the semisimple complex

$$t_{\flat}s^*(\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A) \in \mathcal{D}(G\backslash(\Gamma\times E)).$$

Replacing  $E, \Gamma, G$  by  $\bar{E}, \bar{\Gamma}, \bar{G}$ , we obtain a similar diagram

$$\bar{E} \stackrel{\bar{s}}{\leftarrow} \bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{E} \stackrel{\bar{t}}{\rightarrow} \bar{G} \setminus (\bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{E})$$

and we can consider the semisimple complex  $\bar{t}_{\flat}\bar{s}^*A \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{G}\setminus(\bar{\Gamma}\times\bar{E}))$ . In particular, we can replace A by  $\tilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}B$  and we obtain the semisimple complex

$$\bar{t}_{\flat}\bar{s}^{*}(\tilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}B)\in\mathcal{D}(\bar{G}\backslash(\bar{\Gamma}\times\bar{E})).$$

Let  $u: G \setminus (\Gamma \times E) \to \{\text{point}\}\ \text{and}\ \bar{u}: \bar{G} \setminus (\bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{E}) \to \{\text{point}\}\ \text{be the obvious maps.}$ 

Lemma 9.2.9 (Adjunction). We have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^n u_!(t_{\flat} s^* (\tilde{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A) \otimes t_{\flat} s^* (B)) \cong \mathcal{H}^n \bar{u}_!(\bar{t}_{\flat} \bar{s}^* (A) \otimes \bar{t}_{\flat} \bar{s}^* (\tilde{Res}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} B))$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Hence, for any  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

(a) 
$$\mathbf{D}_{j'}(E, G; \tilde{Ind}_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A, B) = \mathbf{D}_{j}(\bar{E}, \bar{G}; A, \tilde{Res}_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} B)$$

where  $j' = j + 2 \dim G/Q$ .

The proof (which uses 8.1.6) is given in [2]; we will not repeat it here. The shift from j to j' comes from the formula  $\dim(G\backslash\Gamma) = \dim(\bar{G}\backslash\bar{\Gamma}) - \dim G/Q$ .

**9.2.10.** In order to eliminate the shift from j to j' in the previous lemma, we define

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}(B) = \operatorname{\tilde{Res}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}[d_1 - d_2 - 2\dim G/Q],$$

where  $d_1, d_2$  are as in 9.2.5. We can now rewrite the conclusion of the previous lemma as follows:

(a) 
$$\mathbf{D}_{j}(E, G; \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A, B) = \mathbf{D}_{j}(\bar{E}, \bar{G}; A, \operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} B).$$

Note that  $\dim G/Q = \sum_{i} \dim \mathbf{T}_{i} \dim \mathbf{W}_{i}$ ; hence

$$d_1 - d_2 - 2\dim G/Q = \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{h}'} \dim \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{h}''} - \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

The functor  $Res_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}$  is called *restriction*.

**9.2.11.** We can rewrite 9.2.6(b) as follows:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} L_{\nu} \cong \oplus (L_{\tau} \otimes L_{\omega})[M'(\tau,\omega)]$$

where the sum is taken over all  $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau^1, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^m), \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^1, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^m)$  such that dim  $\mathbf{T_i} = \sum_l \tau_i^l$ , dim  $\mathbf{W_i} = \sum_l \omega_i^l$  for all  $\mathbf{i}$  and  $\tau^l + \omega^l = \nu^l$  for all l; we have

$$M'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = d_1 - d_2 - 2\dim G/Q + f(\boldsymbol{\nu}) - f(\boldsymbol{\tau}) - f(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - 2M(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

We show that the last expression is independent of the orientation of our graph. From the definitions we have

$$\begin{split} M'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \sum_{h} \dim \mathbf{T}_{h'} \dim \mathbf{W}_{h''} - \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}} + \sum_{h; l' < l} \tau_{h'}^{l'} \omega_{h''}^{l} \\ &+ \sum_{h; l' < l} \omega_{h'}^{l'} \tau_{h''}^{l} + \sum_{\mathbf{i}; l < l'} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}^{l} + \sum_{\mathbf{i}; l < l'} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{l} - 2 \sum_{h; l' < l} \tau_{h'}^{l'} \omega_{h''}^{l} - 2 \sum_{\mathbf{i}; l < l'} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}^{l}. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} M'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) &= -\sum_{h; l' < l} (\tau_{h'}^{l'} \omega_{h''}^l + \tau_{h''}^{l'} \omega_{h'}^l) \\ &+ \sum_{h} (\dim \mathbf{T}_{h'} \dim \mathbf{W}_{h''} + \dim \mathbf{T}_{h''} \dim \mathbf{W}_{h'}) \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{i}: l < l'} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}^l + \sum_{\mathbf{i}: l > l'} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{l'} \omega_{\mathbf{i}}^l - \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \dim \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}, \end{split}$$

which is clearly independent of orientation.

## **9.3.** The Categories $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\gamma}$

9.3.1. Let  $\mathbf{I}'$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbf{I}$  (see 9.1.3). Let  $\gamma = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  be such that  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq\gamma}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  consisting of perverse sheaves which are direct sums of simple perverse sheaves L that have the following property: there exists a graded subspace  $\mathbf{W} \subset \mathbf{V}$  and an object  $A \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}$  such that  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$  satisfies dim  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \geq \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  if  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ , and  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}'} = 0$  for  $\mathbf{i}' \notin \mathbf{I}'$ ; moreover, L is a direct summand of  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$ .

Clearly,

(a)  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq\gamma}\supset \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq\gamma'}$  if  $\gamma'\in\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  has support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$  and  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}\leq\gamma'_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}'$ . Any object of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq0}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}',\geq\gamma}$  is empty if  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}>\dim\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}$  for some  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';>\gamma}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  consisting of the objects which are in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{i};\geq\gamma'}$  for some  $\gamma'\in\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  with support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$  such that  $\gamma'(\mathbf{i})\geq\gamma(\mathbf{i})$  for all  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}'$  and  $\gamma'(\mathbf{i})>\gamma(\mathbf{i})$  for some  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\gamma}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{i};\geq\gamma}$  consisting of those objects of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{i};\geq\gamma}$  which are not in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{i};\geq\gamma}$ . If K is a simple object of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $\mathbf{V}\neq0$ , then K is a direct summand of some shift of  $L_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  where  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  starts with  $\boldsymbol{\nu}^1=\gamma$  which may be assumed to be of form  $n\mathbf{i}$  for some  $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}$  and some n>0 (see 9.1.4); we see then that  $K\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\{\mathbf{i}\};\geq n\mathbf{i}}$ . Thus:

- (b) if K is a simple object of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $\mathbf{V} \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$  such that  $K \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\{\mathbf{i}\};>\mathbf{i}}$ .
- **9.3.2.** We now assume that  $\mathbf{W} \subset \mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$  are such that for any  $h \in H$  we have  $\mathbf{T}_{h'} = 0$  (hence  $\mathbf{W}_{h'} = \mathbf{V}_{h'}$ ). It follows that  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ . Moreover, we have a natural imbedding  $\iota : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} \to \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ; if  $x = (x_h) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$ , then the h-component of  $\iota(x) = x'$  is the composition  $\mathbf{V}_{h'} = \mathbf{W}_{h'} \xrightarrow{x_h} \mathbf{W}_{h''} \subset \mathbf{V}_{h''}$ . (In our case we have  $\kappa : F \cong \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$  and the imbedding  $\iota$  above may be identified with the imbedding  $F \to \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , see 9.2.2.) From our assumption it follows that the set  $\{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0\}$  is discrete; let  $\mathbf{I}'$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbf{I}$  containing  $\{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0\}$ .

We consider the locally closed subset  $\Theta$  of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  consisting of all  $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  such that  $\dim \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}/(\sum_{h \in H: h''=\mathbf{i}} x_h(\mathbf{V}_{h'})) = \dim \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ , and the open subset  $\Xi$  of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$  consisting of all  $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$  such that  $\sum_{h \in H: h''=\mathbf{i}} x_h(\mathbf{W}_{h'}) = \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ .

Let  $p: G \times_Q \mathbf{E_W} \to \mathbf{E_V}$  be the unique G-equivariant map such that  $(1, x) \mapsto i(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{E_W}$ ; let  $p_0: G \times_Q \Xi \to \Theta$  be the restriction of p. Note that  $p_0$  is an isomorphism. The inverse map can be described as

follows. Let  $x \in \Theta$ . The I-graded subspace

$$\oplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I'}} (\sum_{h \in H: h'' = \mathbf{i}} x_h(\mathbf{V}_{h'})) \oplus (\oplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I'}} \mathbf{V_i})$$

of **V** has the same dimension in each degree as **W**; hence it is equal to  $g(\mathbf{W})$  for some  $g \in G$ . The element gx is equal to  $\iota(x')$  for a well-defined  $x' \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$ . Then  $p_0^{-1}(x) = (g, x')$ . In particular,  $\Theta, G \times_Q \Xi, G \times_Q \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$  are irreducible of the same dimension.

Since p is a proper map, its image  $p(G \times_Q \mathbf{E_W})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{E_V}$  containing  $\Theta$ . Hence  $\dim G \times_Q \mathbf{E_W} \ge \dim p(G \times_Q \mathbf{E_W}) \ge \dim \Theta$ . It follows that these inequalities are equalities; hence  $\Theta$  is open dense in  $p(G \times_Q \mathbf{E_W})$ .

We have a commutative diagram

$$G \times_{Q} \Xi \xrightarrow{p_{0}} \Theta \xleftarrow{\iota_{0}} \Xi$$

$$j_{0} \downarrow \qquad \qquad j \downarrow \qquad \qquad m \downarrow$$

$$G \times_{Q} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}} \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$$

where  $\iota_0, j, j_0, m$  denote the inclusions. Both squares in the diagram are cartesian.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{0}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$  whose objects are those perverse sheaves A such that any simple constituent of A has support which meets  $\Xi$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{1}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$  whose objects are those perverse sheaves A such that the support of A is contained in  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} - \Xi$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{V}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  whose objects are those perverse sheaves B such that any simple constituent of B has support which meets  $\Theta$  and is contained in the closure of  $\Theta$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{V}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  whose objects are those perverse sheaves B such that the support of B is disjoint from  $\Theta$  and is contained in the closure of  $\Theta$ . Clearly, any object  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$  has a canonical decomposition  $A = A^0 \oplus A^1$  where  $A^0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^0$  and  $A^1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^1$ . Moreover, any object  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  with support contained in the closure of  $\Theta$  has a canonical decomposition  $B = B^0 \oplus B^1$  where  $B^0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^0$  and  $B^1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^1$ .

**Proposition 9.3.3.** (a) Let  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^0$ . If  $n \neq 0$ , we have  $H^n Ind_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^1$ . If n = 0, then  $H^n Ind_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$  has support contained in the closure of  $\Theta$ ; hence  $\xi(A) = (H^{\dim G/Q} Ind_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A)^0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^0$  is defined.

(b) Let  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^{0}$ . If  $n \neq 0$ , we have  $H^{n}Res_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^{1}$ . If n = 0, then  $H^{n}Res_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$  hence  $\rho(B) = (H^{-\dim G/Q}Res_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}B)^{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^{0}$  is defined.

(c) The functors  $\xi : \mathcal{P}^0_{\mathbf{W}} \to \mathcal{P}^0_{\mathbf{V}}$  and  $\rho : \mathcal{P}^0_{\mathbf{V}} \to \mathcal{P}^0_{\mathbf{W}}$  establish equivalences of categories inverse to each other.

Note that  $j^*B$  is a perverse sheaf on  $\Theta$ , since the support of B is contained in the closure of  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta$  is open in its closure. Moreover,  $j^*B$  is a G-equivariant perverse sheaf. Since  $\Theta = G \times_Q \Xi$ , it follows that  $\iota_0^*(j^*B)[-\dim G/Q]$  is a perverse sheaf on  $\Xi$ . But  $m^*\iota^*B = \iota_0^*j^*B$ , hence  $m^*\iota^*B[-\dim G/Q]$  is a perverse sheaf on  $\Xi$ . Since m is an open imbedding, we have  $m^*(H^n\iota^*B) = H^n(m^*\iota^*B)$  for any n, and this is zero if  $n \neq -\dim G/Q$ . Hence if  $n \neq -\dim G/Q$ , the support of  $H^n\iota^*B$  is disjoint from  $\Xi$ . We have  $\tilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{T,\mathbf{W}}^VB = \operatorname{Res}_{T,\mathbf{W}}^VB[\dim G/Q] = \iota^*B$  and (b) is proved.

Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the perverse sheaf on  $G \times_Q \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$  such that  $\tilde{A} = r_{\flat}' r^* A[\dim G/Q]$  in the diagram  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} \stackrel{r}{\leftarrow} G/U \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}} \stackrel{r'}{\longrightarrow} G \times_Q \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{W}}$ . By definition,

$$\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A = p_! r_{\flat}' r^* A = p_! \tilde{A}[-\dim G/Q].$$

This shows that  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$  has support contained in the image of p, hence in the closure of  $\Theta$ . We have

$$j^*(\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A) = j^*p_!\tilde{A}[-\dim G/Q] = (p_0)_!j_0^*\tilde{A}[-\dim G/Q].$$

Since  $j_0$  is an open imbedding, we see that  $j_0^*\tilde{A}$  is a perverse sheaf on  $G \times_Q \Xi$ . Since  $p_0 : G \times_Q \Xi \to \Theta$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $(p_0)_!j_0^*\tilde{A}$  is a perverse sheaf on  $\Theta$ . Thus  $j^*(\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A)[\dim G/Q]$  is a perverse sheaf on  $\Theta$ .

Since  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$  has support contained in the closure of  $\Theta$ , it follows that  $H^n\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$  has support contained in the closure of  $\Theta$  and  $j^*(H^n\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A)=H^n(j^*\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A)$ . This is zero if  $n\neq \dim G/Q$ . Hence for  $n\neq \dim G/Q$ , the support of  $H^n\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$  is disjoint from  $\Theta$ . This proves (a), since  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A[\dim G/Q]$ .

From the proof of (b), we have

$$m^*(\rho(B)) = m^*(H^{-\dim G/Q}\iota^*B)$$
$$= m^*(\iota^*B[-\dim G/Q])$$
$$= \iota_0^*j^*B[-\dim G/Q].$$

This implies that  $j_0^*\tilde{\rho}(B) = p_0^*j^*B$ . From the proof of (a), we have

$$j^*(\xi(A)) = j^*(H^{\dim G/Q} \tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A)$$
$$= j^*(\tilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}} A[\dim G/Q]) = (p_0)!j_0^*\tilde{A}.$$

Hence

$$m^*(\rho(\xi(A)) = \iota_0^* j^*(\xi(A))[-\dim G/Q] = \iota_0^*(p_0)! j_0^* \tilde{A}[-\dim G/Q] = m^* A$$

and

$$j^*(\xi(\rho(B)) = (p_0)!j_0^*\tilde{\rho}(B) = (p_0)!p_0^*j^*B = j^*B.$$

Since  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}^{0}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^{0}$ , it follows that  $\rho(\xi(A)) = A$  and  $\xi(\rho(B)) = B$ . The proposition is proved.

**9.3.4.** Assume that  $\mathbf{I}'$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{I}$  such that  $h' \notin \mathbf{I}'$  for any  $h \in H$ , that is,  $\mathbf{i}$  is a sink of our quiver, for any  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ . Let  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{V}$ . For any  $\gamma = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  with support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$ , let  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma}$  be the set of all  $x \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  such that

$$\dim \mathbf{V_i}/(\sum_{h \in H \cdot h'' = \mathbf{i}} x_h(\mathbf{V}_{h'})) = \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$$

for any  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ . The sets  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma}$  form a partition of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$  with the following property: for any  $\gamma$  as above, the union  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\geq\gamma} = \cup_{\gamma'} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma'}$  (with  $\gamma'$  running over the elements of  $\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  with support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$  such that  $\gamma_i' \geq \gamma_i$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ ) is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . Hence for any simple object B of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ , there is a unique element  $\gamma = \gamma^B \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  with support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$  such that the support of B is contained in  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\geq\gamma}$  and meets  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma}$ . We have  $\gamma_i \leq \dim \mathbf{V}_i$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$ .

**Lemma 9.3.5.** Assume that  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\gamma'}$  where  $\gamma' \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{I}]$  has support contained in  $\mathbf{I}'$ . Then  $\gamma^B = \gamma'$ .

We write  $\gamma$  instead of  $\gamma^B$ . Let **W** be a graded subspace of **V** such that  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$  satisfies dim  $\mathbf{T_i} = \gamma_i$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}'$  and  $\mathbf{T_{i'}} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I}'$ . We may apply Proposition 9.3.3 to  $\mathbf{I}'$  and B. With notations there, let  $A = \rho(B) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{W}}$ ; we have that some shift of B is a direct summand of  $\tilde{\mathbf{Ind}}_{\mathbf{T},\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{V}}A$ . Hence  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq \gamma}$ .

From the definition of induction we see that any perverse sheaf in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq\gamma'}$  has support contained in  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\geq\gamma'}$ . In particular, the support of B is contained in  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\geq\gamma'}$ . By definition, the support of B meets  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma}$ ; hence  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma}$  meets  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V};\gamma'}$ , so that  $\gamma_i \geq \gamma_i'$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{I}'$ .

Assume that  $\gamma_i > \gamma_i'$  for some  $i \in I'$ . Since  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\geq \gamma}$ , it follows that  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';>\gamma'}$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V};\mathbf{I}';\gamma'}$ . Thus, we must have  $\gamma_i = \gamma_i'$  for all  $i \in I'$ . The lemma is proved.