

CHAPTER 20

Bases at ∞

20.1. THE BASIS AT ∞ OF Λ_λ

20.1.1. Let M be an object of \mathcal{C}' . We define a *basis at ∞* of M to be a pair consisting of

- (a) a free \mathbf{A} -submodule L of M such that $M = \mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L = M$ and
- (b) a basis \mathbf{b} of the \mathbf{Q} -vector space $L/v^{-1}L$;

it is required that the properties (c)–(f) below are satisfied.

(c) L is stable under the operators $\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_i : M \rightarrow M$ for all i ; thus, \tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_i act on $L/v^{-1}L$;

(d) $\tilde{F}_i(\mathbf{b}) \subset \mathbf{b} \cup \{0\}$ and $\tilde{E}_i(\mathbf{b}) \subset \mathbf{b} \cup \{0\}$ for all i ;

(e) we have $L = \oplus L^\lambda$ where $L^\lambda = L \cap M^\lambda$ and $\mathbf{b} = \sqcup \mathbf{b}^\lambda$ where $\mathbf{b}^\lambda = \mathbf{b} \cap (L^\lambda/v^{-1}L^\lambda)$;

(f) given $b, b' \in \mathbf{b}$ and $i \in I$, we have $\tilde{E}_i b = b'$ if and only if $\tilde{F}_i b' = b$.

The definition given above of bases at ∞ is due to Kashiwara who calls them *crystal bases*.

Lemma 20.1.2. *Let $x \in L \cap M^\lambda$ and let $i \in I$. Let $t = \langle i, \lambda \rangle$. Write $x = \sum_{s; s \geq 0; s+t \geq 0} F_i^{(s)} x_s$ where $x_s \in \ker(E_i : M^{\lambda+si'} \rightarrow M)$ and $x_s = 0$ for large enough s . (See 16.1.4.)*

(a) *For all $s \geq 0$ we have $x_s \in L$.*

(b) *If $x \bmod v^{-1}L$ belongs to \mathbf{b} , then there exists s_0 such that $x_s \in v^{-1}L$ for $s \neq s_0$, $x_{s_0} \bmod v^{-1}L$ belongs to \mathbf{b} and $x = F_i^{(s_0)} x_{s_0} \bmod v^{-1}L$.*

We prove (a) by induction on $N \geq 0$ such that $x_s = 0$ for $s > N$. For $N = 0$, the result is clear. Assume now that $N \geq 1$. We have $\tilde{E}_i x = \sum_{s; s \geq 1; s+t \geq 0} F_i^{(s-1)} x_s$ where $x_s = 0$ for $s > N$. By definition, we have $\tilde{E}_i x \in L \cap M^{\lambda+i'}$. Hence if $t' = \langle i, \lambda + i' \rangle = t + 2$, we have $\tilde{E}_i x = \sum_{s'; s' \geq 0; s'+t' \geq 1} F_i^{(s')} x_{s'+1}$ and $x_{s'+1} = 0$ for $s' \geq N$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $x_s \in L$ for all $s \geq 1$. Since L is stable under \tilde{F}_i and

$F_i^{(s)}x_s = \tilde{F}_i^s x_s$, it follows that $F_i^{(s)}x_s \in L$ for all $s \geq 1$. Since $x \in L$, we deduce that $x_0 \in L$. This proves (a).

We prove (b) by induction on $N \geq 0$ as above. If $N = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $N \geq 1$. If $\tilde{E}_i x \in v^{-1}L$, then $v \sum_{s', s'' \geq 0; s' + t' \geq 1} F_i^{(s')} x_{s'+1} \in L$ and by (a) we have $v x_{s'+1} \in L$ for all $s' \geq 0$. Hence $x_s \in v^{-1}L$ for all $s \geq 1$. As before we then have $F_i^{(s)}x_s \in v^{-1}L$ for $s \geq 1$ and $x = x_0 \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. If $\tilde{E}_i x \notin v^{-1}L$, then $\tilde{E}_i x \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ belongs to **b**. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $s_0 \geq 1$ such that $x_s \in v^{-1}L$ for $s \neq s_0$ and $s \geq 1$. Therefore we have $\tilde{E}_i x = F_i^{(s_0-1)}x_{s_0} \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. Equivalently, we have $\tilde{E}_i x = \tilde{F}_i^{s_0-1}x_{s_0} \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. Applying \tilde{F}_i to this and using 20.1.1(f), we obtain $x = \tilde{F}_i \tilde{E}_i x = \tilde{F}_i^{s_0}x_{s_0} = F_i^{(s_0)}x_{s_0} \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. The lemma is proved.

20.1.3. In the next theorem we assume that the root datum is Y -regular. Let $\lambda \in X^+$. Let L be the \mathbf{A} -submodule of Λ_λ generated by the canonical basis $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_\lambda)$ and let \mathbf{b} be the image of the canonical basis in $L/v^{-1}L$.

Theorem 20.1.4. *(L, b) is a basis at ∞ of Λ_λ .*

Property 20.1.1(c) follows from Theorem 18.3.8. We prove that property 20.1.1(d) is satisfied. Let $b \in \mathbf{b}$. There exists $\beta \in \mathbf{B}$ such that b is $\beta^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. From Theorem 18.3.8 we see that $\tilde{F}_i b$ is $\tilde{\phi}_i(\beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ and $\tilde{E}_i b$ is $\tilde{\epsilon}_i(\beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ or zero. By 17.3.7, we have $\tilde{\phi}_i(\beta) = \beta' \pmod{v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f})}$ for some $\beta' \in \mathbf{B}$ and this is necessarily in \mathbf{B} . Then $\tilde{\phi}_i(\beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda = \beta'^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ so that $\tilde{F}_i b$ is $\beta'^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ and $\beta'^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ is in $\mathbf{b} \cup \{0\}$.

By 17.3.7, we have either $\tilde{\epsilon}_i(\beta) = \beta'' \pmod{v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f})}$ for some $\beta'' \in \mathbf{B}$ (which is necessarily in \mathbf{B}) or $\tilde{\epsilon}_i(\beta) = 0 \pmod{v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f})}$. Then $\tilde{\epsilon}_i(\beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda = \beta''^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ or $\tilde{\epsilon}_i(\beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda = 0 \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ so that $\tilde{E}_i b$ is $\beta''^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ or 0. Now $\beta''^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ is in $\mathbf{b} \cup \{0\}$. This proves property 20.1.1(d).

Property 20.1.1(e) is clearly satisfied. We prove that property 20.1.1(f) is satisfied. Let $b, b' \in \mathbf{b}$. We have $b = \beta^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ and $b' = \beta'^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$ where $\beta, \beta' \in \mathbf{B}$.

By 18.3.8, we have $\tilde{E}_i b = b'$ if and only if $(\tilde{\epsilon}_i \beta)^{-}\eta_\lambda = \beta'^{-}\eta_\lambda \pmod{v^{-1}L}$. This is equivalent to the condition that

$$(a) \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_i \beta = \beta' \pmod{v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f})}.$$

Similarly, the condition that $\tilde{F}_i b' = b$ is equivalent to the condition that

$$(b) \quad \tilde{\phi}_i \beta' = \beta \pmod{v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{f})}.$$

Now conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent by 17.3.7. The theorem is proved.

20.2. BASIS AT ∞ IN A TENSOR PRODUCT

20.2.1. Let $M, M' \in \mathcal{C}'$. Assume that M and M' have finite dimensional weight spaces. Assume that (L, \mathbf{b}) (resp. (L', \mathbf{b}')) is a given basis at ∞ of M (resp. M'). Consider the tensor product $M \otimes M' \in \mathcal{C}'$.

Theorem 20.2.2. *The free \mathbf{A} -submodule $L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L'$ of $M \otimes M'$ and the \mathbf{Q} -basis $\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}'$ of $(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')/v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L') = (L/v^{-1}L) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (L'/v^{-1}L')$ define a basis at ∞ of $M \otimes M'$.*

Only properties (c),(d),(f) in the definition 20.1.1 need to be verified. In verifying these properties, we shall fix $i \in I$ and write L^t for the sum $\oplus L^\lambda$ over all λ such that $\langle i, \lambda \rangle = t$. The notation L'^t has a similar meaning.

Let G^t be the set of all $z \in L^t$ such that $z \bmod v^{-1}L$ belongs to \mathbf{b} and such that $E_i z = 0$. Let G'^t be the set of all $z' \in L'^t$ such that $z' \bmod v^{-1}L'$ belongs to \mathbf{b}' and such that $E_i z' = 0$. From the definitions, all elements of the form $F_i^{(s)} z$ ($z \in G^t, s \in [0, t]$) belong to \mathbf{b} modulo $v^{-1}L$ and according to 20.1.2, all elements of \mathbf{b} are obtained in this way.

Similarly, all elements of the form $F_i^{(s')} z'$ ($z' \in G'^{t'}, s' \in [0, t']$) belong to \mathbf{b}' modulo $v^{-1}L'$ and all elements of \mathbf{b}' are obtained in this way.

Using Nakayama's lemma, which is applicable since the weight spaces are assumed to be finite dimensional, we deduce that the elements $F_i^{(s)} z$ ($z \in G^t, s \in [0, t]$) generate the \mathbf{A} -module L ; similarly, the elements $F_i^{(s')} z'$ ($z' \in G'^{t'}, s' \in [0, t']$) generate the \mathbf{A} -module L' .

Let $z \in G^t, z' \in G'^{t'}, s \in [0, t], s' \in [0, t']$. According to 17.2.4, we have

$$\tilde{F}_i(F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z') = F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s'+1)} z' \bmod v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$$

if $s + s' < t'$;

$$\tilde{F}_i(F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z') = F_i^{(s+1)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z' \bmod v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$$

if $s + s' \geq t'$;

$$\tilde{E}_i(F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z') = F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s'-1)} z' \bmod v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$$

if $s + s' \leq t'$;

$$\tilde{E}_i(F_i^{(s)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z') = F_i^{(s-1)} z \otimes F_i^{(s')} z' \bmod v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$$

if $s + s' > t'$.

It follows that \tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_i map a set of generators of the \mathbf{A} -module $L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L'$ into $L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L'$; hence they map $L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L'$ into $L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L'$. This verifies property 20.1.1(c) of a basis at ∞ . Properties 20.1.1(e),(f) of a basis at ∞ are also clear from the previous formulas. The theorem is proved.

20.2.3. Assume that $z \in G^t, z' \in G'^{t'}$ and that $s \in [0, t], s' \in [0, t']$ are such that $t + t' = 2(s + s')$. By the formulas in 20.2.2, the condition that $\tilde{F}_i(F_i^{(s)}z \otimes F_i^{(s')}z') \in v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$ is that either $s' = t'$ and $s + s' < t'$, or $s = t$ and $s + s' \geq t'$. The first case cannot occur since $s \geq 0$. Hence the condition is that $s = t$ and $s + s' \geq t'$. But if $s = t$ then $t' = s + 2s'$ hence $s + s' \geq s + 2s'$ so that $s' = 0$. Thus the condition is $s = t = t', s' = 0$. We can reformulate this as follows.

Proposition 20.2.4. *Let $(M, L, \mathbf{b}), (M', L', \mathbf{b}')$ be as above. Let $b \in \mathbf{b}, b' \in \mathbf{b}'$. Assume that $b \in \mathbf{b}^\lambda$ and $b' \in \mathbf{b}'^{\lambda'}$ and $\langle i, \lambda \rangle + \langle i, \lambda' \rangle = 0$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\tilde{F}_i(b \otimes b') = 0$ in $(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')/v^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} L')$;
- (b) $\tilde{F}_i(b) = 0$ in $L/v^{-1}L$ and $\tilde{E}_i(b') = 0$ in $L'/v^{-1}L'$.