

## 1 Markov's inequality

Another simple tool that's often useful is *Markov's inequality*, which bounds the probability that a random variable  $X$  is too large, based on the expectation  $\mathbf{E}[X]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonnegative random variable and  $t > 0$ . Then*

$$\Pr[X \geq t] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{t}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_a a \Pr[X = a] \geq \sum_{a \geq t} t \Pr[X = a] = t \Pr[X \geq t].$$

□

Working with expectations is usually easier than working directly with probabilities or more complicated quantities such as variance. Recall that  $\mathbf{E}[X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i]$  for any collection of random variables.

## 2 Graphs of high girth and high chromatic number

We return to the notion of a chromatic number  $\chi(G)$ . Observe that for a graph that does not contain any cycles,  $\chi(G) \leq 2$  because every component is a tree that can be colored easily by 2 colors. More generally, consider graphs of *girth*  $\ell$ , which means that the length of the shortest cycle is  $\ell$ . If  $\ell$  is large, this means that starting from any vertex, the graph looks like a tree within distance  $\ell/2 - 1$ . One might expect that such graphs can be also colored using a small number of colors, since locally they can be colored using 2 colors. However, this is far from being true, as shown by a classical application of the probabilistic method.

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $k$  and  $\ell$ , there is a graph of chromatic number  $> k$  and girth  $> \ell$ .*

*Proof.* We start by generating a random graph  $G_{n,p}$ , where each edge appears independently with probability  $p$ . We fix a value  $\lambda \in (0, 1/\ell)$  and we set  $p = n^{\lambda-1}$ . Let  $X$  be the number of cycles of length at most  $\ell$  in  $G_{n,p}$ . The number of potential cycles of length  $j$  is certainly at most  $n^j$ , and each of them appears with probability  $p^j$ , therefore

$$\mathbf{E}[X] \leq \sum_{j=3}^{\ell} n^j p^j = \sum_{j=3}^{\ell} n^{\lambda j} \leq \frac{n^{\lambda \ell}}{1 - n^{-\lambda}}.$$

Because  $\lambda \ell < 1$ , this is less than  $n/4$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. By Markov's inequality,  $\Pr[X \geq n/2] \leq 1/2$ . Note that we are not able to prove that there are *no short cycles* in  $G_{n,p}$ , but we will deal with this later.

Now let us consider the chromatic number of  $G_{n,p}$ . Rather than the chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  itself, we analyze the *independence number*  $\alpha(G)$ , i.e. the size of the largest independent set in  $G$ . Since every color class forms an independent set, it's easy to see that  $\chi(G) \geq |V(G)|/\alpha(G)$ . We set  $a = \lceil \frac{3}{p} \ln n \rceil$  and consider the event that there is an independent set of size  $a$ . By the union bound, we get

$$\Pr[\alpha(G) \geq a] \leq \binom{n}{a} (1-p)^{\binom{a}{2}} \leq n^a e^{-pa(a-1)/2} \leq n^a n^{-3(a-1)/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

For  $n$  sufficiently large, this probability is less than  $1/2$ . Hence, again by the union bound, we get

$$\Pr[X \geq n/2 \text{ or } \alpha(G) \geq a] < 1.$$

Therefore there is a graph where the number of short cycles is  $X < n/2$  and the independence number  $\alpha(G) < a$ . We can just delete one vertex from each short cycle arbitrarily, and we obtain a graph  $G'$  on at least  $n/2$  vertices which has no cycles of length at most  $\ell$ , and  $\alpha(G') < a$ . The chromatic number of this graph is

$$\chi(G') \geq \frac{|V(G')|}{\alpha(G')} \geq \frac{n/2}{3n^{1-\lambda} \ln n} = \frac{n^\lambda}{6 \ln n}.$$

By taking  $n$  sufficiently large, we get  $\chi(G') > k$ . □

We should mention that constructing such graphs explicitly is not easy. We present a construction for triangle-free graphs, which is quite simple.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G_2$  be a graph consisting of a single edge. Given  $G_n = (V, E)$ , construct  $G_{n+1}$  as follows. The new set of vertices is  $V \cup V' \cup \{z\}$ , where  $V'$  is a copy of  $V$  and  $z$  is a single new vertex.  $G_{n+1}[V]$  is isomorphic to  $G_n$ . For each vertex  $v' \in V'$  which is a copy of  $v \in V$ , we connect it by edges to all vertices  $w \in V$  such that  $(v, w) \in E$ . We also connect each  $v' \in V'$  to the new vertex  $z$ .*

*Then  $G_n$  is triangle-free and  $\chi(G_n) = n$ .*

*Proof.* The base case  $n = 2$  is trivial. Assuming that  $G_n$  is triangle-free, it is easy to see that  $G_{n+1}$  is triangle-free as well. Any triangle would have to use one vertex from  $V'$  and two vertices from  $V$ , because there are no edges inside  $V'$ . However, by the construction of  $G_{n+1}$ , this would also imply a triangle in  $G_n$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally, we deal with the chromatic number. We assume  $\chi(G_n) = n$ . Note that it's possible to color  $V$  and  $V'$  in the same way, and then assign a new color to  $z$ , hence  $\chi(G_{n+1}) \leq n + 1$ . We claim that this is essentially the best way to color  $G_{n+1}$ . Consider any  $n$ -coloring of  $V$ . For each color  $c$ , there is a vertex  $v_c$  of color  $c$ , which is connected to vertices of all other colors - otherwise, we could re-color all vertices of color  $c$  and decrease the number of colors in  $G_n$ . Therefore, there is also a vertex  $v'_c \in V'$  which is connected to all other colors different from  $c$ . If we want to color  $G_{n+1}$  using  $n$  colors, we must use color  $c$  for  $v'_c$ . But then,  $V'$  uses all  $n$  colors and  $z$  cannot use any of them. Therefore,  $\chi(G_{n+1}) = n + 1$ . □