

The Canonical Model

Eran Assaf

Dartmouth College

Shimura Varieties Reading Seminar, July 2020

Model - analyst approach

Theorem (Shimura, Petri, ...)

If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a neat congruence subgroup, then the algebraic curve $X(\Gamma) = (\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H})^$ has a model defined over a number field.*

Sketch of proof # 1.

$X(\Gamma)$ compact Riemann surface \implies algebraic curve over \mathbb{C} .

If $g > 1$, not hyperelliptic, ω_X very ample $\implies X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$.

But $\Gamma(X, \omega_X) = S_2(\Gamma)$!

If $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f) q^n \in S_2(\Gamma)$ is an eigenform, $a_p(f)$ are eigenvalues of T_p , so all lie in a number field K .

All relations $R_i(f_1, \dots, f_g)$ defined over K

$\implies X(\mathbb{C}) = V(R_1, \dots, R_m) = X_0(\mathbb{C})$, with X_0/K . □

Model - geometer approach

Theorem (Shimura, Petri, ...)

If $\Gamma \subseteq PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a neat congruence subgroup, then the algebraic curve $X(\Gamma) = (\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H})^$ has a model defined over a number field.*

Sketch of proof # 2.

E elliptic curve, $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, $\phi : E[N] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$(E, \phi) \sim_H (E', \phi') \iff \exists h \in H, \iota : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \text{ s.t. } h \circ \phi = \phi' \circ \iota$$

Then

- $S(H) = \{(E, \phi)\} / \sim_H$.
- $(E, \phi)^\sigma = (E^\sigma, \phi \circ \sigma^{-1})$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.
- (E, ϕ) is K -rational iff E/K and $\phi \circ \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K) \circ \phi^{-1} \subseteq H$.
- $S(\Gamma)(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_H \backslash \mathcal{H}$.

Take maximal K such that the above holds.



Model - the Shimura way

Theorem (Shimura, [Shi67])

F totally real of degree g , B/F quaternion algebra s.t.

$B \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq M_2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{g-1}$, \mathfrak{o} maximal order, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{o}) = \mathfrak{o}^\times \cap B^+$.

Then $X(\Gamma) = \Gamma(\mathfrak{o}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ has a model over H_F^+ .

Remark

- This is only a special case of the theorem.
- When $g > 1$, this is not(!) the field of moduli of abelian varieties. Roughly - for any tot. imaginary quadratic K/F , there is a family Σ_K of a.v. A_x s.t. $B \otimes_F K \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_x)$. Each has a field of moduli k_{Σ} which is not abelian over F , but over some K' . Then $C_F = \bigcap_{K'} C_{K'}$.
- Main point - models over $C_{K'} \implies$ model over C_F .
- Involves choices, but reciprocity laws uniquely determine it.

Canonical model

Reminder - Connected components

Recall $1 \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\nu} T \longrightarrow 1$ induces

$$f_K : \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K \rightarrow T(\mathbb{Q})^\dagger \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_f) / \nu(K)$$

and if $Y = T(\mathbb{R}) / T(\mathbb{R})^\dagger$, then

$$T(\mathbb{Q})^\dagger \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_f) / \nu(K) = T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Y \times T(\mathbb{A}_f) / \nu(K) = \mathrm{Sh}_{\nu(K)}(T, Y).$$

Moreover, it induces

$$\pi_K = \pi_0(\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}_{\nu(K)}(T, Y), \quad \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)^\circ = \mathrm{Sh}'_K(G', X^+).$$

Today

- Reflex field $E = E(G, X)$, algebraic number field.
- (Canonical) model $(f_K)_0 : \mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)_0 \rightarrow (\pi_K)_0$ of f_K over E .
- Reciprocity laws determining the model uniquely.
- $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/E) \curvearrowright \pi_K \implies$ model of $\mathrm{Sh}'_K(G', X^+)$ over E'/E .

Models of varieties

Example (Elliptic Curve)

E/\mathbb{C} elliptic curve has a model over K iff $j(E) \in K$. Twists by different elements of $K^\times / (K^\times)^2$ give different models.

Existence - Why? [Pet17]

- Spreading.
Algebraic \implies defined over $k = k_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$,
 $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}[x_0]/P$. Replace α_j by x_j to get a family over S' with $k(S') = k$, and the fiber at $x_j = \alpha_j$ is X . Replace S' by an open subset S to get a smooth fibration over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- Rigidity.
Countably many Shimura varieties \implies fibers of small deformations are isomorphic. But $S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is dense in S (!).

Cocharacters

Definition (Conjugacy classes of cocharacters)

G/\mathbb{Q} reductive, $k \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Write

$$\mathcal{C}(k) = G(k) \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, G_k).$$

Example (Unitary group)

Let $G = U_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(2)$ for some quadratic extensions K/\mathbb{Q} .

Let $T = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a)^{-1} \end{array} \right) \mid a \in K^\times \right\}$. Then

$$X_*(T)_K = \left\{ t \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} t^m \sigma(t)^n & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-n} \sigma(t)^{-m} \end{array} \right) \right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ t \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(t)^n & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(t)^{-n} \end{array} \right) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Conjugacy classes of cocharacters

Galois action

$$\sigma(\mathrm{Ad}(g) \circ \mu) = \mathrm{Ad}(\sigma(g)) \circ \sigma(\mu) \implies \mathrm{Aut}(k'/k) \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}(k')$$

Lemma

Assume G splits over k . Let T be a split maximal torus. Then

$$W \backslash \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_k) \rightarrow G(k) \backslash \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, G_k)$$

is bijective. Here $W = W(G_k, T)$ is the Weyl group.

Proof.

All maximal split tori are conjugate \implies surjective. If

$\mu, \mu' \in X_*(T)$ are such that $\mu = \mathrm{Ad}(g) \circ \mu'$, let $C = C_G(\mu(\mathbb{G}_m))$.

Then $T \subseteq C$ and

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)(T) \subseteq \mathrm{Ad}(g)(C_G(\mu'(\mathbb{G}_m))) = C_G(\mathrm{Ad}(g)\mu'(\mathbb{G}_m)) = C$$

are maximal split tori in a connected reductive group C .

$\exists c \in C(k) : \mathrm{Ad}(cg)T = T \implies cg \in N_G(T), \mathrm{Ad}(cg) \circ \mu' = \mu. \quad \square$

Hodge cocharacter

Definition (Hodge cocharacter)

(G, X) Shimura datum. The Hodge character is

$$x \in X \rightsquigarrow h_x : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G \rightsquigarrow h_{x\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{z \mapsto (z, 1)} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is $r \otimes z \mapsto (rz, r\bar{z})$.

Example (Modular curve)

Let $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$, $X = \text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{R})) \cdot h$, $h(a + bi) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\frac{z+1}{2} \otimes 1 - \frac{i(z-1)}{2} \otimes i \mapsto (z, 1)$, we have

$$\mu_h(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z+1}{2} & -\frac{i(z-1)}{2} \\ \frac{i(z-1)}{2} & \frac{z+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Tr}(\mu_h(z)) = z+1, \quad \det(\mu_h(z)) = z$$

Thus $\mu_h(z) \sim \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Reflex field - definition

Hodge cocharacter is algebraic

The Hodge cocharacters $\{\mu_X\}_{X \in X}$ define $c(X) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$. From the lemma

$$\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq W(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = W(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Definition (Reflex field)

$E(G, X)$ is the field of definition of $c(X)$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Explicitly, if $H_X = \text{Stab}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})} c(X)$, then $E(G, X) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{H_X}$.

Remark (Finiteness of the reflex field)

$E(G, X) \subseteq k$, since $W(k) = W(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $X_*(T)_k = X_*(T)_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$.

Reflex field and Hodge cocharacters

Lemma ([Kot84])

If $\mu \in c(X)$ is defined over k , then $E(G, X) \subseteq k$. If G is quasi-split over k , and $E(G, X) \subseteq k$, then $c(X)$ contains a μ defined over k .

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$ then $\sigma(\mu) = \mu$, hence $\sigma(c(X)) = c(X)$, so $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k) \subseteq H_X$. Taking fixed fields $E(G, X) \subseteq k$.

(\Leftarrow) S maximal k -split torus, $T = C_G(S)$. G q.s. $\implies T$ maximal torus. B a k -Borel containing T , C the B -positive Weyl chamber of $X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Since \bar{C} is a fundamental domain for W , $\exists \mu \in \bar{C} \cap c(X)$. If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$, then $\sigma\mu \in \bar{C}$ since B is a k -group, and since $c(X)$ is fixed by σ , $\mu, \sigma\mu$ are in the same W -orbit $\implies \sigma\mu = \mu$. □

Reflex fields of tori

Example (Torus)

T torus over \mathbb{Q} , $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$. $\mu_h : \mathbb{G}_{m\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, $E(T, \{h\})$ fixed field of the sub. of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ fixing $\mu_h \in X_*(T)$.

Example (CM Torus)

(E, Φ) CM type, $T = \text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$. $T(\mathbb{R}) = (E \otimes \mathbb{R})^\times \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\Phi)^\times$.
Let $h_\Phi : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$ be s.t.

$$h_\Phi(\mathbb{R}) = z \mapsto (z, z, \dots, z) : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\Phi)^\times.$$

On \mathbb{C} -points, $h_{\Phi, \mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the map

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_2) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\Phi)^\times \times (\mathbb{C}^{\bar{\Phi}})^\times.$$

The Hodge cocharacter is

$$\mu_\Phi = z \mapsto (z, \dots, z, 1, \dots, 1) : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\Phi)^\times \times (\mathbb{C}^{\bar{\Phi}})^\times.$$

Thus $E(T, \{h_\Phi\})$ is the reflex field of (E, Φ) .

PEL Shimura varieties

Example (PEL Shimura varieties)

(G, X) simple PEL datum of type (A) or (C). Then σ fixes the conjugacy class of h iff it fixes $\text{Tr} \circ h$. Since B acts on $V = W \otimes V_0$ via W , case by case analysis shows that $F_0(\{\text{Tr} \circ h(z)\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}}) = F_0(\{\text{Tr}_X(b)\}_{b \in B})$. Then $E(G, X)$ is the field generated by $\{\text{Tr}_X(b)\}_{b \in B}$.

Remark

Note that this is the field of definition of the moduli problem - $(A, i, s, \eta K)$ s.t. A abelian variety, $\pm s$ polarization, $i : B \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A)$, ηK level, $\text{Tr}(i(b)|T_0A) = \text{Tr}_X(b)$.

Shimura curves

Example (Quaternion algebra)

F totally real, B/F quaternions, $G = B^\times$, I_{nc} the split places, I_c the non-split places. Let $h(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{I_c} \times GL_2(\mathbb{R})^{I_{nc}}$ be

$$a + bi \mapsto \left(1, 1, \dots, 1, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then $c(X)$ contains $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$z \mapsto (1, 1, \dots, 1) \times \left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in GL_{2\mathbb{C}}^{I_c} \times GL_{2\mathbb{C}}^{I_{nc}},$$

so $E(G, X)$ is the fixed field of the subgroup stabilizing I_{nc} .

If $I_{nc} = \{v\}$, the case of Shimura curve, $E(G, X) = v(F)$.

Reflex field from Dynkin diagram

Example (Adjoint groups)

Assume G adjoint. T maximal torus in $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$, Δ a base for the roots of $\Phi(G, T)_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$. If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, it acts on $X^*(T)$, hence on Φ , and $\sigma(\Delta)$ is also a base for Φ . W acts simply transitively on the bases of Φ , so $\exists! w_\sigma \in W$ s.t. $w_\sigma(\sigma(\Delta)) = \Delta$. Then $\sigma * \alpha = w_\sigma(\sigma\alpha)$ is an action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on Δ .

If G is split, choose T split and B a \mathbb{Q} -Borel, so $\sigma(\Delta) = \Delta$, and the action is trivial. Since G splits over a finite extension, the action is continuous.

Every $c \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ contains a unique $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ such that $\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, because W acts simply transitively on the chambers, and the map

$$c \mapsto (\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle)_{\alpha \in \Delta} : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\Delta$$

is bijective. Therefore $E(G, X)$ is the fixed field of the subgroup fixing $(\langle \alpha, \mu \rangle)_{\alpha \in \Delta} \in \mathbb{N}^\Delta$. Complex conjugation acts as $\alpha \mapsto -w_0\alpha$.

Special points

Definition (Special point)

$x \in X$ is special if $\exists T \subseteq G$ such that $h_x(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subseteq T(\mathbb{R})$. (T, x) or (T, h_x) is a special pair in (G, X) . When the weight is rational (SV4) and $Z(G)^\circ$ splits over a CM field (SV6), they are called CM-points and CM-pairs.

Remark

- If (T, x) is special then $T(\mathbb{R})$ fixes x . If T maximal and fixes x , $h(\mathbb{C}^\times) \subseteq C_G(T)(\mathbb{R}) = T(\mathbb{R})$, so x is special.
- $Z(G)^\circ$ is isogenous to $G^{ab} \implies$ (SV6) means G^{ab} splitting over a CM field. With (SV2), G splits over a CM field. (SV4) shows $h_x: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T$ factors through G_{Hod} , and as it factors through a CM torus, it also factors through the Serre group, so for any rep. (V, ρ) of T , $(V, \rho \circ h_x)$ is the Hodge structure of a CM motive.

Special points and CM

Example (Modular curve)

$G = GL_2$, $X = \mathcal{H}^\pm = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ is s.t. $E = \mathbb{Q}[z]$ is quadratic imaginary. Embed $E \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ using the basis $\{1, -z\}$, to get a maximal subtorus $\text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m \subseteq G$. The kernel of $e \otimes z \mapsto z : E \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is spanned by $z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (-z)$, which is $(z, 1)$ in our basis, representing z . The map is $E \otimes \mathbb{R}$ -linear, hence $(E \otimes \mathbb{R})^\times$ fixes z . Thus z is special.

If z is special, it is fixed by some $t \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, so $\mathbb{Q}[z]$ is quadratic.

Remark

If $\text{Sh}_K(G, X) = \{(A, \dots)\} / \sim$, special points are a.v.s of CM-type. The theory of CM describes how an open subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on such points. Shimura constructs an action on the special points, that agrees with it.

The homomorphism r_x

Definition (action on special points)

T torus over \mathbb{Q} , $\mu \in X_*(T)$ defined over E . For $Q \in T(E)$, $\sum_{\rho: E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rho(Q) \in T(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is stable under the Galois action, so is in $T(\mathbb{Q})$. $r(T, \mu) : \text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ is s.t.

$$r(T, \mu)(P) = \sum_{\rho: E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rho(\mu(P)) \quad \forall P \in E^\times.$$

(T, x) special pair, $E(x)$ the field of definition of μ_x .

$$r_x : \mathbb{A}_{E(x)}^\times \xrightarrow{r(T, \mu)} T(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}, f}).$$

Explicitly, if $a = (a_\infty, a_f) \in \mathbb{A}_{E(x)}^\times$, then

$$r_x(a) = \sum_{\rho: E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rho(\mu_x(a_f)).$$

Shimura reciprocity

Definition (Canonical model)

A model $M_K(G, X)$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ over $E(G, X)$ is canonical if for every special pair (T, x) and $a \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $[x, a]$ has coordinates in $E(x)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and

$$\sigma[x, a]_K = [x, r_x(s)a]_K$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E(x)^{\mathrm{ab}}/E(x))$, $s \in \mathbb{A}_{E(x)}^\times$ with $\mathrm{art}_{E(x)}(s) = \sigma$.

Example (Tori)

T torus over \mathbb{Q} , $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$. (T, h) is a Shimura datum, $E = E(T, h)$ is the field of definition of μ_h . $\mathrm{Sh}_K(T, H)$ is a finite set, and Shimura reciprocity defines a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(E^{\mathrm{ab}}/E)$ on $\mathrm{Sh}_K(T, h)$. This defines a model of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(T, h)$ over E , which is, by definition, canonical.

Complex multiplication

Example (CM tori)

(E, Φ) CM-type. (T, h_Φ) as before. Then $E(T, h_\Phi) = E^*$, and $r(T, \mu_\Phi) : \text{Res}_{E^*/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r(T, \mu_\Phi)(z) &= \prod_{\rho: E^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rho(z, z, \dots, z, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= (\text{Nm}_{E^*/\mathbb{Q}}(z), \dots, \text{Nm}_{E^*/\mathbb{Q}}(z), 1, 1, \dots, 1) \end{aligned}$$

and we want $z' \in E$ mapping to it. However, recall that $V = E \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}^\Phi$ is stable by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/E^*)$, hence there is an E^* -vector space V_0 such that $V = V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. $z \in E^*$ acts on V via multiplication by $\text{Nm}_{E^*/\mathbb{Q}}(z)$, which on \mathbb{C}^Φ is $\text{Nm}_{E^*/\mathbb{Q}}(z) \times 1_\Phi$. But as an E -vector space, this is a line, so there is $z' \in E$ such that this is multiplication by z' . This is how we defined the reflex norm $N_{\Phi^*}(z)$. Therefore $r(T, \mu_\Phi) = N_{\Phi^*}$.

CM tori as moduli spaces

Lemma (CM tori)

$\text{Sh}_K(T, h_\Phi)$ classifies triples $(A, i, \eta K)$ s.t. A is a.v. of CM type (E, Φ) and $\eta : V(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow V_f(A)$ is an $E \otimes \mathbb{A}_f$ -linear isomorphism.

Proof.

$\dim_E V = 1$. E -action on V gives $T \hookrightarrow GL_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$. If (A, i) is of CM-type (E, Φ) , there is $a : H_1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow V$ carrying i_A to i_Φ . The isomorphism $a \circ \eta : V(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is $E \otimes \mathbb{A}_f$ -linear, so is multiplication by $g \in (E \otimes \mathbb{A}_f)^\times = T(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

The map $(A, i, \eta) \mapsto [g]$ is the bijection. □

Galois action

Same as classes over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let \mathcal{M}_K be the set of such triples. Then $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E^*)$ acts on \mathcal{M}_K , via $\sigma(A, i, \eta K) = (\sigma A, \sigma \circ i, \sigma \circ \eta)$.

Reciprocity law and CM

Proposition (Reciprocity law = CM)

The map $(A, i, \eta) \mapsto [a \circ \eta]_K : \mathcal{M}_K \rightarrow \text{Sh}_K(T, h_\Phi)$ commutes with the Galois actions on both sides.

Proof.

Main theorem of CM \implies E -linear $\alpha : A \rightarrow \sigma A$ s.t.

$\alpha(N_{\Phi^*}(s) \cdot x) = \sigma x$ for $x \in V_f(A)$, where $s \in \mathbb{A}_{E^*}$ is such that $\text{art}_{E^*}(s) = \sigma|E^*$. Then $a \circ V_f(\alpha)^{-1} : V_f(\sigma A) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is an E -isomorphism, and so

$$\sigma(A, i, \eta) = (\sigma A, \sigma \circ i, \sigma \circ \eta) \mapsto [a \circ V_f(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \eta]_K.$$

But $V_f(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \sigma = (N_{\Phi^*})_f(s) = r_{h_\Phi}(s)$, so

$$[a \circ V_f(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \eta]_K = [r_{h_\Phi}(s) \cdot (a \circ \eta)]_K. \quad \square$$



Robert E Kottwitz.

Shimura varieties and twisted orbital integrals.

Mathematische Annalen, 269(3):287–300, 1984.



Chris Peters.

Rigidity of spreadings and fields of definition.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.03410, 2017.



Goro Shimura.

Construction of class fields and zeta functions of algebraic curves.

Annals of Mathematics, pages 58–159, 1967.