

§5. CALCULUS FOR GENERAL SYMBOLS

§5
PAGE 1

We now generalize the statements in §4 to a more general class of symbols

NOTATION: $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ (Japanese bracket)

Asymptotic to $1 + |x|$ & smooth at $x = 0$

DEFINITION $m: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called

an order function, if $\exists C, N: \forall z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$m(w) \leq C \langle z - w \rangle^N m(z).$$

DEFINITION (Symbol Classes) Let m be an

order function and $a(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

• We say $a \in \mathcal{S}(m)$ if \forall multiindex $\alpha \exists C_\alpha$.

$$\forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, |\partial_{(x, \xi)}^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha m(x, \xi)$$

• If a additionally depends on h , then we require that the constants C_α be h -independent (in order for a to be in $\mathcal{S}(m)$).

Caution about notation: $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Schwartz functions

$\mathcal{S}(m)$ symbol class

Example: $m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^k$, $a(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} a_\beta(x) \xi^\beta$

polynomial in ξ with $\partial^\delta a_\beta$ bounded $\forall \delta$

$$Op_h(a) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} a_\beta(x) (hD_x)^\beta$$

semiclassical differential operator

§ 5.1. Mapping Properties

Recall from §3 that for $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we may define $Op_h(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

But this is not good enough to compose operators $Op_h(a)$ with each other. We thus show

Theorem Let $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some n and fix $h \in (0, 1]$. Then

$Op_h(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous operator

Proof Will only show $Op_h(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ enough to show that

$Op_h(a)^*: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see exercises.

For notational simplicity we fix $h := 1$ & denote

$$Op_h =: Op$$

1. The integral formula

$$Op(a)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

implies that

$$a \in \mathcal{S}'(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1}) \Rightarrow Op(a): \langle x \rangle^{-n-1} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

In particular, we have $\forall \alpha', \beta'$,

$$Op(a) x^{\alpha'} D_x^{\beta'}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) (*)$$

when $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1})$

$$\boxed{D = \frac{1}{i} \partial}$$

2. Fix an order function m .

There exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that

$$m(x, \xi) \leq C \langle x \rangle^{2N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1} \forall (x, \xi)$$

Then each $a \in S^d(m)$ lies in

$$\langle x \rangle^{2N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N} S^d(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1})$$

and thus is a linear combination of symbols of the form

$$x^\delta \xi^\delta b, \quad b \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1}), \quad |\delta|, |\delta| \leq 2N$$

Thus it suffices to show: $\forall \alpha, \beta, \delta, \delta,$

$$b \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1}) \Rightarrow x^\alpha D_x^\beta Op(x^\delta \xi^\delta b): S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (**)$$

3. It remains to show that $(*) \Rightarrow (**)$.

For that we use the identities

$$x_j Op(a) = Op(a) x_j + i Op(\partial_{\xi_j} a)$$

$$D_{x_j} Op(a) = Op(a) D_{x_j} - i Op(\partial_{x_j} a)$$

$$Op(x_j a) = Op(a) x_j + i Op(\partial_{\xi_j} a)$$

$$Op(\xi_j a) = Op(a) D_{x_j}$$

For $a \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ these follow from the definition of $Op_h(a)$; for general a they follow by approximation by f 's in $S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

See Exercise 3.5(a).

Iterating the above identities,

we see that $\forall \alpha, \beta, \delta, \delta', \forall b \in \mathcal{S}'(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1})$,
 $x^\alpha \mathcal{D}_x^\beta \mathcal{O}_p(x^\delta \langle \xi \rangle^\delta b) =$ linear combination of

$$\mathcal{O}_p(\underbrace{\partial_x^{\delta'} \partial_\xi^{\delta'}}_b) x^{\alpha'} \mathcal{D}_x^{\beta'}$$

still lies in
 $\mathcal{S}'(\langle \xi \rangle^{-n-1})$

which map $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by (*).

This gives (**). \square

§5.2. The calculus

We now give the analogs of the statements in §4. We do not provide proofs, referring to Zworski's book, Theorems 4.17-4.18

Theorem (Composition formula)

Assume $a \in \mathcal{S}'(m_1)$, $b \in \mathcal{S}'(m_2)$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{p_h}(a) \mathcal{O}_{p_h}(b) = \mathcal{O}_{p_h}(a \# b) \text{ where}$$

$$a \# b(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi; h) \partial_x^\alpha b(x, \xi; h)$$

where the expansion is in $\mathcal{S}'(m_1 \cdot m_2)$,

defined similarly to expansions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from §4.

Theorem (Adjoint formula)

Assume that $a \in S'(m)$. Then

$$Op_h(a)^* = Op_h(a^*) \quad \text{where}$$

$$a^*(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{|\alpha| = j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha \overline{a(x, \xi; h)}$$

and the expansion is in $S'(m)$.