St. Vector bundles & Hodge Theory LEC 18 \$18.1. Veder bundles. Let M be a manifold, dim M=n. An m-dimensional (complex) rector bundle over M is a collection of m-dimensional complex vedor Spaces (Ex) X EM which "depend smoothly on x". More precisely, Detn À vector bundle is an n+2m dimensional manifold & and a projection up T: E >> M which is out o. Each fiber $\xi_{x} := \pi^{-1}(x) \subset \xi, \quad x \in M$ Should have the structure of an m-dimensional complex vector

18.155 LEC 18 and we should have local trivializations: YxoEM Jopen set UCM, roEU and a Codiffeomorphism $\varphi: \overline{\mathbb{N}}^{-1}(\mathbb{O}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$ Such flat $\forall x \in U$ Q'IS a linear isomorphism \mathcal{E}_{x} onto fle space \mathcal{E}_{x} \mathcal{E}_{x} \mathcal{E}_{y} $\mathcal{E}_$ It we change to a different trivialization $\varphi: \pi^{-1}(\nabla) \to U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ then we have the transition formula: $\widetilde{\varphi}(x,v) = (x,\widetilde{w}), \varphi(x,v) = (x,\widetilde{w}) = (x,\widetilde{w})$ = $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}(x) \mathcal{W}$

where A(x) is an invertible Complex mxm matrix which is C^{∞} in X, i.e. $A \in C^{\infty}(T)$; GL(M, C).

18.155

LEC 18

Examples: 1) The trivial bundles $\mathcal{E} = M \times C^{m}, \overline{\chi}(x, y) \stackrel{?}{=} X$ 2) The tangent bundle (a uplexified) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{x}} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ 3. The cotangent bundle T.M (will stop writing the subscript (from now on) 4) The bundle of densities: 1521, 1-dimensional;

for each x EM, 121x is the space of maps L: Tamx--- rTam -> C which are multilinear and Satisfy for each non real nation $A = (a_j k)$ and vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in T_x M$ where $Y_j := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_{jk} V_k$. One can check fliet flis does give a 1-D complex vedr bundle over M. Sections of vector bundles:

We say that a map

 $x \in M \mapsto u(x) \in \mathcal{Z}$ "Is a Co section of the vedor bundle? if it is com lack trivialization $\varphi: \pi(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{C}^m$: for $x \in U$, $\varphi(u(x)) = (x, V(x))$ for some C^{∞} map $v: U \to C^{m}$. Dende by $C^{\infty}(M; Z)$ the space of C^{∞} Sections. Similarly can define Libec (M; 2) Examples: · Co(M; TM) = (complexitied) Veder fields · C (M; T M) = 1-forms (more later) Any density $u \in L_c(M, |\Omega|)$

18.155 $u \in L^2_c(M_3|\Omega|) \longrightarrow \int u \in \mathbb{C}$ FEC (8 For MCIR open, define Ju to be Ju(x) (e,,...,en) dx More e,,..., en is the Canonical bosis of R". Too general M, can use local frivializations & check that fle integral does not depend on the trivialization o It g is a Riemannian metric Hun Wolg is a density! $dV_{S}(x)(v_{1},...,v_{n}) = V_{S}det B$ where $B=(b_{5k})$, $b_{jk}=g(x)(v_{j},v_{k})$

18.122 · If uEC«(M) is a function LEC 18 and VECa (M; (N1) is a Compactly supported density Huen UV E Co (M; 1521). Con define invariantly (no fine a metric)

(u,v):= (u.v $(u,v):=\int_{0}^{\infty}u\cdot v$ So it is more natural to define distributions as D'(M) = deral space to C° (M; [1]) e If & is a redor bundle, define D'(M; E) = Lual space to C° (M; Hom (E; [])) where $\forall x$, $\forall x$, $\forall x$ is the space of all linear maps $\xi_x \rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_x|$

Indeed, it $u \in C^{\infty}(M; 2), v \in C^{\infty}(M; lom(2; |N|))$ we can define YXEM the pairing $\langle u_{3}v\rangle_{x} = V_{x}(u_{x}) \in |\Omega|_{x}$ And then define the pairing $(u, v) = \int \langle u, v \rangle$ where « Con define Sobolew spaces Hec (M; E) CD (M; E) §18.2. Differential operators on vector bundles

First the case of trivial bundles: if Mis a manifold

and we consider trivial bundles [18.155] LEG 18 C "=" Mx C Cl'"=" Mx Cl' then on operator $P: C^{\infty}(M; C^{\ell}) \to C^{\infty}(M; C^{\ell})$ is called a differential operator of order me ferential if it's a matrix of differential operators: $\forall \vec{u} = (u_1, u_{\ell'}) \in C^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{C}^{\ell})$ where the components us. E Co (M3C) We have $(PQ) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{jj} u_{j}$ Pji E Diff (M) Dende by Diff M(M; Cl' -> Cl) He space of all such operators.

· Basic example: if MCIRn is open then $d: C^{\alpha}(M; C) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(M; C^{n})$ is in Diff1 Here $df = (\partial_{\chi}f, \dots, \partial_{\chi_n}f)$ the principal symbol of P is the matrix (om (Pj));
We think of it as a map from T*M into How (Ce, ce) Space of linear raps C'->C. Namely, if (x, 5) ETAM and $\vec{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_{e'}) \in C^{e'}$ then $(\nabla_{m}(P)(\chi,\xi), \vec{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ is given by $\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}(P)(x,3)\right)_{j}=\mathcal{T}_{m}(P_{jj'})_{v,j'}.$

Example: if MCIR open LEC 18 then $\sigma_1(d)(x,\xi) = \overline{i}\cdot\xi$. $(i = \overline{i})$ Here XEM, 3EIR, and the 3 on the RHS is the map $t \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow t \cdot S \in \mathbb{C}^n$. · Can define differential operators on Sections of' vector bundles: if 2, F are vector bundles over M then can define Diffm(M; & >F) by using local trivializations. And can define for PEDiff (M; EAF) the principal Symbol on (P): for XEM, SETXM,

Jn (P) (x, 3) 15 a linear nap LEC 18 $\mathcal{L}_{\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\chi}$ [Can write On (P) E Cd (THM; TX Hom(E>F)) where How (2 > F) is the bundle (rover M) of linear maps & >> Fx

and TX (it) is the pullback

of that bundle by T: TX M->M.] Elliptic Regularity III, Elliptic Estimate, and tred holm mapping properties (if M compact) Still hald for operators PEDiff on vector bundles which are elliptic in the following sense: Y(x,3)ET,M, 5+0, the map Jm(I)(x,3): Ex >Fx is invertible (hed dim 2-dim)

18.155 LEC 18 Why So! (an reduce to MCIR Open, E-F= C. Then P= (Piji) is an exe matrix of differential operators. Can construct elliptic parametrix as a matrix of pseudo diff. sperators: $Q = \left(Q_{(\hat{y}_{j})}\right)_{j,j=1}^{\ell}$ The elliptic parametrix construction works similarly to the scalar case l=1 except we stert with $q_{jj'}(x, 3)$ $s.t.(q_{jj'}(x,3)) = in Verse of the modrix$ $<math>(\sigma_m(P_{jj'})(x,3))_{j,j'=1}$

\$18.3. Differential forms Let M be a compact manifold Assume also it's oriented. YXEM & a basis V1,--, Vn of TxM Con decide it (vi,..., vn) is positively or hegatively oriented in a way Which depends continuously on X. For D≤k≤n, define the rector buille of (complexitied) K-forms on Mas SZ:= NK TXM Here 1t stends for antisymmetric kth tensor power. That is, for each XEM, Sex consists of maps TxMx--xTxM ->C

which are multilinear and change sign if we permute [15]

Los orguments. Mote: $\Omega^2 = T^*M$. Sections in Cd (M, 2k) are called différential K-forms. A lot of wonderful properties:
in particular,
M · H Goordinak system 2e: U - > V, $\mathcal{X}(y) = (\chi_{1}(y), \dots, \chi_{n}(y))$ Can défine the différentials $dx_{1,2}, dx_{N} \in C^{2}(U; \Omega^{2})$ and a basis of each \mathcal{N}_{x}^{k} , $x \in \mathcal{V}$, is given by $dx_j, \Lambda ... \wedge dx_{jk}$ where $1 \le j_1 < ... < j_k \le n$.

· Form differential: $d: C^{\infty}(M; \Omega^{k}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M; \Omega^{k+1})$ In Coor dinates, $d(f(x)dx_{j})$ = df / dx3, /--- / dx3k Where $df = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n}f$. $dx_{e} \in C^{\infty}(U_{j}\Omega^{1})$ We have $\sqrt{d^2=0}$ · Integration: if $\omega \in C^{\infty}(M; \Sigma^{n})$ n= dim M, Hen can define Co. (This is where we use M that Mis oriented) · Stokes Thu (M cpct, no boundary): $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\omega}(M, \Sigma^{n-1}), \text{ we have } \int_{M} d\omega = 0.$

o de Rham Cohomology: (should really be (Rinstead of for 05 KSn, the K-th Cohomology group is $H_{dR}^{k}(M, \mathbb{C}) := \frac{\{\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M; \Omega^{k}) \mid d\omega = 0\}}{n}$ Laplbe Co (M; 2k-1)3 \$18.4. Hodge Theory Now assume we fix a Riemannian metric gron M. This defines a Volume from d'Udy Lan inner product on each 52^k . The lafter is defined as follows: · k=1 -> the inner product on TM given by g

[18.155] | LEC 18 \circ $k>1: \{ \}$ B1, --- Bk E 2 Cletine (LIN--- Ndk, B, N--- NBk)g to be the deter winant of the matrix with entries $(\angle \lambda_j, \beta_e)_{ij,\ell=1}$ (Turns out this extends to an inner product on $\Omega_{\chi-}^{k}$ · Now define the 12 inner product on Ca(M, Dk) by $\langle U, V \rangle_{L^2} := \int \langle U(x), \overline{U(x)} \rangle_{g} dV d_{g}(x)$ for all u, v E C (M3 2k). o The operator d; C (M; st) > C (M; st)) is a differential operator of order 1:

dk (fdxj. n... ndxjh) = Z Dref dxeldxj. 1... ldrj. this is O if CEEj,,,, jk?

and its principal Symbol O₁(d_L) is given by $\sigma_{1}(A_{k})(x,\xi)(\lambda) = i(\xi \wedge \lambda)$ for all xEM, 3ET &M = Dx, LESEX, 3/2 is the wedge product,

SNUESEX «Now define the differentiel operator $\mathcal{S}_{k}: C^{\sigma}(M; \Omega^{k}) \rightarrow C^{\sigma}(M; \Omega^{k-1})$ as the adjoint of dk-1: 5k=dk-1

That is, for all UE CO (M; DK) we have VE C (M; 2k-1) $\langle \delta_{k} u, v \rangle_{2} = \langle u, d_{k} v \rangle_{2}$ $\int \langle \delta_{k} u(x), v(x) \rangle_{g} dN d_{s}(x) = \int \langle u(x), d_{k} v(x) \rangle_{g} dV d_{s}(x)$ The principal Symbol of S_k Should be the adjoint of the principal Symbol of d_{k-1} : if $(x,3) \in T^*M$ and $x \in \mathcal{R}_x$ $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{R}_{x-1}^{k-1}$ then $\langle \sigma_1(\delta_k)(\chi,\xi) \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}} =$ $=-i \langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle_{g(x)}$.

· Consider the boudle of all differential forms $\int_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k$ Then dks &k define operators $d_{3}S: C^{\infty}(M_{5}\Omega^{\bullet}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M_{5}\Omega^{\bullet})$ and d, s E Diff (M; D° -> D°) Key fact: the "Dirac operator" d+8 € Diff (M; Ni → Ni) is elliptic and self-adjoint. Self-adjointness follows from The fact that $\delta = d$.

For ellipticity We need to show that 18.55 LEC 18 YXEM, 3ETXM, 3+0, the principal symbol $O_1(x,3) = O_1(d+8)(x,3)$ $G_{1}(x, 3): \Omega_{x} \rightarrow \Omega_{x}$ is an invertible (linear) map. Can assume that $|\xi|_{g} = 1$ l pick a system of coordinates Such that dx,,..., dxn is a g-orthonormal basis of Tre M (at just one point re) and $\xi = d \times 1$ Then an orthonornal basis of Σ_{χ} is given by $dx_{A} := dx_{3}, \Lambda - Adx_{jk}$ where $A = \{j_{1}, \dots, j_{k}\}, j_{1} < \dots < j_{k} \}$ goes over of $\{j_{1}, \dots, j_{k}\}$

We then have $\sigma(x, s)(dx) = \begin{cases} i dx_{1130} dx + 1 \notin d \\ -i dx_{113}, & if 1 \in d \end{cases}$ the first line comes from d & the second line comes from δ recalling 0= of(d+5) Meich is indeed invertible. « (Le Hodge Laplacian $\Delta g = (d+\delta)^2 = d\delta + \delta d$ is since $d^2 = 0 = \delta^2$ $\text{Olive Cin Diff}^2 (M; \Omega^2 = \Omega^2))$ and Self-adjoint. In fact, $T(\Lambda_s)(x,3)$ is the multiplication by $|\xi|^2$ on Ω_x : (Note: Hodge Ag hos 2" the opposite som of $\Lambda_s = 20x$)

So $\forall s$, $d+\delta: H^{S+1}(M, \Omega^{\circ}) \rightarrow H^{S}(M, \Omega^{\circ})$ and Ag: HSt2 (M; Si) - M's (M; Si) are tredholm of index O. What is the kernel? Lemma Ker (Δ_g) = (er ($d+\delta$) = $\{u \in C^{\infty}(M, \Omega^{\circ}) | du=0, \delta u=0\}$ This also equals Fl where $gk = \{u \in C^{\alpha}(M; \Sigma^{k}) | du = 0\}$ is the space of K-forms harmonic K-forms And by the Fredholm property dim Il Lo.

Proof It's easy to see that LEC 18 (25) Ker (Ag) > Ker(d+8) > {u: du=0, Su=0} So we just used to show that
if $u \in C^{\alpha}(M; \Omega^{\circ})$ and $\Delta_{\alpha} u = 0$ then du=0 and Su=0. Compute 0= = $\angle d\delta u + \delta du, u > 1$ (using $\delta = d^*$) = $< Su, Su>_2 + < du, du>_1$ = \l\Sul_2 + \l\dul_2, so der=0 as helded. Now we consider $d(C^{\alpha}) = du \mid u \in C^{\alpha}(M; \mathcal{N}^{k}) \neq C^{\alpha}(M; \mathcal{N}^{k+1})$ Kercode LuE Ca (M, st) du =03 and similarly E(Ca), Kercook

Theorem [Hodge decomposition] [18,155] LEC 18 We have $C^{\alpha}(M; S^{k}) = \mathcal{H}^{k} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(C^{\alpha}) \oplus \mathcal{S}_{k+1}(C^{\alpha})$ Kercadk = HK & dk-1 (C°). In particular, the de Rham Cohouilogy group HdR(M, C) = Kercadk/de-1 CCa)
is isomorphic to Jek (each cohomology closs has a unique harmonic form)

Proof (1) The Sum

LEG 18

LEG 18

LEG 18

LEG 18

LEG 18

VE Com (M; 2h-1)

WE Com (M; 2h-1)

ME Com (M; 2h-1)

ME Com (M; 2h-1)

ME Com (M; 2h-1) Proof 1. The Sum and ut dut Sw=0. Apply d: Since du=0 & d=0 get dSw=0. But then $0 = \langle d\delta w_{1}w_{1}\rangle_{2} = \langle \delta w_{1}\delta w_{2}\rangle_{2} = \delta w = 0.$ Apply 5: get Sdv=0, so 0 = < & dv, v2 = < dv, dv2 = > dv=0 Thus u=0 as well.

2. We have (H= == H) Indeed, take BEC (M; N°) and any $S \in \mathbb{R}$. The operator dets: If (M, si) sh'(M, si) is tred holm and self-adjoint on L3 with kernel Se. Its rouge (d+8) (HS) is $\langle \lambda, w \rangle_{2} = 0$ $\langle \lambda, w \rangle_{2} = 0$ 22 EH (M; N) Thus there exists buight u E de such that B-u E (d+8) (H) And thon flore exists lurique $V \in H^{S}(M; N)$ such that $\beta = u + (d + \delta) v \text{ and } V \perp_{12} \beta$

(\$.(55) LEC (8) 29) U, V ate mique Since they have to be the Same for all S. Thus VEHS (M, 52) YS by Soblev embedding CPset 8, Exercise 2(b)) Shows that YE Cod (M; ri) Now $\beta = 4+ dv + \delta v$ as helded. 3) It remains to show that $\text{Ker}_{\alpha} d = \text{H}^{\dagger} \oplus d(C^{\alpha}).$ = is immediate (d(fl')=0, d=0). To show E, toke any BEKercad C C (M; si)

and write the Hodge decomposition LEC 18 $\beta = \mu + \lambda V + \delta W, \quad V, W \in C^{\infty}(M; \Omega)$ Since db=0, du=0, d'v=0, get 28w=0 Which as in Step 1 shows that Sw=0. So B=utdv
as weeded. Using that Har (M; C) ~ Hk We can show the de Rham version d'Poincaré duality: dim IIk = dim IIn-k Y K. This is because there exists a 0th order diff. operator

X: Ca (M; 2k) -> Ca (M; 2 n-k) Yk

Such that $S_k: C^{\alpha}(M; \Omega^k) \rightarrow C^{\gamma}(M; \Omega^k)$ LEC 18 is given by $S_k = (-1)^k \times -1 d \times$ and * x = (-1) k(n-k) on k-forms From here one can see that x: 1/k -> 1/h-k is an isomorphism $\forall k$ (i.e. if du=0, $\delta u=0$ then d(xu)=0, $\delta(xu)=0$.)