Erratum: Relativistic Brownian motion: From a microscopic binary collision model to the Langevin equation [Phys. Rev. E 74, 051106 (2006)]

Jörn Dunkel* and Peter Hänggi

(Received 17 November 2006; published 15 December 2006)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.74.069902 PACS number(s): 05.40.Jc, 02.50.Ey, 47.75.+f, 99.10.Cd

In Sec. II of this paper we have discussed how one can obtain a nonrelativistic Langevin equation (NRLE) from a simple microscopic collision model by means of several approximation steps. In the paragraph below Eqs. (15) it was stated that, given the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (15d), only the post-point discretization rule [1-4] yields a Maxwellian as the stationary distribution of the Brownian particle. This is correct, but for the post-point discretization rule the mean value of the fluctuating force $\xi(P,t)$ will be nonzero in general, i.e., $\langle \xi(P,t) \rangle \neq 0$. A vanishing mean value $\langle \xi(P,t) \rangle = 0$, as indicated in Eq. (15b), is obtained only if one adopts the Ito pre-point discretization rule [5–7]. Therefore, in order to make the dependence on the discretization rule more explicit, one should rewrite the NRLE (15a) in terms of an explicit multiplicative coupling (with post-point discretization), i.e.,

$$\dot{P} = -\nu_0(P)P + \sqrt{2D_0(P)\bar{\xi}(t)},$$
(15a)

where now $\overline{\xi}(t)$ is a normalized, momentum-*independent* Gaussian white noise, characterized by

$$\langle \overline{\xi}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \overline{\xi}(t) \overline{\xi}(s) \rangle = \delta(t-s)$$

In the limit where the Brownian particle is much heavier than the heat bath particles, the momentum-dependent noise amplitude $D_0(P)$ is determined by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem $D_0(P) = M \nu_0(P) kT$ with friction coefficient $\nu_0(P)$ given by Eq. (11). Then, in accordance with the above remarks, one finds $\langle \sqrt{2D_0(P)}\overline{\xi}(t) \rangle = 0$ only for the Ito stochastic integral interpretation [5–7], but $\langle \sqrt{2D_0(P)}\overline{\xi}(t) \rangle \neq 0$ for any other discretization rule [1–4].

The authors are grateful to P. Talkner for helpful remarks.

[1] P. Hänggi, Helv. Phys. Acta 51, 183 (1978).

- [5] K. Ito, Proc. Imp. Acad. (Tokyo) 20, 519 (1944).
- [6] K. Ito, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 4, 51 (1951).
- [7] P. Hänggi, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 43, 269 (1981).

^[2] P. Hänggi, Helv. Phys. Acta 53, 491 (1980).

^[3] P. Hänggi and H. Thomas, Phys. Rep. 88, 207 (1982).

^[4] Y. L. Klimontovich, Phys. Usp. 37, 737 (1994).

^{*}Electronic address: joern.dunkel@physik.uni-augsburg.de