

# Hyperelliptic Curves mapping to Abelian Surfaces and Applications to Beilinson's Conjecture for 0-cycles

Evangelia Gazaki\*  
joint with Jonathan Love\*\*

\*University of Virginia, NSF DMS-2302196  
\*\*University of Leiden

VaNtAGe Seminar, October 15 2024

# Table of Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 The motivating Conjecture
- 3 Main Results

# Section 1:

- 1 Background
- 2 The motivating Conjecture
- 3 Main Results

Let  $X$  be a **smooth projective** variety over an **algebraically closed field**  $k = \bar{k}$ .

### Goals of this Talk

- Discuss the structure of the **Chow group of 0-cycles**  $\text{CH}_0(X)$  when  $k = \mathbb{C}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .
- Focus on fascinating conjectures over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

# Picard Group of a Curve

## Definition

Let  $C$  be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$  over  $k$ .

$$\text{Pic}(C) := \frac{\text{Div}(C)}{\langle \text{div}(f) : f \in k(C)^\times \rangle} = \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in C} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (P)}{\text{rational equivalences}}.$$

**Recall:** If  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is a rational function, then  $f$  induces a divisor

$$\text{div}(f) := \sum_{P \in C} \text{ord}_P(f)(P).$$

## Example: Hyperelliptic Curves

A smooth projective curve  $H$  is called **hyperelliptic** if affine locally  $H : y^2 = f(x)$ , where  $f(x) \in k[x]$ ,  $\deg(f(x)) \geq 5$ .

- Every hyperelliptic curve  $H$  has an **involution**

$$\iota : H \rightarrow H, \quad P = (x, y) \mapsto \iota(P) = \bar{P} = (x, -y).$$

A point  $W \in H(k)$  is called a **Weierstrass point** if  $\bar{W} = W$ .

- **Fundamental rational equivalence:** For every  $P \in H$ ,

$$[P] + [\bar{P}] - 2[W] = 0 \in \text{Pic}(C).$$

# Structure of $\text{Pic}(C)$

## Degree zero subgroup

$$\text{Pic}^0(C) = \left\{ \sum_{P \in C} m_P [P] : \sum_{P \in C} m_P = 0 \right\}.$$

## The Abel-Jacobi isomorphism

Let  $P_0 \in C$  be a fixed rational point.  $\rightsquigarrow$  There exists a closed embedding  $\iota_{P_0} : C \hookrightarrow J_C$ ,  $P_0 \mapsto 0$ , to the **Jacobian** of  $C$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  It extends to a homomorphism (independent of choice of basepoint)

$$\alpha_C : \text{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow J_C.$$

**Theorem (Abel-Jacobi):** The map  $\alpha_C$  is an isomorphism.

# 0-cycles in higher dimensions

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $k = \bar{k}$ .

## Definition

- A 0-cycle on  $X$  is a formal sum

$$m_1(P_1) + \cdots + m_n(P_n),$$

where  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $P_i$  are points in  $X$ .

- Given a closed integral curve  $C \hookrightarrow X$ , and a rational function  $f$  on  $C$ , we can define a 0-cycle on  $X$ :

$$\operatorname{div}(f) := \sum_{P \in C} \operatorname{ord}_P(f)(P).$$



# Chow group of 0-cycles

## Definition

A 0-cycle is a **rational equivalence** (or **rationally equivalent to 0**) if it can be written as a linear combination of divisors of rational functions on curves in  $X$ .

i.e.  $z = \sum_i \text{div}(f_i)$ , for some  $f_i \in k(C_i)^\times$ ,  $C_i \hookrightarrow X$  closed curves.

## Definition

The **Chow group of 0-cycles**

$$\text{CH}_0(X) := \bigoplus_{P \in X} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (P) / (\text{rational equivalences}).$$

**Note:** When  $X$  is a curve,  $\text{CH}_0(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$ .

# Main Question

## Question

Given a 0-cycle  $z$  on  $X$ , determine whether it is a rational equivalence or not.

## Issue

- When  $\dim(X) \geq 2$  we get contributions from many different curves inside  $X$ :  $z = 0$  if and only if  $z = \sum_i \operatorname{div}(f_i)$ , for some  $f_i \in k(C_i)^\times$ ,  $C_i \hookrightarrow X$  closed curves possibly not connected to each other.  $\rightsquigarrow$  we can't always reduce this to a single divisor.
- Generally very hard to describe explicit relations in  $\operatorname{CH}_0(X)$ .

# What we know about $\text{CH}_0$

- There exists a **degree map**

$$\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{x \in X} n_x [x] \mapsto \sum_{x \in X} n_x.$$

Define  $F^1(X) = \ker(\text{deg}) = \langle [x] - [y] : x, y \in X \rangle$ .

- There exists **Abel-Jacobi map**

$$\alpha_X : F^1(X) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X,$$

where  $\text{Alb}_X =$  higher dimensional analog of the Jacobian = Abelian Variety universal for morphisms from  $X$  to abelian varieties.

- **Problem:** When  $\dim(X) > 1$ ,  $\alpha_X$  is surjective, but often **NOT injective**. Define  $F^2(X) = \ker(\alpha_X)$

$$\text{CH}_0(X) \supset F^1(X) \supset F^2(X) \supset 0.$$

# What about $F^2$ ?

## Rojtman's Theorem ('80)

When  $X/k$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed then  $F^2(X)$  is torsion-free.

In higher dimensions  $F^2(X)$  often non-zero. Its structure depends heavily **on the variety  $X$**

## First Examples

Mumford ('68) constructed surfaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  with enormous  $F^2(X)$ . In particular:  $F^2(X)$  NOT parametrized by the points of an algebraic variety.

### Key points:

- **positive geometric genus:**  $p_g(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma(X, K_X)) > 0$ .
- $\mathbb{C}$  is **transcendental**.

When  $k = \mathbb{C}$

Bloch ('75): For  $X/\mathbb{C}$  of arbitrary dimension  $d > 1$   
 $\rho_g(X) > 0 \Rightarrow F^2(X)$  is huge.

When  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

Milne:  $F^2(X) = 0$  unconditionally on the variety.

Slogan

Large field and  $\rho_g(X) > 0 \Rightarrow$  large  $F^2(X)$   
Small field  $\Rightarrow$  small  $F^2(X)$ .

Question

What about number fields?

## Section 2:

- 1 Background
- 2 The motivating Conjecture
- 3 Main Results

## The field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

The field  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of **algebraic** numbers is countable, **algebraic** and of characteristic 0.

## Beilinson's Conjecture (mid 80's)

For  $X$  smooth projective variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $F^2(X) = 0$  unconditionally on  $p_g(X)$ .

## Evidence?

CURVES!

Many examples with  $p_g(X) = 0$ .

NO known examples in  $\dim(X) > 1$  with  $p_g(X) > 0$ !

# Example 1: $K3$ surfaces

## Definition

A  $K3$  surface over  $k = \bar{k}$  is a smooth projective surface such that:

- $X$  has trivial canonical bundle
- $X$  has Albanese variety  $\text{Alb}_X = 0$ .

**Example:**  $X = \{(x : y : z : w) \in \mathbb{P}_k^3 : x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0\}$ , the Fermat quartic.

## Analyzing Beilinson's Conjecture for $K3$ 's

$\text{Alb}_X = 0 \Rightarrow F^1(X) = F^2(X) = \langle [x] - [y] : x, y \in X \rangle$ .

Thus: Beilinson's Conjecture for  $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \Leftrightarrow$  any two  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -points  $x, y$  are rationally equivalent.

**CAUTION!!!**  $p_g(X) > 0 \Rightarrow$  this is very far from true for two general  $\mathbb{C}$ -points!



## Theorem (Beauville-Voisin '04)

Let  $X$  be a  $K3$  surface over  $k = \bar{k}$ . Any two points  $x, y$  that lie on some (**possibly different**) **rational curve** inside  $X$  are rationally equivalent.

i.e.: If there exist non-constant morphisms  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $x \in \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $y \in \text{Im}(g)$ , then  $[x] = [y]$ .

## Wishful Hope

Maybe  $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  can be covered by rational curves.

**Conjecture:** (Bogomolov '81) predicts exactly this!

Nowadays: **This might be too strong.**

## Conjecture (Bogomolov/Hassett/Tshinkel 2010)

Every  $K3$  surface  $X/\bar{k}$  contains infinitely many rational curves.

**Evidence:** Known for many classes of  $K3$ 's over  $\mathbb{C}$ , some over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

# Example 2: Abelian Surfaces

## Abelian Surfaces

Let  $A$  be an abelian surface over  $k = \bar{k}$  with zero element  $0$ .

- **Fact 1:**  $\rho_g(A) > 0$ .
- **Fact 2:**  $\text{Alb}_A = A$  and the Abel-Jacobi map is:

$$\alpha_A : \quad F^1(A) \rightarrow A$$
$$\sum_{x \in A} n_x [x] \mapsto \sum n_x x$$

**Lemma:** The kernel  $F^2(A)$  is generated by 0-cycles of the form:

$$z_{a,b} := [a + b] - [a] - [b] + [0], \quad \text{with } a, b \in A.$$

## Analyzing Beilinson's Conjecture for Abelian Surfaces

Beilinson's Conjecture for  $A/\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \Leftrightarrow z_{a,b} = 0$ , for all  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -points  $a, b \in A$ .

**CAUTION!!!**  $p_g(A) > 0 \Rightarrow$  this is very far from true for two general  $\mathbb{C}$ -points!  $\rightsquigarrow$  extremely hard to construct examples.

## Summary

To attack Beilinson's Conjecture for a smooth projective variety  $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  we need:

- To find many "special" curves  $C \hookrightarrow X$  defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  that produce many rational equivalences.
- To use the special properties of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  (**algebraicity**) that distinguish it from  $\mathbb{C}$  in an essential manner.

## Special Curves

- For  $K3$  surfaces: Beauville-Voisin result suggests that maybe **rational curves** are enough.
- Analog for abelian surfaces????

**Remark:** Abelian surfaces don't contain any rational curves:  
Any morphism  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow A$  factors through  $J_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0$ .

**Our Idea:** Replace rational curves with hyperelliptic curves.

# Example: A product of two Elliptic Curves

## Finding generators

Let  $A = E_1 \times E_2$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with zero element  $O = (0, 0)$ . Then:

- $F^1(A) = \langle [p, q] - [0, 0] : p \in E_1, q \in E_2 \rangle$ .
- $F^2(A)$  is generated by the fewer elements  $[p, q] - [p, 0] - [0, q] + [0, 0] = z_{(p,0),(0,q)}$ .
- In fact, there is a surjection

$$\varepsilon : E_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes E_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow F^2(A)$$

$$p \otimes q \mapsto [p, q] - [p, 0] - [0, q] + [0, 0]$$

## The Bad News

The group  $E_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes E_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  is very large.

### Mordell-Weil Theorem:

$E_1(L) \otimes E_2(L) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{r_1(L)} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{r_2(L)} \oplus (\text{torsion})$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  we need to kill the images of  $E_1(L) \otimes E_2(L)$  with  $E_i(L)$  of increasingly large rank.

## The Good News

The surjection  $\varepsilon : E_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes E_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \twoheadrightarrow F^2(A)$  implies that the 0-cycle  $Z_{(p,0),(0,q)}$  is **bilinear** on  $p, q$ .

**Take-away:** Bilinearity + Mordell-Weil reduce the number of cancellations we need!

## A weaker Question

Suppose  $E_1, E_2$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{rk}(E_1(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(E_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ . Let  $A = E_1 \times E_2$ . What do we need in order to show that  $z_{a,b} = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A(\mathbb{Q})$ ?

## Lemma

Let  $p \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}), q \in E_2(\mathbb{Q})$  be points of infinite order. Then

$$[p, q] - [p, 0] - [0, q] + [0, 0] = 0 \Rightarrow [a + b] - [a] - [b] + [0] = 0,$$

for all  $a, b \in A(\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Note:** The elements of  $F^2(E_1 \times E_2)$  that are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are generated by  $z_{(a,0),(0,b)} = [a, b] - [a, 0] - [0, b] + [0, 0]$  with  $a \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}), b \in E_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  Enough to show these vanish.

## Proof of Lemma

Let  $a \in E_1(\mathbb{Q}), b \in E_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\text{rk}(E_1(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$  and  $p \in E_1(\mathbb{Q})$  has infinite order  $\Rightarrow$  the points  $a, p \in E_1(\mathbb{Q})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly dependent and the same is true for  $b, q$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  There exist  $n, m, l, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $na + mp = 0 = lb + rq$ .

Bilinearity gives

$$z_{(na,0),(0,lb)} = nlz_{(a,0),(0,b)} = mrz_{(p,0),(0,q)} = 0.$$

Thus:  $z_{(a,0),(0,b)}$  is a **torsion** element of  $F^2(E_1 \times E_2)$ .

**Rojtman's Theorem:**  $F^2$  is torsion-free.  $\Rightarrow z_{(a,0),(0,b)} = 0$ .

## Conclusions

- When  $\text{rk}(E_1(\mathbb{Q})) = \text{rk}(E_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ , we only need **ONE** relation to be able to show  $z_{a,b} = 0$  for all points  $a, b \in A(\mathbb{Q})$ .
- When  $E_1, E_2$  are isogenous: easy.
- When  $E_1, E_2$  non-isogenous: very **nontrivial!**



## Section 3:

- 1 Background
- 2 The motivating Conjecture
- 3 Main Results**

# Abelian Surfaces

## Definition

Let  $A$  be an abelian surface over  $k = \bar{k}$ . A point  $x \in A$  is called **hyperelliptic** if some **nonzero multiple** of  $x$  lies in the image of a morphism  $\phi : H \rightarrow A$ , where  $H$  is a hyperelliptic curve over  $k$  such that **the hyperelliptic involution on  $H$  commutes with negation on  $A$** , i.e.  $\phi(\iota(p)) = -\phi(p)$ , for all  $p \in H$ .

# Rational Equivalences from Hyperelliptic Curves

## Theorem 1 (G., Love '23)

Let  $a, b \in A$ . Suppose there exist nonzero integers  $m, n$  such that each of the points  $a, b$ , and  $ma + nb$  is hyperelliptic. Then  $z_{a,b} = 0$ . In fact,

$$z_{c,d} := [c + d] - [c] - [d] + [0] = 0, \text{ for all } c, d \in B_{a,b},$$

where  $B_{a,b}$  is the **divisible hull** of the subgroup  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,

$$B_{a,b} := \{x \in A : \exists N \neq 0 \text{ such that } N \cdot x \in \langle a, b \rangle\}.$$

## Key points in the Proof

- **Pushing forward fundamental rational equivalences from hyperelliptics:**

Let  $\phi : H \rightarrow A$  with  $H$  hyperelliptic and  $\phi(\iota(p)) = -\phi(p)$ . Let  $a = \phi(p)$ .

$$[p] + [\iota(p)] - 2[w] = 0 \in \text{CH}_0(H) \Rightarrow z_{a,-a} = 0 \in \text{CH}_0(A).$$

- **Bilinearity:** The 0-cycle  $z_{a,b}$  is bilinear on  $a, b$ .

## Remark

The points  $a, b, na + mb$  may lie in the images of morphisms from **3 distinct hyperelliptic curves**  $\rightsquigarrow$  Theorem 1 is an analog for abelian surfaces of the Beauville-Voisin result for  $K3$ 's.

## Products of Elliptic Curves

For  $A = E_1 \times E_2$  we have

$$F^2(A) = \langle [p, q] - [p, 0] - [0, q] + [0, 0], p \in E_1, q \in E_2 \rangle.$$

The points  $(p, 0)$  and  $(0, q)$  are always hyperelliptic!

## Example

Suppose  $E_1, E_2$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{rk}(E_1(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(E_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ . To show that  $z_{a,b} = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A(\mathbb{Q})$  enough to find:

- $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) : H \rightarrow A$  with  $H$  hyperelliptic and  $\phi$  commuting with negation on  $A$ ,
- a point  $p \in H(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\phi_1(p), \phi_2(p)$  both have infinite order.

More generally: For a number field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$ , showing  $z_{a,b} = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A(L)$  can be reduced to finding **finitely many hyperelliptic points** in  $A(L)$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  Theorem 1 has the potential of taking advantage of the Mordell-Weil Theorem (algebraicity of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

## Conclusions

- 1 **Theorem 1**  $\Rightarrow$  Hyperelliptic curves in abelian surfaces are **special curves** that produce many rational equivalences.
- 2 **Theorem 1**  $\Rightarrow$  working with the divisible hull reduces the question of showing that every point in  $A(L)$  is hyperelliptic to only finding finitely many hyperelliptic points in  $A(L)$ .
- 3 **Question:** Can we find any such curves?

# Why hyperelliptic curves?

## Goal

Look for special curves in an abelian surface that produce lots of rational equivalences.

## Approach 1

Look for curves with extra symmetries.

Hyperelliptic curves have an involution  $\iota$  such that  $H/\iota \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  this gives many easy rational equivalences.

## Approach 2: Small genus

- Genus 0: Abelian surfaces don't contain any  $g = 0$  curves.
- Genus 1 curves = Elliptic curves.  $\rightsquigarrow$  Not many of these.  
**Example:**  $A = E_1 \times E_2$  with  $E_1, E_2$  **non-isogenous**. Then every  $E \xrightarrow{f} E_1 \times E_2$  must be constant in one of the factors.
- **Genus 2:** All of them are hyperelliptic!

# Producing many Hyperelliptic Curves

## A $K3$ Surface associated to an Abelian Surface $A$

Let  $X_0 := \frac{A}{\langle -1 \rangle}$  be the quotient of  $A$  by the negation involution.

$\rightsquigarrow$  This is a singular  $K3$  surface with 16 singularities corresponding to the 16 2-torsion points of  $A$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  It admits a  $2 : 1$  map

$$\pi : A \rightarrow X_0.$$

By **blowing-up the singularities** we get a smooth projective  $K3$  surface  $X = \text{Kum}(A)$  called **the Kummer surface** associated to  $A$ .

## Pulling back rational curves from the Kummer surface

**Expectation:**  $X$  being a  $K3$  should contain many rational curves  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  these will pull back to hyperelliptic curves in  $A$ .



## Theorem 2 (G.-Love '23)

Suppose  $A$  is **isogenous to a product of two elliptic curves**. Then for **infinitely many values** of  $g \geq 2$ , there exist **infinitely many** pairwise non-isomorphic genus  $g$  hyperelliptic curves  $H$  mapping birationally into  $A$  with the hyperelliptic involution on  $H$  commuting with the negation on  $A$ .

## Remarks

- 1 For  $A$  isogenous to  $E_1 \times E_2$  the hyperelliptic points are plentiful!
- 2 The birationality onto their image guarantees that any new curve we produce gives genuinely new rational equivalences.

# Kummer Surface as an Elliptic Fibration

## Elliptic Curves in Leibniz form

Let  $E_1, E_2$  elliptic curves over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $A = E_1 \times E_2$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$

$$E_1 : y_1^2 = f(x_1) = x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - \lambda)$$

$$E_2 : y_2^2 = f(x_2) = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - \mu)$$

## The Kummer surface

$X = \text{Kum}(A)$  has an affine chart

$$U = \{(x_1, x_2, r) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^3 : f(x_1)r^2 = f(x_2)\}$$

$$\pi : E_1 \times E_2 \dashrightarrow U$$

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto \left(x_1, x_2, \frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)$$

## Inose's Pencil

- The equation  $f(x_1)r^2 = f(x_2)$  becomes an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  over the function field  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(r)$  by taking  $(0,0)$  as the point at infinity.
- Formally: The map  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $(x_1, x_2, r) \mapsto r$  gives  $X = \text{Kum}(A)$  the structure of an **elliptic fibration** known as Inose's pencil.
- The Mordell-Weil group of  $\mathcal{E}$  has rank at least 4.
- Every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the 4 generators gives a section  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ , which pulls-back to a hyperelliptic  $H \rightarrow A$ .
- This process produces hyperelliptics of larger and larger genus.

- To see repetition of the genus: we repeat the process for any isogenous pair  $E'_1 \times E'_2$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  Over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  we get plenty of such pairs.
- Heuristically: we expect all genera  $g \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  to appear infinitely often.
- The curves we produce can be made very **explicit**. We can write down Weierstrass equations for them and compute the maps  $H \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$ .

## Examples

Suppose we have Weierstrass equations

$$E_1 : y_1^2 = x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - a), \quad E_2 : y_2^2 = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - b).$$

- Genus 2 Curve:

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 = & ((a - 1)^3 r^2 - (b - 1)^3) \\ & ((a - b)(b - 1)^2 - (a - 1)^3 r^2 + (b - 1)^3) \\ & ((a - b)(b - 1)^2 - a(a - 1)^3 r^2 + a(b - 1)^3) \end{aligned}$$

- Genus 6 Curve: Too long to fit in the slide!

# Some computations

Let  $A = E_1 \times E_2$  for  $E_1, E_2/\mathbb{Q}$ . How often can we prove that  $z_{c,d} = 0$  for all  $c, d \in A(\mathbb{Q})$ ?

Sample curves taken from LMFDB

rk $E_1(\mathbb{Q})$	rk $E_2(\mathbb{Q})$	# pairs checked	# s.t. $z_{c,d}=0$ for all $c, d \in A(\mathbb{Q})$
1	1	4950	2602
1	2	10000	3311
1	3	10000	955
2	2	4950	995
2	3	10000	615
3	3	190	17

Table not complete: only uses  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points on  $\leq 6$  hyperelliptic curves/ $\mathbb{Q}$  of genus 2 mapping into  $A$ .

## Some Future Directions

- **More Computational Experimentation** using hyperelliptic points in higher degree extensions and recent breakthroughs on density of degree  $d$  points on curves.
- Find “special curves” that produce rational equivalences for  $E \times C$  and  $(E \times C)/\iota$ , for  $E$  elliptic curve and  $C$  of genus 2.
- Use the hyperelliptic curves in  $E_1 \times E_2$  to produce “Ceresa-like” cohomologically trivial 1-cycles on triple products  $E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3$ .
- **Towards Bass-Bloch-Beilinson Conjectures:**  
Construct **indecomposable cycles** on a product of **non-isogenous**  $E_1, E_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to show that the kernel  $\Sigma := \ker(\mathrm{CH}^2(\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(E_1 \times E_2))$  is torsion, where  $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2$  is a smooth model over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])$ .

Thank you!