

Computing zeta functions and L-functions

Lecture 1

Andrew V. Sutherland

June 24, 2019

CMI-HIMR Summer School in Computational Number Theory

Zeta functions of curves and their function fields

Recall that the **zeta function** of a **nice** curve X/\mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$Z_X(T) := \exp \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{N_r}{r} T^r \right),$$

where $N_r := \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$. Equivalently, if we put $K := \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ then

$$Z_X(T) = Z_K(T) := \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n T^n = \prod_{e \geq 1} (1 - T^e)^{-c_e},$$

where b_n counts effective divisors of degree n , and c_e counts prime divisors (**places** of K , equivalently, **closed points** of X) of degree e . Indeed, we have

$$N_r = \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}) = \sum_{e|r} e c_e,$$

$$\log Z_X(T) = - \sum_{e \geq 1} c_e \log(1 - T^e) = \sum_{e \geq 1} c_e \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{1}{d} T^{de} = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{N_r}{r} T^r.$$

Key properties of the zeta function of a curve

From the Weil conjectures for curves (and abelian varieties), we have

1. $Z_X(T) = \frac{L_X(T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)}$ with $L_X \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree $2g$.
2. $L_X(T) = q^g T^{2g} + q^{g-1} a_1 T^{2g-1} + \cdots + q a_{g-1} T^{g+1} + a_g T^g$
 $1 + a_1 T + \cdots + a_{g-1} T^{g-1} + \downarrow$
3. $L_X(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i T)$ with $|\alpha_i| = q^{1/2}$;
4. $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}) = q^r + 1 - \sum_1^{2g} \alpha_i^r$ and $\#\text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i^r)$.

It follows that a_1, \dots, a_g determine N_1, \dots, N_g and conversely, and that both determine $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$ and $\#\text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$ for all $r \geq 1$.

We also have the bounds $|a_i| \leq \binom{2g}{i} q^{i/2}$ (which are not tight in general). Setting all α_i to \sqrt{q} , and then to $-\sqrt{q}$, yields the [Hasse-Weil bounds](#)

$$(\sqrt{q} - 1)^{2g} \leq \#\text{Jac}(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq (\sqrt{q} + 1)^{2g}$$

spanning an interval of width $4gq^{g-1/2} + O(q^{g-3/2})$.

The L -function of a curve

Now let X be a nice curve of genus g over a number field K .

The L -function of X is defined the Euler product

$$L(X, s) = L(\text{Jac}(X), s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s} := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathfrak{p}}(N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}.$$

where \mathfrak{p} varies over the **primes** of K (prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K) and $N(\mathfrak{p}) := \#\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the cardinality of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$.

For primes \mathfrak{p} of good reduction for X we have $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) := L_{X_{\mathfrak{p}}}(T)$, where $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the reduction of X to the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

In every case $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ has degree at most $2g$.

Thus the a_n are integers and $L(X, s)$ is an **arithmetic** L -function of degree $2g$ with **analytic normalization** $L_{\text{an}}(X, s + \frac{1}{2})$.

It can happen that X has bad reduction at \mathfrak{p} but $\text{Jac}(X)$ does not; from the L -function perspective, these are good primes.

The Selberg class with polynomial Euler factors

The Selberg class S^{poly} consists of Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$:

1. $L(s)$ has an analytic continuation that is holomorphic at $s \neq 1$;
2. For some $\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_i s + \mu_i)$ and ε , the completed L -function $\Lambda(s) := \gamma(s)L(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) = \varepsilon \overline{\Lambda(1 - \bar{s})},$$

where $Q > 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $\text{Re}(\mu_i) \geq 0$, $|\varepsilon| = 1$. Define $\deg L := 2 \sum_i^r \lambda_i$.

3. $a_1 = 1$ and $a_n = O(n^\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ (Ramanujan conjecture).
4. $L(s) = \prod_p L_p(p^{-s})^{-1}$ for some $L_p \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ with $\deg L_p \leq \deg L$ (has an Euler product).

The Dirichlet series $L_{\text{an}}(s, X) := L(X, s + \frac{1}{2})$ satisfies (3) and (4), and conjecturally lies in S^{poly} ; for $g = 1$ and K totally real this is known.

Strong multiplicity one

Theorem (Kaczorowski-Perelli 2001)

If $A(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ and $B(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n n^{-s}$ lie in S^{poly} and $a_p = b_p$ for all but finitely many primes p , then $A(s) = B(s)$.

Corollary

If $L_{\text{an}}(s, X)$ lies in S^{poly} then it is completely determined by any choice of all but finitely many coefficients a_p .

Henceforth we assume that $L_{\text{an}}(s, X) \in S^{\text{poly}}$.

Let $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^s \Gamma(s)$ and define $\Lambda(X, s) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^g L(X, s)$. Then

$$\Lambda(X, s) = \varepsilon N^{1-s} \Lambda(X, 2-s).$$

where the **analytic root number** $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and the **analytic conductor** $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ are determined by the a_p (let us take these as definitions).

Testing the functional equation

Let $G(x)$ be the inverse Mellin transform of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^g = \int_0^\infty G(x)x^{s-1}dx$, and define

$$S(x) := \frac{1}{x} \sum a_n G(n/x),$$

so that $\Lambda(X, s) = \int_0^\infty S(x)x^{-s}dx$, and for all $x > 0$ we have

$$S(x) = \varepsilon S(N/x).$$

The function $G(x)$ decays rapidly, and for sufficiently large c_0 we have

$$S(x) \approx S_0(x) := \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq c_0 x} a_n G(n/x),$$

with an explicit bound on the error $|S(x) - S_0(x)|$.

Effective strong multiplicity one

Fix a finite set of small primes \mathcal{S} (e.g. $\mathcal{S} = \{2\}$) and an integer M that we know is a multiple of the conductor N (e.g. $M = \Delta(X)$).

There is a finite set of possibilities for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $N|M$, and the Euler factors $L_p \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$ (the coefficients of $L_p(T)$ are bounded).

Suppose we can compute a_n for $n \leq c_1 \sqrt{M}$ whenever $p \nmid n$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$.

We now compute $\delta(x) := |S_0(x) - \varepsilon S_0(N/x)|$ with $x = c_1 \sqrt{N}$ for every possible choice of ε , N , and $L_p(T)$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$. If all but one choice makes $\delta(x)$ larger than our explicit error bound, we know the correct choice.

For a suitable choice of c_1 this is guaranteed to happen.¹ One can explicitly determine a set of $O(N^\epsilon)$ candidate values of c_1 , one of which is guaranteed to work; in practice the first one usually works.

¹Subject to our assumptions; if it does not happen then we have found an explicit counterexample to the conjectured Langlands correspondence.

Conductor bounds

The formula of Brumer and Kramer gives explicit bounds on the p -adic valuation of the algebraic conductor N of $\text{Jac}(X)$:

$$v_p(N) \leq 2g + pd + (p-1)\lambda_p(d),$$

where $d = \lfloor \frac{2g}{p-1} \rfloor$ and $\lambda_p(d) = \sum id_i p^i$, with $d = \sum d_i p^i$, $0 \leq d_i < p$.

g	$p = 2$	$p = 3$	$p = 5$	$p = 7$	$p > 7$
1	8	5	2	2	2
2	20	10	9	4	4
3	28	21	11	13	6

For $g \leq 2$ these bounds are tight (see www.lmfdb.org for examples).

For hyperelliptic curves N divides $\Delta(X)$. What about other curves?

Algorithms to compute zeta functions

Given X/\mathbb{Q} of genus g , we want to compute $L_p(T)$ for all good $p \leq B$.

algorithm	complexity per prime (ignoring factors of $O(\log \log p)$)		
	$g = 1$	$g = 2$	$g = 3$
point enumeration	$p \log p$	$p^2 \log p$	$p^3 (\log p)^2$
group computation	$p^{1/4} \log p$	$p^{3/4} \log p$	$p (\log p)^2$
p -adic cohomology	$p^{1/2} (\log p)^2$	$p^{1/2} (\log p)^2$	$p^{1/2} (\log p)^2$
CRT (Schoof-Pila)	$(\log p)^5$	$(\log p)^8$	$(\log p)^{14}$
average poly-time	$(\log p)^4$	$(\log p)^4$	$(\log p)^4$

For $L(X, s) = \sum a_n n^{-s}$, we only need a_{p^2} for $p^2 \leq B$, and a_{p^3} for $p^3 \leq B$. For $1 < r \leq g$ we can compute all a_{p^r} with $p^r \leq B$ in time $O(B \log B)$ using naive point counting.

The bottom line: it all comes down to computing a_p 's at good primes, equivalently, computing $\#X(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$ (aka counting points).

The divisor group of a curve (function field)

Recall that we have a (contravariant) equivalence of categories

$$\{\text{nice curves } X/\mathbb{F}_q\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{function fields } K/\mathbb{F}_q\},$$

which sends X to $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ and morphisms $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ to field embeddings $\varphi^*: \mathbb{F}_q(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ defined by $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$.

We have a bijection between **closed points** P of X ($G_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -orbits of $X(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$) and **places** P of K (equivalence classes of absolute values of K).

The **divisor group** $\text{Div}(X) = \text{Div}(K)$ is the free abelian group on closed points (places) P . Each $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ has the form

$$D = \sum_P n_P P.$$

For $f \in K^\times$ we define $\text{div}(f) := \sum_P v_P(f)P$, and let $\text{Princ}(X)$ denote the subgroup $\{\text{div}(f) : f \in K^\times\} \cup \{0\}$ of **principal divisors**.

The divisor class group

Define the homomorphism $\deg: \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $D \mapsto \sum_P n_P \deg(P)$, where $\deg(P) = \#P = [\kappa(P) : \mathbb{F}_q]$; note that $\text{Princ}(X) \subseteq \ker \deg$.

We now define $\text{Pic}(X) := \frac{\text{Div}(X)}{\text{Princ}(X)}$, and the **divisor class group** $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ as the kernel of the degree map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, yielding the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Provided that X has a rational point we have a functorial isomorphism $\text{Pic}^0(X) \simeq \text{Jac}(X)(\mathbb{F}_q)$, meaning $\text{Pic}^0(X_L) \simeq \text{Jac}(X)(L)$ for all L/\mathbb{F}_q .² We shall **henceforth assume** $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ contains a rational point O . We now define the **Abel-Jacobi map**

$$\begin{aligned} X &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \\ P &\mapsto [O - P] \end{aligned}$$

When X is an elliptic curve this map is an isomorphism.

²This assumption is necessary, $\text{Pic}^0(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})^{G_{\mathbb{F}}}$ need not equal $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ when $X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset$

Representing elements of the divisor class group

The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that if we fix $O \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, every $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ can be written as $\alpha = [D - gO]$ for some $D \geq 0$.

This allows us to define a birational map between $\text{Sym}^g(X) := X^g/S_g$ and $\text{Jac}(X)$, but this map is not an isomorphism (see the exercises). Explicitly representing elements of $\text{Jac}(X)$ is a hard problem, in general.

Now suppose X is defined by an equation $y^2 = f(x)$ with f monic, squarefree, of degree $2g + 1$ and $g \geq 1$. Then X is an elliptic or hyperelliptic curve with a unique rational point ∞ at infinity.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the x -coordinate projection and let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(X)$ denote the **hyperelliptic involution**, which operates on the fibers of π by negating the y -coordinate. For each affine closed point P of X the monic polynomial $h_P \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ whose roots form $\pi(P)$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{P}^1)$ that we can pullback via π to obtain a principal divisor

$$\text{div}(\pi^*(h_P)) = P + \phi(P) - 2 \deg(P)\infty$$

Mumford representation of divisor classes

With $X: y^2 = f(x)$ of genus g , each element of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ contains a divisor $D - g\infty$ with $D \geq 0$ which we can then write as $\bar{D} = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - n\infty$ with $P_1, \dots, P_n \in X(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) - \{\infty\}$ such that $\phi(P_i) \neq P_j$ for any $j \neq i$ (with $0 \leq n \leq g$). Call such a \bar{D} **reduced**.

If we put $P_i = (x_i : y_i : 1)$, note that if $x_i = x_j$ then $y_i = y_j$.

We now define $u(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and let $v \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the unique polynomial of minimal degree such that $v(x_i) = y_i$ **with appropriate multiplicity**: if $(x - x_i)^k | u$ then $(x - x_i)^k | (v(x) - y_i)$.

The pair $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]^2$ representing a reduced divisor satisfies:

1. u is monic with $\deg(u) \leq g$,
2. $\deg(v) < \deg(u)$,
3. u divides $v^2 - f$ (because $\text{ord}_{x=x_i}(u) \leq \text{ord}_{x=x_i}(v^2 - f)$).

Any such **Mumford pair** (u, v) determines a reduced divisor $[u, v]$

Theorem: $[u, v] \sim [s, t] \Leftrightarrow (u, v) = (s, t)$.

Cantor's algorithm

The representation $[u, v]$ of reduced divisors is [Mumford representation](#).

To compute in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ we then rely on [Cantor's algorithm](#).

Input: Pairs $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]^2$ for reduced divisors D_1, D_2 . **Output:** The pair (u_3, v_3) representing the divisor class $[D_1 + D_2]$

1. Compute $d = \gcd(u_1, u_2, v_1 + v_2) = s_1 u_1 + s_2 u_2 + s_3 (v_1 + v_2)$.
2. Compute $u := u_1 u_2 / d^2$.
3. Compute $v := (s_1 u_1 v_2 + s_2 u_2 v_1 + s_3 (v_1 v_2 + f)) / d \bmod u$.
4. While $\deg u > g$:
 - 4.1 Replace u with $(f - v^2)/u$ and then replace v with $-v \bmod u$.
5. Return (u_3, v_3)

To generate elements of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ we pick random monic $u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree at most g and try to find v such that (u, v) is a Mumford pair.

This is not always possible, but it must succeed with probability $\approx 1/2$, since $\#\text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \approx q^g$.

Remarks and generalizations

Cantor's algorithm has bit-complexity $\tilde{O}(g^2(\log q))$ (near optimal), but the constant factors can be substantially improved for fixed g .

Cantor's algorithm can be generalized to handle arbitrary hyperelliptic curves (in two apparently different but ultimately equivalent ways).

Approximate current state of the art (odd characteristic, affine coords):

genus	rational WS point		no rational WS point	
	add	dbl	add	dbl
1	$3\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$4\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$		
2	$24\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$27\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$28\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$32\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$
3	$67\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$68\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$79\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$	$82\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{I}$

As with elliptic curves, inversions can be avoided by using projective (or other) coordinates, but we prefer affine coordinates; the cost of inversions can be ameliorated with [batching](#).