

Signatures of invariant Hermitian forms on finite-dimensional representations

David Vogan

Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Lie Theory Day

National University of Singapore
22 March 2019

Outline

Introduction

Classical background

Classical proofs of nonunitarity

Signatures of Hermitian forms

Slides at <http://www-math.mit.edu/~dav/paper.html>

Signatures of
invariant Hermitian
forms
on
finite-dimensional
representations

David Vogan

Introduction

Classical
background

Old proofs

Computing
signature

What's the topic?

Signatures of
invariant Hermitian
forms
on
finite-dimensional
representations

David Vogan

Introduction

Classical
background

Old proofs

Computing
signature

Compact Lie groups K studied by Weyl, Cartan.

1. Irreducible representations $\phi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \lambda \in \widehat{T}/W$.
2. T max torus; $\widehat{T} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ **lattice** in complex vector space.
3. Reps **all** finite-dimensional, **all** unitary.
4. $\dim \phi(\lambda) =$ **polynomial** in λ , degree = $\frac{1}{2} \dim K/T$.

Noncompact grps $G(\mathbb{R})$ studied by Harish-Chandra.

1. Irreducible representations $\pi(\xi) \leftrightarrow \xi \in \widehat{H}(\mathbb{R})/W_{H(\mathbb{R})}$.
2. $H(\mathbb{R})$ Cartan subgroup; $\widehat{H}(\mathbb{R}) = \Lambda \times \mathfrak{a}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$;
3. **lattice** times **complex vector space**;
 $\text{rk } \Lambda + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{a} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H(\mathbb{R})$.
4. **Most** $\pi(\xi)$ **infinite-dimensional**, **many non-unitary**.

But Weyl's finite-diml $\{\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)\} \subset \{\pi(\xi)\}$.

Almost all $\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)$ are **non-unitary**.

Question today: **How non-unitary are they?**

Joint work with MIT undergraduate **Christopher Xu**,
MIT grad student **Daniil Kalinov**.

Where does that problem come from?

Classifying reps is **algebraic**: use algebraic geometry, etc.

Interesting reps are **unitary reps**, a **subset** of all reps.

Identify **subset** in two steps:

1. Is there **invariant Hermitian form**? (algebraic)
2. Is the form **positive**? (analytic)

Cartan: \exists invt form on most $\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)$, **not positive**.

General $\pi(\xi)$: Analysis is **hard**; replace (2) by

- 2.' What is **signature** of form? (algebraic)

Have **algorithm** (Adams/van Leeuwen/Trapa/V) \rightsquigarrow
signature of invt Herm form on any $\pi(\xi)$.

Suggests **question**: what's **signature** of form on $\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)$?

V n -diml with Herm form signature (p, q) \rightsquigarrow $\text{Sig}(V) = |p - q|$.

Solution in an example

$G(\mathbb{C}) = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, subgps $K = U_n$, $G(\mathbb{R}) = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ decreasing integers $\rightsquigarrow \phi(\lambda) = GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ irr.

$\dim \phi(\lambda) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + i - j)}{i - j}$, **poly** of degree $\binom{n}{2}$.

Restrictions $\phi_K(\lambda)$, $\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)$ **both irreducible**.

$\phi_K(\lambda)$ **always** has invt Hermitian form, **always** positive definite: $\text{Sig}(\phi_K(\lambda)) = \dim \phi(\lambda)$.

$\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)$ has invt form $\iff \lambda_j + \lambda_{n-j+1} = 0$.

If form exists, $\sigma(\lambda) =_{\text{def}} \text{Spin}(n)$ repn $(\lambda_1 + 1/2, \dots, \lambda_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + 1/2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sig}(\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda)) &= \frac{\dim \sigma(\lambda)}{\dim \sigma(0)} \\ &= \text{poly of deg } \begin{cases} (n/2)(n/2 - 1) & n \text{ even} \\ (n/2 - 1/2)^2 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\dim \phi = \text{Sig}(\phi)^2 \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{2\lambda_i + n - 2i + 1}{n - 2i + 1}}_{\text{this term is } \geq 1} \quad \text{Sig small: very indef.}$$

How was the problem solved?

Jeff Adams used (his!) `atlas` software \rightsquigarrow interesting signatures of forms on fin diml reps.

MIT undergraduate Chris Xu used `atlas` to compute many signatures for $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Calculations \rightsquigarrow XU CONJECTURE:

$$\text{Sig}(GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ rep}) = c_n \cdot \dim(\text{Spin}_n \text{ rep}).$$

Xu conjecture \rightsquigarrow grad student Daniil Kalinov proved

$$\text{Sig}(GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ rep}) \leq c_n \cdot \dim(\text{Spin}_n \text{ rep}).$$

Kalinov + Huang-Pandzic¹ Dirac \rightsquigarrow pf of Xu conjecture.

¹DV contribution: I'm old enough to remember this work

Structure of GL_n

$\text{Lie}(GL_n(\mathbb{R})) =_{\text{def}} \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) =$ all real $n \times n$ matrices.

$\text{Lie}(O_n(\mathbb{R})) =_{\text{def}} \mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) = n \times n$ skew-symm matrices.

$\mathfrak{p}_n(\mathbb{R}) =$ real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices matrices,

$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{p}_n(\mathbb{R})$ Cartan decomposition.

$\text{Lie}(GL_n(\mathbb{C})) =_{\text{def}} \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) =$ complex $n \times n$ matrices.

$\text{Lie}(U_n) =_{\text{def}} \mathfrak{u}_n = n \times n$ skew-hermitian matrices.

$\mathfrak{h}_n = n \times n$ hermitian matrices matrices,

$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{u}_n \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_n$ Cartan decomposition.

Two cases related: $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{u}_n = \mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus i\mathfrak{p}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

$GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and U_n are **two real forms** of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Compact forms of noncompact groups

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{p}_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{u}_n \oplus i\mathfrak{u}_n \\ \mathfrak{u}_n = \mathfrak{o}_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus i\mathfrak{p}_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

V = n -dimensional real vector space.

$G(\mathbb{R}) \subset GL(V)$ connected semisimple Lie group.

Theorem (Cartan): can choose basis so that

$G(\mathbb{R}) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ preserved by $\theta(g) = {}^t g^{-1}$.

$K(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} G(\mathbb{R}) \cap O_n(\mathbb{R})$ maximal compact subgroup.

$\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{k}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R})$

$G(\mathbb{C}) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ cplx semisimple algebraic.

$U(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} G(\mathbb{C}) \cap U_n, \quad \text{Lie}(U(\mathbb{R})) = \mathfrak{k}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus i\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}).$

Noncpt $G(\mathbb{R})$, cpt $U(\mathbb{R})$ are two real forms of same $G(\mathbb{C})$.

1st reason fin diml reps mostly not unitary

Write $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_j \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_j$, direct sum of simple.

Irr fin diml ϕ of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\phi \simeq \bigotimes_j \phi_j$ accordingly.

ϕ Hermitian \iff each ϕ_j Hermitian;

$\text{Sig}(\phi) = \prod_j \text{Sig}(\phi_j)$.

If $G(\mathbb{R})_j$ noncompact,

$\phi_j \neq \text{triv} \implies \phi_j \text{ faithful} \implies \phi_j(G(\mathbb{R})) \text{ noncompact}$
 $\implies \phi_j \text{ nonunitary.}$

$\phi \text{ unitary} \iff \phi \text{ trivial on each noncpt simple factor.}$

2nd reason fin diml reps mostly not unitary

Signatures of
invariant Hermitian
forms
on
finite-dimensional
representations

David Vogan

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^{\theta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})^{-\theta} = \mathfrak{k}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R})$$

Theorem (Cartan). If $\tau: G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G_1(\mathbb{R})$
homomorphism of semisimple Lie groups, then
 $G_1(\mathbb{R})$ has Cartan involution θ_1 so $\theta_1(\tau(g)) = \tau(\theta(g))$.

Corollary. If ϕ finite-dimensional rep of $G(\mathbb{R})$, then
 $d\phi(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}))$ **diagonalizable, real eigenvalues.**

Corollary. If ϕ fin-diml **unitary** of $G(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$d\phi(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R})) = 0, \quad d\phi([\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R})]) = 0,$$

so ϕ **factors to largest compact quotient of $G(\mathbb{R})$.**

Introduction

Classical
background

Old proofs

Computing
signature

3rd reason fin diml reps mostly not unitary

$G(\mathbb{R}) = K(\mathbb{R}) \cdot A \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$ **Iwasawa decomposition.**

$\mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} \max \text{ subalg of } \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{R}), \quad M =_{\text{def}} Z_{K(\mathbb{R})}(A),$

$P_{\min}(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} M \cdot A \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$ **min parabolic of $G(\mathbb{R})$,**

$T_M =_{\text{def}} \max$ "torus" in M ,

$H_S(\mathbb{R}) =_{\text{def}} T_M A$ **max split Cartan in $G(\mathbb{R})$.**

$\Delta_S^+ =_{\text{def}} \text{pos roots in } \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_S) \text{ consistent with } P_{\min}$
 $= \Delta(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}_S) \cup \Delta^+(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{t}_M) =$ **Iwasawa pos system.**

$X^*(A) =_{\text{def}} \text{res to } A \text{ of alg chars of } H_S: \mathbb{R}\text{-valued chars.}$

$\lambda \in X^*(H_S)$ hwt of **unitary** $\phi_{G(\mathbb{R})}(\lambda) \implies \lambda|_A =$ **trivial.**

Very difficult for a Δ_S^+ -dominant wt to vanish on A :

$\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ **simple noncpt** \implies only dom wt triv on A is **0**.

Linear algebra and Hermitian forms

Signatures of
invariant Hermitian
forms
on
finite-dimensional
representations

David Vogan

V N -dimensional complex vector space

Sesquilinear form on V is pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle u_1 + z \cdot u_2, v \rangle = \langle u_1, v \rangle + z \cdot \langle u_2, v \rangle, \\ \langle u, v_1 + z \cdot v_2 \rangle = \langle u, v_1 \rangle + \bar{z} \cdot \langle u, v_2 \rangle.$$

Hermitian form on V is sesq $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$.

Herm dual $= V^h$

$$=_{\text{def}} \{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f(v_1 + z \cdot v_2) = f(v_1) + \bar{z} \cdot f(v_2)\}.$$

Sesquilinear form on V \leftrightarrow linear map $T: V \rightarrow V^h$,

$$\langle u, v \rangle_T = (Tu)(v).$$

Herm transpose: $A: V \rightarrow W \rightsquigarrow A^h: W^h \rightarrow V^h$, $A^h(f)(v) =_{\text{def}} f(Av)$.

$A: V \rightarrow V$ is **Hermitian** for sesquilinear $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T \iff TA = A^h T^h$.

Introduction

Classical
background

Old proofs

Computing
signature

Everything you know is wrong

Lin alg: Hermitian ops diagonalizable, real eigvals.

Wrong: depends on positive definite.

Proposition. V cplx \langle, \rangle nondeg Herm, signature = (P, Q) ,
 $A \in \text{End}(V)$ hermitian operator.

1. Write $V_\lambda =$ generalized eigenspace for A ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$),
 $m(\lambda) = \dim V_\lambda$. Then $\langle V_\lambda, V_\mu \rangle = 0$ unless $\lambda - \bar{\mu} = 0$.
2. \langle, \rangle identifies $V_\kappa^h \simeq V_{\bar{\kappa}}$.
3. $\kappa \neq \bar{\kappa}$ not real $\implies \langle, \rangle$ has signature (m_κ, m_κ) on $V_\kappa + V_{\bar{\kappa}}$.
4. $\rho = \bar{\rho}$ real $\implies \langle, \rangle|_{V_\rho} =$ nondeg, signature $(p(\rho), q(\rho))$.
5. $P - Q = (\sum_{\rho \text{ real}} (p(\rho) - q(\rho)))$.

Conclusion: sig computed on real eigspaces of A .

$B = -B^h \implies$ sig computed on imag eigspaces of B .

Computing repn signature with weights

Signatures of
invariant Hermitian
forms
on
finite-dimensional
representations

David Vogan

Sig computed on **real** eigspaces of $A = A^h$.

Sig computed on **imag** eigspaces of $B = -B^h$.

In Hermitian rep of $\mathfrak{g}(R)$, Lie algebra acts by **skew-hermitian** operators.

Recall Iwasawa Cartan $H_s(\mathbb{R}) = T_M A$; $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbb{R})$ acts by **skew-Herm** ops with **real** eigvals in fin diml rep.

Theorem. Suppose (ϕ, E) fin-diml Hermitian rep of $G(\mathbb{R})$, signature (P, Q) . Define $E_0 = E^A$ **zero weight space**, and (P_0, Q_0) signature of form on E_0 . Then $P - Q = P_0 - Q_0$.

$G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$: form is **definite** on zero weight space, so $|P - Q| = \dim(\text{zero weight space})$.

$G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(4, \mathbb{R})$, $E = \text{irr of hwt } (2, 1, -1, -2)$;
 $\dim E = 175$, signature = $(90, 85)$, $\dim E_0 = 7$, signature on $E_0 = (6, 1)$: **indefinite**.

Conclusion: isn't **easy** to calculate sig using weights.

Introduction

Classical
background

Old proofs

Computing
signature