

# Signatures of Hermitian forms and unitary representations

Jeffrey Adams   Marc van Leeuwen   Peter Trapa  
David Vogan   Wai Ling Yee

VII Workshop Lie theory and its applications  
University of Cordoba  
November 30, 2009

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Outline

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Introduction

Introduction

Character formulas

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Hermitian forms

Character formulas for invariant forms

Char formulas for  
invt forms

Computing easy Hermitian KL polynomials

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

Unitarity algorithm

# What is representation theory for?

Example.  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

Example. Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)?$$

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

Example. Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)?$$

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)?$$

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)?$$

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)?$$

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring

$T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + B e^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G / K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring  
 $T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + B e^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring  
 $T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + B e^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring  
 $T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + B e^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring  
 $T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + B e^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# What is representation theory for?

**Example.**  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^5(t) dt = ?$  **Zero!**

Generalize:  $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $\int_{-a}^a f_{\text{odd}}(t) dt = 0$ .

**Example.** Evolution of initial temp distn of hot ring  
 $T(0, \theta) = A + B \cos(m\theta)$ ?  $T(t, \theta) = A + Be^{-c \cdot m^2 t} \cos(m\theta)$ .

Generalize: **Fourier series expansion** of initial temp. . .

**Example.** Suppose  $X$  is a compact (arithmetic) locally symmetric manifold of dimension 128;  $H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = ?$ .

Same as  $H^{28}$  for compact globally symmetric space.

Generalize:  $X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}) &= H_{\text{cont}}^p(G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \text{ irr rep of } G} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \cdot H^p \text{cont}(G, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma) = \dim$  of automorphic forms of type  $\pi$ .

General principal: group  $G$  acts on vector space  $V$ ;  
**decompose**  $V$ ; study pieces separately.

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

Questions about  $X \rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

Questions about  $\mathcal{H} \rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  answers about  $X$ .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  $G$  reductive.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  $G$  reductive.

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3 for Lie group  $G$** .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

# Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

Topological grp  $G$  acts on  $X$ , have **questions about  $X$** .

**Step 1.** Attach to  $X$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.  $L^2(X)$ ).

**Questions about  $X$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about  $\mathcal{H}$ .**

**Step 2.** Find finest  $G$ -eqvt decomp  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .

**Questions about  $\mathcal{H}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  questions about each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ .**

Each  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$  is **irreducible unitary representation of  $G$** :  
indecomposable action of  $G$  on a Hilbert space.

**Step 3.** Understand  $\widehat{G}_U =$  all irreducible unitary  
representations of  $G$ : **unitary dual problem**.

**Step 4.** Answers about irr reps  $\rightsquigarrow$  **answers about  $X$** .

Topic today: **Step 3** for Lie group  $G$ .

Mackey theory (normal subgps)  $\rightsquigarrow$  case  **$G$  reductive**.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \ (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \ (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \ (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \ (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt formsEasy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# What's a unitary dual look like?

$G(\mathbb{R})$  = real points of complex connected reductive alg  $G$

Problem: find  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = irr unitary reps of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Harish-Chandra:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = "all" irr reps.

Unitary reps = "all" reps with pos def invt form.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R})$  compact  $\Rightarrow \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = discrete set.

Example:  $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})} = \{\chi_z(t) = e^{zt} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u = \{\chi_{i\xi} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\} \simeq i\mathbb{R}$$

Suggests:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  = real pts of cplx var  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ . Almost...

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$  = reps with invt form:  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h \subset \widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$ .

Approximately (Knapp):  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}$  = cplx alg var, real pts  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_h$ ; subset  $\widehat{G(\mathbb{R})}_u$  cut out by real algebraic ineqs.

Today: conjecture making inequalities computable.

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on Verma modules...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

Harish-Chandra: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

**Harish-Chandra**: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by **Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman**:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

**Harish-Chandra**: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by **Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman**:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

**Harish-Chandra**: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by **Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman**:  
countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ ).

# Categories of representations

$G$  cplx reductive alg  $\supset G(\mathbb{R})$  real form  $\supset K(\mathbb{R})$  max cpt.

Rep theory of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  modeled on **Verma modules**...

$H \subset B \subset G$  maximal torus in Borel subgp,

$\mathfrak{h}^* \leftrightarrow$  highest weight reps

$V(\lambda)$  Verma of hwt  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $L(\lambda)$  irr quot

Put cplxification of  $K(\mathbb{R}) = K \subset G$ , reductive algebraic.

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod: cplx rep  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , compatible alg rep of  $K$ .

**Harish-Chandra**: irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\iff$  "arb rep of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ ."

$X$  parameter set for irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods

$I(x)$  std  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $\leftrightarrow x \in X$   $J(x)$  irr quot

Set  $X$  described by **Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman**:  
**countable union (subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ )/(subgroup of  $W$ )**.

# Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} m_{\mu, \lambda} L(\mu) \quad (m_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} M_{\mu, \lambda} V(\mu) \quad (M_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y, x} J(y) \quad (m_{y, x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} M_{y, x} I(y) \quad (M_{y, x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices  $m$  and  $M$  upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.

# Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} m_{\mu, \lambda} L(\mu) \quad (m_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} M_{\mu, \lambda} V(\mu) \quad (M_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y, x} J(y) \quad (m_{y, x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} M_{y, x} I(y) \quad (M_{y, x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices  $m$  and  $M$  upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.

# Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} m_{\mu, \lambda} L(\mu) \quad (m_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} M_{\mu, \lambda} V(\mu) \quad (M_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y, x} J(y) \quad (m_{y, x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} M_{y, x} I(y) \quad (M_{y, x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices  $m$  and  $M$  upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.

# Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} m_{\mu, \lambda} L(\mu) \quad (m_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} M_{\mu, \lambda} V(\mu) \quad (M_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y, x} J(y) \quad (m_{y, x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} M_{y, x} I(y) \quad (M_{y, x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices  $m$  and  $M$  upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.

# Character formulas

Can decompose Verma module into irreducibles

$$V(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} m_{\mu, \lambda} L(\mu) \quad (m_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} M_{\mu, \lambda} V(\mu) \quad (M_{\mu, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Can decompose standard HC module into irreducibles

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y, x} J(y) \quad (m_{y, x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

or write a formal character for an irreducible

$$J(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} M_{y, x} I(y) \quad (M_{y, x} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Matrices  $m$  and  $M$  upper triang, ones on diag, mutual inverses. **Entries are KL polynomials eval at 1.**

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

Introduction

Character formulas

**Hermitian forms**

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

Introduction

Character formulas

**Hermitian forms**

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

Introduction

Character formulas

**Hermitian forms**

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{\xi}(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

Introduction

Character formulas

**Hermitian forms**

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

Introduction

Character formulas

**Hermitian forms**

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{\xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v)\}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \rightsquigarrow$  compact form of  $G$

# Defining Herm dual reprn(s)

Suppose  $V$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. Write  $\pi$  for reprn map.

Recall **Hermitian dual of  $V$**

$$V^h = \{ \xi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ additive} \mid \xi(zv) = \bar{z}\xi(v) \}$$

(Also require  $\xi$  is  $K$ -finite.)

Want to construct functor

$$\text{cplx linear rep } (\pi, V) \rightsquigarrow \text{cplx linear rep } (\pi^h, V^h)$$

using Hermitian transpose map of operators.

**REQUIRES** twist by conjugate linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Assume  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  antiholom aut,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .

Define  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $\pi^{h,\sigma}$  on  $V^h$ ,

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(X) \cdot \xi = [\pi(-\sigma(X))]^h \cdot \xi \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^h).$$

$$\pi^{h,\sigma}(k) \cdot \xi = [\pi(\sigma(k)^{-1})]^h \cdot \xi \quad (k \in K, \xi \in V^h).$$

Classically  $\sigma_0 \iff G(\mathbb{R})$ . We use also  $\sigma_c \iff$  **compact form of  $G$**

# Invariant Hermitian forms

Calculating  
signatures

Adams *et al.*

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be a  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  with  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0 \iff v = 0$ .

Then  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \sigma(v), w \rangle$ .

Form is Hermitian if  $\sigma = \text{id}$ .

is real.

$V$  has a real form  $T$  iff  $\langle, \rangle$  is real.

Real form  $T$  is  $\sigma$ -inv iff  $\langle, \rangle$  is  $\sigma$ -inv.

$$T \rightarrow T^h \iff \text{real form of cplx line } \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K}(V, V^{h, \sigma}).$$

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
inv forms

Easy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$$T \rightarrow T^h \iff \text{real form of cplx line } \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K}(V, V^{h, \sigma}).$$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T.$

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \leftrightarrow \exists$  inv sesq form  $\leftrightarrow \exists$  inv Herm form

A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \leftrightarrow$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ ;  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form

A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

*Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .*

*Assume  $V$  is irreducible.*

*$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form*

*A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.*

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form  
 A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form  
 A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form

A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form

A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant Hermitian forms

$V = (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module,  $\sigma$  antihol aut of  $G$  preserving  $K$ .

A  $\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V$  is sesq pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  such that

$$\langle X \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, -\sigma(X) \cdot w \rangle, \quad \langle k \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, \sigma(k^{-1}) \cdot w \rangle$$

$$(X \in \mathfrak{g}; k \in K; v, w \in V).$$

## Proposition

$\sigma$ -inv sesq form on  $V \iff (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -map  $T: V \rightarrow V^{h,\sigma}$ :  
 $\langle v, w \rangle_T = (Tv)(w).$

Form is Hermitian iff  $T^h = T$ .

Assume  $V$  is irreducible.

$V \simeq V^{h,\sigma} \iff \exists$  inv sesq form  $\iff \exists$  inv Herm form

A  $\sigma$ -inv Herm form on  $V$  is unique up to real scalar.

$T \rightarrow T^h \iff$  real form of cplx line  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(V, V^{h,\sigma}).$

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $I(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

Need forms on standard modules.

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$I(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$I_n/I_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $I(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } l_n(x)$  on std,  
nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $l_n/l_{n+1}$ .

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = l_0 \supset l_1 \supset l_2 \supset \cdots, \quad l_0/l_1 = J(x)$$

$l_n/l_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): l_n/l_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$I(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $I(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x) \text{ on std,}$   
**nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .**

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$I(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$I_n/I_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $I(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -inv Herm forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .**

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$I_n/I_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .**

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$I_n/I_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .**

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$I_n/I_{n+1}$  completely reducible

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .**

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$$I_n/I_{n+1} \text{ completely reducible}$$

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms**  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$I(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$$I_n/I_{n+1} \text{ completely reducible}$$

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Invariant forms on standard reps

Recall multiplicity formula

$$l(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} m_{y,x} J(y) \quad (m_{y,x} \in \mathbb{N})$$

for standard  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $l(x)$ .

Want parallel formulas for  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian forms.

**Need forms on standard modules.**

Form on irr  $J(x) \xrightarrow{\text{deformation}} \text{Jantzen filt } I_n(x)$  on std,  
**nondeg forms**  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I_n/I_{n+1}$ .

Details (proved by Beilinson-Bernstein):

$$l(x) = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots, \quad I_0/I_1 = J(x)$$

$$I_n/I_{n+1} \text{ completely reducible}$$

$$[J(y): I_n/I_{n+1}] = \text{coeff of } q^{(\ell(x) - \ell(y) - n)/2} \text{ in KL poly } Q_{y,x}$$

Hence  $\langle, \rangle_{l(x)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n \langle, \rangle_n$ , nondeg form on gr  $l(x)$ .

Restricts to original form on irr  $J(x)$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Virtual Hermitian forms

$\mathbb{Z}$  = Groth group of vec spaces.

These are mults of irr reps in virtual reps.

$\mathbb{Z}[X]$  = Groth grp of finite length reps.

For invariant forms. . .

$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} =$  Groth grp of fin diml forms.

Ring structure

$$(p, q)(p', q') = (pp' + qq', pq' + q'p).$$

Mult of irr-with-forms in virtual-with-forms is in  $\mathbb{W}$ :

$\mathbb{W}[X] \approx$  Groth grp of fin lgth reps with invt forms.

Two problems: invt form  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not exist for irr  $J$ ;  
and  $\langle, \rangle_J$  may not be preferable to  $-\langle, \rangle_J$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define Hermitian KL polynomials

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(I(x)-I(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define Hermitian KL polynomials

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(I(x)-I(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define Hermitian KL polynomials

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(I(x)-I(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define **Hermitian KL polynomials**

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define **Hermitian KL polynomials**

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: multiplicities

Fix  $\sigma$ -invt Hermitian form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}$  on each irr admitting one; recall Jantzen form  $\langle, \rangle_n$  on  $I(x)_n/I(x)_{n+1}$ .

MODULO problem of irrs with no invt form, write

$$(I_n/I_{n-1}, \langle, \rangle_n) = \sum_{y \leq x} w_{y,x}(n) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}),$$

coeffs  $w(n) = (p(n), q(n)) \in \mathbb{W}$ ; summand means

$$p(n)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)}) \oplus q(n)(J(y), -\langle, \rangle_{J(y)})$$

Define **Hermitian KL polynomials**

$$Q_{y,x}^\sigma = \sum_n w_{y,x}(n) q^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2} \in \mathbb{W}[q]$$

Eval in  $\mathbb{W}$  at  $q = 1 \leftrightarrow$  form  $\langle, \rangle_{I(x)}$  on std.

Reduction to  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$  by  $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow$  KL poly  $Q_{y,x}$ .

# Hermitian KL polynomials: characters

Matrix  $Q_{y,x}^\sigma$  is upper tri, 1s on diag: **INVERTIBLE**.

$$P_{x,y}^\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} ((x,y) \text{ entry of inverse}) \in \mathbb{W}[q].$$

Definition of  $Q_{x,y}^\sigma$  says

$$(gr\ l(x), \langle, \rangle_{l(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} Q_{x,y}^\sigma(1) (J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)});$$

inverting this gives

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}^\sigma(1) (gr\ l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)})$$

Next question: how do you compute  $P_{x,y}^\sigma$ ?

# Hermitian KL polynomials: characters

Matrix  $Q_{y,x}^\sigma$  is upper tri, 1s on diag: **INVERTIBLE**.

$$P_{x,y}^\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} ((x,y) \text{ entry of inverse}) \in \mathbb{W}[q].$$

Definition of  $Q_{x,y}^\sigma$  says

$$(\text{gr } l(x), \langle, \rangle_{l(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} Q_{x,y}^\sigma(1)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)});$$

inverting this gives

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}^\sigma(1)(\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)})$$

Next question: how do you compute  $P_{x,y}^\sigma$ ?

# Hermitian KL polynomials: characters

Matrix  $Q_{y,x}^\sigma$  is upper tri, 1s on diag: **INVERTIBLE**.

$$P_{x,y}^\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} ((x,y) \text{ entry of inverse}) \in \mathbb{W}[q].$$

Definition of  $Q_{x,y}^\sigma$  says

$$(\text{gr } l(x), \langle, \rangle_{l(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} Q_{x,y}^\sigma(1)(J(y), \langle, \rangle_{J(y)});$$

inverting this gives

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}^\sigma(1)(\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)})$$

Next question: how do you compute  $P_{x,y}^\sigma$ ?

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

Herm KL polys for  $\sigma_c$ 

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt formsEasy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

Herm KL polys for  $\sigma_c$ 

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x)-l(y)-n)/2}$  times  $\langle, \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt formsEasy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Herm KL polys for $\sigma_c$

$\sigma_c = \text{cplx conj for cpt form of } G, \sigma_c(K) = K.$

Plan: study  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms, relate to  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms.

## Proposition

Suppose  $J(x)$  irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module, real infl char. Then  $J(x)$  has  $\sigma_c$ -invt Herm form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$ , characterized by

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(x)}^c$  is pos def on the lowest  $K$ -types of  $J(x)$ .

Proposition  $\implies$  Herm KL polys  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}, P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$  well-def.

Coeffs in  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus s\mathbb{Z}; s = (0, 1) \iff$  one-diml neg def form.

Conj:  $Q_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = s^{\frac{\ell_{\mathfrak{o}}(x) - \ell_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)}{2}} Q_{x,y}(qs), P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}(q) = s^{\frac{\ell_{\mathfrak{o}}(x) - \ell_{\mathfrak{o}}(y)}{2}} P_{x,y}(qs).$

Equiv: if  $J(y)$  occurs at level  $n$  of Jantzen filt of  $I(x)$ , then Jantzen form is  $(-1)^{(l(x) - l(y) - n)/2}$  times  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{J(y)}$ .

Conjecture is false... but not seriously so. Need an extra power of  $s$  on the right side.

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_o$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for invt forms

Easy Herm KL polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_0$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

Introduction

Character formulas

Hermitian forms

Char formulas for  
invt formsEasy Herm KL  
polys

Unitarity algorithm

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_o$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_o$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_o$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds,  
all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_o$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_0$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds,  
**all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$ . ITERATE...**

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# Deforming to $\nu = 0$

Have computable **conjectural** formula (omitting  $\ell_0$ )

$$(J(x), \langle, \rangle_{J(x)}^c) = \sum_{y \leq x} (-1)^{l(x)-l(y)} P_{x,y}(s) (\text{gr } l(y), \langle, \rangle_{l(y)}^c)$$

for  $\sigma^c$ -invt forms in terms of forms on stds, same inf char.

Polys  $P_{x,y}$  are KL polys, computed by `atlas` software.

Std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  deps on cont param  $\nu$ . Put  $l(t) = l(t\nu)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

If std rep  $l = l(\nu)$  has  $\sigma$ -invt form so does  $l(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

(signature for  $l(t)$ ) = (signature on  $l(t + \epsilon)$ ),  $\epsilon \geq 0$  suff small.

Sig on  $l(t)$  differs from  $l(t - \epsilon)$  on odd levels of Jantzen filt:

$$\langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t-\epsilon)} = \langle, \rangle_{\text{gr } l(t)} + (s-1) \sum_m \langle, \rangle_{l(t)_{2m+1}/l(t)_{2m+2}}$$

Each summand after first on right is known comb of stds, **all with cont param strictly smaller than  $t\nu$** . ITERATE...

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{l'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,l'} \langle, \rangle_{l'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,l'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# From $\sigma_c$ to $\sigma_0$

Cplx conjs  $\sigma_c$  (compact form) and  $\sigma_0$  (our real form) differ by **Cartan involution**  $\theta$ :  $\sigma_0 = \theta \circ \sigma_c$ .

Irr  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mod  $J \rightsquigarrow J^\theta$  (same space, rep twisted by  $\theta$ ).

## Proposition

*$J$  admits  $\sigma_0$ -invt Herm form if and only if  $J^\theta \simeq J$ . If  $T_0: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , and  $T_0^2 = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J^0 = \langle v, T_0 w \rangle_J^c.$$

$T: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta \Rightarrow T^2 = z \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow T_0 = z^{-1/2} T \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -invt Herm form.

To convert **formulas for  $\sigma_c$  invt forms**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **formulas for  $\sigma_0$ -invt forms** need intertwining ops  $T_J: J \xrightarrow{\sim} J^\theta$ , consistent with decomp of std reps.

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$\nu'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J, \nu'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x, y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -inv form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -inv form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$\nu'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J, \nu'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x, y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$\nu'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J, \nu'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x, y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{\nu'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, \nu'} \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J, \nu'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$\nu'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{\nu'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J, \nu'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x, y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J^c = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$I'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^0$  definite, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J,I'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, I'} \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J, I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J, I'} \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J, I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$I'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

$J$  unitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J, I'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x, y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J^c = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$I'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

**J unitary**  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J,I'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# Equal rank case

$\text{rk } K = \text{rk } G \Rightarrow$  Cartan inv **inner**:  $\exists \tau \in K, \text{Ad}(\tau) = \theta$ .

$\theta^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \tau^2 = \zeta \in Z(G) \cap K$ .

Study reps  $\pi$  with  $\pi(\zeta) = z$ . Fix square root  $z^{1/2}$ .

If  $\zeta$  acts by  $z$  on  $V$ , and  $\langle, \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_c$ -invt form, then

$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \cdot w \rangle_V^c$  is  $\sigma_0$ -invt form.

$$\langle, \rangle_J^c = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^c \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

translates to

$$\langle, \rangle_J^0 = \sum_{I'(0) \text{ std at } \nu' = 0} v_{J,I'} \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^0 \quad (v_{J,I'} \in \mathbb{W}).$$

$I'$  has LKT  $\mu' \Rightarrow \langle, \rangle_{I'(0)}^0$  **definite**, sign  $z^{-1/2} \mu'(\tau)$ .

**J unitary**  $\Leftrightarrow$  each summand on right pos def.

Computability of  $v_{J,I'}$  needs conjecture about  $P_{x,y}^{\sigma_c}$ .

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\rightsquigarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with

$D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with

$D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# General case

Fix “distinguished involution”  $\delta_0$  of  $G$  inner to  $\theta$

Define extended group  $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Can arrange  $\theta = \text{Ad}(\tau\delta_0)$ , some  $\tau \in K$ .

Define  $K^\Gamma = \text{Cent}_{G^\Gamma}(\tau\delta_0) = K \rtimes \{1, \delta_0\}$ .

Study  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -mods  $V$  with  
 $D_0: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\delta_0}$ ,  $D_0^2 = \text{Id}$ .

Beilinson-Bernstein localization:  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods  $\longleftrightarrow$  action of  $\delta_0$  on  
 $K$ -eqvt perverse sheaves on  $G/B$ .

Should be computable by mild extension of Kazhdan-Lusztig  
ideas. **Not done yet!**

Now translate  $\sigma_c$ -invt forms to  $\sigma_0$  invt forms

$$\langle v, w \rangle_V^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle v, z^{-1/2} \tau \delta_0 \cdot w \rangle_V^c$$

on  $(\mathfrak{g}, K^\Gamma)$ -mods as in equal rank case.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has inv Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.

# Possible unitarity algorithm

Hope to get from these ideas a computer program; enter

- ▶ real reductive Lie group  $G(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ general representation  $\pi$

and **ask whether  $\pi$  is unitary.**

Program would say either

- ▶  $\pi$  has no invariant Hermitian form, or
- ▶  $\pi$  has invt Herm form, indef on reps  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of  $K$ , or
- ▶  $\pi$  is unitary.

Answers to finitely many such questions  $\rightsquigarrow$   
complete description of unitary dual of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

This would be a good thing.