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## Relative Entropy

The central quantity we will deal is relative entropy:

## Definition (Relative Entropy)

Let $X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu$ be random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, define the entropy of $X$, relative to $Y$ as

$$
\operatorname{Ent}(X \| Y)=\operatorname{Ent}(\mu \| \nu):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \ln \left(\frac{d \mu}{d \nu}\right) d \mu & \text { if } \mu \ll \nu \\
\infty & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## The Shannon-Stam Inequality

In 48' Shannon noted the following inequality, which was later proved by Stam, in $56^{\prime}$.

## Theorem (Shannon-Stam Inequality)

Let $X, Y$ be random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ be a random vector with the law of the standard Gaussian. Then, for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$
$\operatorname{Ent}(\sqrt{\lambda} X+\sqrt{1-\lambda} Y \| G) \leq \lambda \operatorname{Ent}(X \| G)+(1-\lambda) \operatorname{Ent}(Y \| G)$.
Moreover, equality holds if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are Gaussians with identical covariances.

Remark: Shannon and Stam actually proved an equivalent form of the inequality, called the entropy power inequality. The equivalence was observed by Lieb in 78
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## Stability

Define the deficit

$$
\delta_{\lambda}(X, Y)=\lambda \operatorname{Ent}(X \| G)+(1-\lambda) \operatorname{Ent}(Y \| G)-\operatorname{Ent}(\sqrt{\lambda} X+\sqrt{1-\lambda} Y \| G) .
$$

The question of stability deals with approximate equality cases.
Question
Suppose that $\delta_{\lambda}(X, Y)$ is small, must $X$ and $Y$ be 'close' to
Gaussian vectors, which are themselves 'close' to each other?
We will now show that the deficit can be bounded in terms of a stochastic process and that in certain cases this gives a positive
answer to the above question.
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## Föllmer Martingales

We focus on the one dimensional case and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$.
Let $X$ be centered random variable, and let $B_{t}$ denote a standard Brownian motion. Fölmmer (1984) and then Lehec (2011) have shown that there exists a process $\Gamma_{t}^{X}$, such that

- $\int_{0}^{1} \Gamma_{t}^{X} d B_{t}$ has the law of $X$

- If $H_{t}^{X}$ is another process such that $\int_{0}^{1} H_{t}^{X} d B_{t}$ has the law of $X$,
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## Bounding the Deficit

If $H_{t}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(\Gamma_{t}^{X}\right)^{2}+\left(\Gamma_{t}^{Y}\right)^{2}}{2}}, \operatorname{Ent}\left(\frac{X+Y}{\sqrt{2}} \| G\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(1-H_{t}\right)^{2}\right]}{1-t} d t$.
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We say that $X$ is strongly log-concave if it has a density $f$ such that $-\ln (f)^{\prime \prime} \geq 1$.
Fact: if $X$ is strongly log-concave then $\Gamma_{t}^{X} \leq 1$ almost surely. So, if both $X$ and $Y$ are strongly log-concave
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## Log-Concave Measures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left(\Gamma_{t}^{X}-\Gamma_{t}^{Y}\right)^{2}}{1-t}\right] d t \\
\geq & \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Var}\left(\Gamma_{t}^{X}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Var}\left(\Gamma_{t}^{Y}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_{t}^{X}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_{t}^{Y}\right]\right)^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq \mathcal{W}_{2}^{2}\left(X, G_{1}\right)+\mathcal{W}_{2}^{2}\left(Y, G_{2}\right)+\mathcal{W}_{2}^{2}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)
$$

Here, $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ denotes the Wasserstein distance and
$G_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_{t}^{x}\right] d B_{t}^{x}, G_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_{t}^{Y}\right] d B_{t}^{\gamma}$ are Gaussians.
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