\mathbb{F}_p -LOCAL DOES NOT IMPLY \mathbb{F}_p -NILPOTENT COMPLETE

ROBERT BURKLUND

ABSTRACT. We give an example of a non-connective spectrum which is \mathbb{F}_p -local, but not \mathbb{F}_p -nilpotent complete.

Given a spectrum E we can define the Bousfield localization at E,

Definition 1 ([Bou79, Section 1]).

- A spectrum X is E-acyclic if $X \otimes E \cong 0$.
- A morphism $f: X \to Y$ is an *E*-equivalence if $f \otimes E$ is an equivalence.
- A spectrum X is E-local if every map into X from an E-acyclic spectrum is nullhomotopic.

Proposition 2 (Bousfield). Every spectrum X sits in an essentially unique fiber sequence

$$F_E X \to X \to L_E X$$

where $L_E X$ is E-local, $F_E X$ is E-acyclic and the second map is an E-equivalence.

If E was equipped with an \mathbb{E}_0 -ring structure we can also define the nilpotent completion functor $(-)_E^{\wedge} : \mathrm{Sp} \to \mathrm{Sp}$.

Definition 3. Let I denote the fiber of the unit map on E, then we define¹

$$X_E^{\wedge} \coloneqq \varprojlim_n \operatorname{cof}(I^{\otimes n} \otimes X \to X)$$

While L_E exists for very general reasons and has many nice properties, computing its value on an object X can be a pain unless $L_E(X) \cong X_E^{\wedge}$. The essential reason for this is that nilpotent-completion involved only a countable inverse limit, whereas the construction of L_E may involve an involve an inverse limit over a rather large set.

In this note we will show that even in the (usually well behaved) situation where $E = \mathbb{F}_p$, nilpotent completion and localization can differ. Before giving our counterexample we recall without proof the following result.

Proposition 4 ([Bou79, Theorem 6.6]). If X is bounded below, then $L_{\mathbb{F}_n}X \cong X_{\mathbb{F}_n}^{\wedge}$.

We now proceed with constructing a counter-example when X is not connective. For any X we have a map $X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge}$. In order to show that the associated map $L_{\mathbb{F}_p}X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge}$ is not an equivalence it will suffice to show that $X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge}$ is not an equivalence on \mathbb{F}_p homology. Stated another way, we would like to find an X such that $\operatorname{cof}(X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge})$ has non-zero \mathbb{F}_p -homology.

We will construct our counter-example by building an X such that $\operatorname{cof}(X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge})$ is connective with bottom homotopy group an \mathbb{F}_p -module. This allows us to avoid computing the homology of an inverse limit (something which is apriori difficult).

Let k(n) denote the connective Morava K-theory, define X as follows,

$$X \coloneqq \bigoplus_{i>0} \Sigma^{-|v_{n_i}|i} k(n_i)$$

Date: November 18, 2020.

 $^{{}^{1}}$ If E is a ring object then this definition will agree with the more standard definition in terms of a choice of Adams tower.

ROBERT BURKLUND

Where $\{n_i\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers chosen to have the following property:

$$|v_{n_i}| > |v_{n_{i-1}}|(i-1) \tag{1}$$

This condition guarantees the following:

- (1) $\pi_0 X$ is the direct sum of $\mathbb{F}_p\{v_{n_i}^i\}$ over $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) In negative degrees the homotopy groups of X are either 0 or \mathbb{F}_p .
- (3) In positive degrees the homotopy groups of X are finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces.

Now we need to examine an Adams resolution for X. Since a sum of Adams towers is an Adams tower itself it will suffice to pick one for each of the k(n). For each k(n) we will use the Adams resolution given by the v_n -adic filtration. In particular, the homotopy groups of the tower are just homotopy groups of X equipped with a filtration. Then, by construction, the associated graded of $\pi_0 X$ consists of one copy of \mathbb{F}_p in each degree.

We can now compute the homotopy groups of $X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge}$ and what the map $X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge}$ does on homotopy groups. In non-zero degrees the inverse limit of the homotopy groups of the Adams tower stabilize at a finite stage so we learn that the map above is an isomorphism. In degree zero, the connecting maps in the inverse system are surjective so there is no lim¹ term. Passing to the inverse limit has the effect of completing π_0 with respect to the Adams filtration. Altogether, we learn that the homotopy groups of $cof(X \to X_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\wedge})$ are zero for $n \neq 0$ and

$$\pi_0 \mathrm{cof}(X \to X^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) = \mathrm{coker}\left(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_p \to \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_p\right)$$

This implies that $\operatorname{cof}(X \to X^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ is an uncountable sum of copies \mathbb{F}_p , an object with nonzero homology.

References

[Bou79] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spectra with respect to homology. Topology, 18(4):257–281, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA, USA *Email address:* burklund@mit.edu