

# 18.781, Fall 2007 Problem Set 7

## Solutions to Selected Problems

**Problem 1** First, observe that  $N(13) = 13^2 - 13 \cdot 0 + 0^2 = 169 = 13^2$ . If  $ab = 13$  for some nonunit  $a, b \in R$ , then  $N(a)N(b) = 13^2$  and  $N(a) = N(b) = 13$  since 13 is a prime number in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $N(a), N(b) > 1$ .

For any  $a = r + s\omega$ , we have  $N(a) = (r - s)^2 + rs$ . By some computations, we can find the set of  $(r, s)$  which gives  $N(a) = 13$ . (Actually, they are  $(4, 1), (-4, -1), (4, 3), (-4, -3)$ ). And we can easily find that

$$13 = (3 + 4\omega)(-1 - 4\omega).$$

Each element of left hand side is clearly non-unit in  $R$  since the value by  $N$  is not 1.  $\square$

**Problem 2** For any element  $a = s + ti$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , define the function  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(a) = s^2 + t^2$ . Since  $s + ti = 0$  if and only if both  $s$  and  $t$  are 0, we see that  $\lambda(s + ti) \geq 1$  when  $s + ti \neq 0$ . It is easy to find that  $\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a)\lambda(b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Then when  $b \neq 0$  we have

$$\lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \cdot 1 \leq \lambda(a)\lambda(b) = \lambda(ab).$$

If  $b \neq 0$ , it is also easy to verify that  $\frac{a}{b}$  is a complex number that can be written in the form  $c + di$ , where  $c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , it lies between two consecutive integers; and similarly for  $d$ . Hence, there are integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $|m - c| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|n - d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $\frac{a}{b} = c + di$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b[c + di] = b[(c - m + m) + (d - n + n)i] \\ &= b[(m + ni) + ((c - m) + (d - n)i)] \\ &= b[m + ni] + b[(c - m) + (d - n)i] \\ &= bq + r, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q = m + ni \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $r = b[(c - m) + (d - n)i]$ . Since  $r = a - bq$  and  $a, b, q \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we see that  $r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(r) &= \lambda(b)\lambda[(c - m) + (d - n)i] = \lambda(b)[(c - m)^2 + (d - n)^2] \\ &\leq \lambda(b) \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \lambda(b) < \lambda(b). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain.  $\square$

**Problem 3** We need to find elements  $a = s + ti \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $\lambda(a) = s^2 + t^2 = 1$ . Clearly,  $s^2 + t^2 = 1$  if and only if  $(s, t) = (1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)$ . Thus all the units of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are

$$1, -1, i, -i.$$

□

**Problem 4** It is easy to find that

$$2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$$

and  $1 + i, 1 - i$  are irreducible because  $\lambda(1 + i) = \lambda(1 - i) = 2$  is a prime number in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . □

**Problem 5** For any  $\alpha = a + b\omega \in R$ , we have  $\alpha = a + b\omega = (a + b) + (-b)(1 - \omega)$ . Since  $a + b$  is an integer,  $a + b \equiv 0, 1$  or  $1$  in modulus 3. This implies that  $a + b = 3k + r$  for some integer  $k$  and  $r = 0, 1$  or  $1$ . Note that  $3 = (2 + \omega)(1 - \omega)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\alpha = (a + b) + (-b)(1 - \omega) = (3k + r) + (-b)(1 - \omega) = r + (k(2 + \omega) - b)(1 - \omega).$$

Since  $k(2 + \omega) - b \in R$ , we can conclude that  $\alpha$  must be congruent to  $r$ , which is one of  $0, 1$ , or  $-1 \pmod{1 - \omega}$ . □

**Problem 6** Suppose that  $1$  and  $\omega$  are same in  $R/\wp R$ . Then  $(1 - \omega) = a\wp$  for some  $a \in R$ . Take the norm, we have  $3 = N(1 - \omega) = N(a)N(\wp)$ . Hence  $N(\wp) = 1$  or  $3$ , but by assumption,  $N(\wp) \neq 3$ , so we have  $N(\wp) = 1$ . But this implies that  $\wp$  is a unit in  $R$ , which is a contradiction because  $\wp$  is a prime element. Therefore,  $1$  and  $\omega$  are distinct in  $R/\wp R$ .

Similarly,  $N(1 - \omega^2) = N(2 + \omega) = 2^2 - 2 \cdot 1 + 1 = 3$  and  $N(\omega - \omega^2) = N(1 + 2\omega) = 3$  implies that, together with the above observation,  $1, \omega$  and  $\omega^2$  are distinct in  $R/\wp R$ .

Hence, for any nonzero element  $r$  in  $R/\wp R$ ,  $r, r\omega, r\omega^2$  are all distinct. since  $\omega^3 = 1$ , we can say

$$\text{Nonzero elements of } R/\wp R = \bigsqcup \{r, r\omega, r\omega^2\}$$

where  $\bigsqcup$  means disjoint union.

This implies that  $N(\wp) = \#$  of elements of  $R/\wp R = 1 + \#$  of nonzero elements of  $R/\wp R = 1 + 3k$ . Thus we can conclude that  $3 \mid N(\wp) - 1$ . □

*If you have any question, please contact me : Yoonsuk Hyun (yshyun@math.mit.edu)*