

### 18.781, Fall 2007 Problem Set 3

#### Solutions to Selected Problems

**Problem 2.3.17** First of all, we can observe that  $143 = 11 \cdot 13$  and

$$x^3 - 9x^2 + 23x - 15 = (x - 1)(x - 3)(x - 5).$$

Hence,  $x$  is a solution of given equation if and only if

$$(x - 1)(x - 3)(x - 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{11} \text{ and } (x - 1)(x - 3)(x - 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}.$$

Clearly, this means that

$$x \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{11} \text{ and } x \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{13}.$$

Using the relation  $6 \cdot 11 + (-5) \cdot 13 = 1$ , we have

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{11} \text{ and } x \equiv a_2 \pmod{13}$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$x \equiv -65a_1 + 66a_2 \pmod{143}.$$

(Using the Chinese Remainder theorem with  $m_1 = 11, m_2 = 13, b_1 = -5, b_2 = 6$ . )

Therefore, we can conclude that the solutions are

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (1, 1), \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (1, 3), \quad x \equiv 133 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (1, 5), \quad x \equiv 265 \equiv 122 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (3, 1), \quad x \equiv -129 \equiv 14 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (3, 3), \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (3, 5), \quad x \equiv 135 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (5, 1), \quad x \equiv -259 \equiv 27 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (5, 3), \quad x \equiv -127 \equiv 16 \pmod{143}.$$

$$\text{For } (a_1, a_2) = (5, 5), \quad x \equiv 5 \pmod{143}.$$

□

**Problem 2.3.21** First we prove "if" part. This is quite trivial. Suppose that  $a_i \equiv a_r \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then  $x = a_r$  is the solution of the given system.

Now we prove "only if" part. Suppose that there is a simultaneous solution  $x$ . Then for any  $i$ ,  $x \equiv a_i \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}$ , hence we can express  $x$  as  $x = a_i + t_i p^{\alpha_i}$ .

Then for fixed  $i$ ,  $a_i + t_i p^{\alpha_i} = x = a_r + t_r p^{\alpha_r}$ , that is,

$$a_i - a_r = t_r p^{\alpha_r} - t_i p^{\alpha_i} = p^{\alpha_i} (t_r p^{\alpha_r - \alpha_i} - t_i),$$

where  $\alpha_r - \alpha_i \geq 0$ .

This gives that  $a_i \equiv a_r \pmod{p^{\alpha_i}}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.3.29** For any even positive integer  $n$ , we can express  $n$  as  $n = 2^t m$  where  $(2, m) = 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Then

$$\phi(2n) = \phi(2^{t+1}m) = \phi(2^{t+1})\phi(m) = (2^{t+1} - 2^t)\phi(m) = 2^t\phi(m)$$

$$\phi(n) = \phi(2^t m) = \phi(2^t)\phi(m) = (2^t - 2^{t-1})\phi(m) = 2^{t-1}\phi(m)$$

Thus  $\phi(2n) = \phi(n)$  if and only if  $2^t = 2^{t-1}$ , which never happen. Therefore, there is no such even number  $n$ .

For any odd positive integer  $n$ ,  $(2, n) = 1$ . Then  $\phi(2n) = \phi(2)\phi(n) = 1 \cdot \phi(n) = \phi(n)$ . Therefore, every odd number  $n$  satisfies the given equation.  $\square$

**Problem 2.3.32** Suppose that  $x$  satisfying  $\phi(x) = 24$ . If  $x$  has the canonical factorization  $\prod p^\alpha$ , then  $p^{\alpha-1}(p-1) \mid \phi(x) = 24$ , in particular, we have  $(p-1) \mid 24$ . Since all the positive divisors of 24 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, the possible values of  $p$  are 2, 3, 5, 7, 13. (4, 9, 25 are not prime numbers.)

Now let's say  $x = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} 5^{a_3} 7^{a_4} 13^{a_5}$ . For each  $p$ , to satisfy  $p^{\alpha-1}(p-1) \mid \phi(x) = 24$ , it is easily verified that

$a_1$  can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and for each case,  $\phi(2^{a_1}) = 1, 1, 2, 4, 8$ , respectively.

$a_2$  can be 0, 1, 2, and for each case,  $\phi(3^{a_2}) = 1, 2, 6$ , respectively.

$a_3$  can be 0, 1, and for each case,  $\phi(5^{a_3}) = 1, 4$ , respectively.

$a_4$  can be 0, 1, and for each case,  $\phi(7^{a_4}) = 1, 6$ , respectively.

$a_5$  can be 0, 1, and for each case,  $\phi(13^{a_5}) = 1, 12$ , respectively.

We should find the proper  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$  such that  $\phi(x) = \phi(2^{a_1})\phi(3^{a_2})\phi(5^{a_3})\phi(7^{a_4})\phi(13^{a_5}) = 24$ .

Because that  $3 \mid 24$ , we can say that  $\phi(3^{a_2}) = 6$  or  $\phi(7^{a_4}) = 6$  or  $\phi(13^{a_5}) = 12$  should hold. That is,  $a_2 = 2$  or  $a_4 = 1$  or  $a_5 = 1$ .

If  $a_2 = 2$ ,  $\phi(2^{a_1})\phi(5^{a_3})\phi(7^{a_4})\phi(13^{a_5}) = 4$ , therefore, we have

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0), (1, 2, 1, 0, 0), (3, 2, 0, 0, 0).$$

If  $a_4 = 1$ ,  $\phi(2^{a_1})\phi(3^{a_2})\phi(5^{a_3})\phi(13^{a_5}) = 4$ , therefore, we have

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0), (3, 0, 0, 1, 0), (2, 1, 0, 1, 0).$$

If  $a_5 = 1$ ,  $\phi(2^{a_1})\phi(3^{a_2})\phi(5^{a_3})\phi(7^{a_4}) = 2$ , therefore, we have

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0, 1), (2, 0, 0, 0, 1).$$

Thus we can conclude that the solutions are

$$x = 45, 90, 72, 35, 70, 56, 84, 39, 78, 52.$$

□

**Problem 2.3.37** It is easy to find that  $\phi(100) = 40$ . Hence by Euler's theorem we have  $3^{40} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$ .

Since each  $a_i$  is odd, we have  $a_{i+1} = 3^{a_i} \equiv (-1)^{a_i} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Also note that  $3^4 = 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{40}$ . Then for each  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$a_{i+1} = 3^{a_i} = 3^{4k+3} = 81^k \cdot 27 \equiv 27 \pmod{40}$$

Hence

$$a_{i+2} = 3^{a_{i+1}} = 3^{40t+27} = (3^{40})^t \cdot 3^{27} \equiv 3^{27} \pmod{100}.$$

So we have now that  $a_j \equiv 3^{27} \pmod{100}$  for  $j \geq 3$ . Also,

$$3^{27} = (3^4)^6 \cdot 3^3 = 81^6 \cdot 27 = (80+1)^6 \cdot 27 = (80^6 + \dots + 6 \cdot 80 + 1) \cdot 27 \equiv 481 \cdot 27 \equiv 81 \cdot 27 \equiv 87 \pmod{100}.$$

Therefore we can conclude that the given sequence  $\pmod{100}$  is nothing but

$$3, 27, 87, 87, 87, 87, 87, \dots$$

□

**Problem 2.3.44** If  $m = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. Now assume that  $m > 1$ .

Let  $I$  be the set of prime factors  $p$  of  $m$  which satisfy  $(a, p) > 1$  (That is,  $p \mid a$ ). Then  $m$  can be factorized by  $m = (\prod_{p \in I} p^\alpha) \cdot M$ , where  $(a, M) = 1$ . Also note that  $(\prod_{p \in I} p^\alpha, M) = 1$  by our setting, hence  $\phi(M) \mid \phi(m)$ .

By usual Euler's theorem,  $a^{\phi(M)} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ , so with the fact  $\phi(M) \mid \phi(m)$ , we have  $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ . Multiplying  $a^{m-\phi(m)}$  to both sides and subtracting, we have

$$a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{M}.$$

Now for each  $p \in I$ , since  $p \mid a$ , we have  $p^{m-\phi(m)} \mid (a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)})$ . We know that  $p^\alpha \mid m$  and  $p^{\alpha-1} \mid \phi(m)$ . Thus  $p^{\alpha-1} \mid (m - \phi(m))$ . With the fact  $m - \phi(m) > 0$ , we have  $m - \phi(m) \geq p^{\alpha-1}$ . Now let's prove the following :

Claim :  $a^{x-1} \geq x$  holds for  $a \geq 2$  and positive integer  $x$ .

It is enough to show the case of  $a = 2$  holds because  $a^{x-1} \geq 2^{x-1}$ .

If  $x = 1$ , it is clearly true. If  $x \geq 2$ , consider  $2^{x-1}$  as a binomial expansion  $(1 + 1)^{x-1}$ . Then it has  $x$  terms, and each term is clearly  $\geq 1$ . Hence the above inequality holds.

By this claim, we can find that  $\alpha \leq p^{\alpha-1}$ . Thus, with the facts that  $p^{m-\phi(m)} \mid (a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)})$  and  $m - \phi(m) \geq p^{\alpha-1}$ , we get

$$p^\alpha \mid (a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)})$$

for each  $p^\alpha$ .

Therefore,  $(a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)})$  is a multiple of  $(\prod_{p \in I} p^\alpha)$ . Combining with  $a^m - a^{m-\phi(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ , we can conclude that

$$a^m \equiv a^{m-\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 2.6.3** First we note that  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$  is the only solution of  $x^3 + x + 57 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .

For the simplicity of computation, say that  $x \equiv (-1) \pmod{5}$  is the solution.

Since  $f'(x) = 3x^2 + 1$ , we see that  $f'(-1) = 4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , so this root is nonsingular. Taking  $f'(1) = (-1)$ , we see by (2.6) on page 87 that the root  $a \equiv (-1) \pmod{5}$  lifts to  $a_2 \equiv (-1) - f'(-1) \cdot (-1) \equiv (-1) - 55 \cdot (-1) \equiv 54 \pmod{5^2}$ . Since  $a_2$  is considered  $\pmod{5^2}$ , we may take instead  $a_2 = 4$ .

Then  $a_3 \equiv 4 - f(4) \cdot (-1) \equiv 4 - 125 \cdot (-1) \equiv 129 \equiv 4 \pmod{5^3}$ . Thus we conclude that 4 is the desired root and that there are no others.  $\square$

**Problem 2.6.10** We will use an induction on  $j$ . If  $j = 1$ , it's just the given assumption, so the solution exists. Now assume that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$  has a solution. Let that solution be  $b$ . For  $f(x) = x^2 - a$ ,  $f'(x) = 2x$ . Because  $b^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$  and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we have  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore,  $f'(b) = 2b$  never be 0 in  $\pmod{p}$ . (Here we should use the fact that  $p \neq 2$ .) Thus by theorem 2.23,  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^{j+1}}$  has a solution. Therefore, we prove that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^j}$  has a solution for all  $j$ .  $\square$

*If you have any question, please contact me : Yoonsuk Hyun (yshyun@math.mit.edu)*